# Population differentiation and *Wolbachia* phylogeny in mosquitoes of the *Aedes scutellaris* group

A. BEHBAHANI<sup>1</sup>, T. J. DUTTON<sup>1</sup>, N. DAVIES<sup>2</sup>, H. TOWNSON<sup>1</sup> and S. P. SINKINS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, U.K. and <sup>2</sup>Richard B. Gump South Pacific Research Station, University of California Berkeley, Moorea, French Polynesia

**Abstract.** Mosquito species of the *Aedes (Stegomyia) scutellaris* (Walker) group (Diptera: Culicidae) are distributed across many islands of the South Pacific and include major regional vectors of filariasis, such as *Aedes polynesiensis* (Marks). Analysis of populations of *Ae. polynesiensis* at the extremes of its range, from Fiji and from Moorea, French Polynesia, using the rDNA ITS2 (internal transcribed spacer 2) region and six microsatellite markers showed considerable genetic differentiation between them ( $F_{ST} = 0.298-0.357$ ). Phylogenetic analysis of the *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in three members of the complex revealed that based on the *wsp* gene they are all very similar and belong to the *Mel* subgroup of the A clade, closely related to the *Wolbachia* strain present in the gall wasp *Callyrhytis glandium* (Giraud) (Hymenoptera: Cynipidae). By contrast they are only distantly related to the *A-*clade *Wolbachia* in *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse), a species closely allied to the *Ae. scutellaris* group. There was very low differentiation between the *Wolbachia* in the Moorea and Fiji populations of *Ae. polynesiensis*.

Key words. Aedes scutellaris, Aedes polynesiensis, Wolbachia, cytoplasmic incompatibility, filariasis vectors, microsatellite, Fiji, Moorea, Polynesia.

### Introduction

Aedes polynesiensis (Marks), a member of the Aedes (Stegomyia) scutellaris group (Walker), is a serious day biting pest of humans in the islands of the South Pacific (Lardeux et al., 2002) and is the major regional vector of the sub-periodic form of Wuchereria bancrofti (Cobbold). Several other members of the Ae. scutellaris group are listed as local or subsidiary vector species for sub-periodic Wuchereria bancrofti: Ae. cooki (Belkin), Ae. horrescens (Edwards), Ae. kesseli (Huang & Hitchcock), Ae. marshallensis (Stone & Bohart), Ae. pseudoscutellaris (Theobald), Ae. rotumae (Belkin), Ae. tabu (Ramalingam & Belkin) and Ae. tongae (Edwards) (W.H.O., 2002). Some species of the group are distributed over many islands in the South Pacific, whereas

Correspondence: Dr S. P. Sinkins, Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PS, UK. Tel.: +44(0)1865281047; fax: +44(0)1865281275; e-mail: steven. sinkins@ zoology.oxford.ac.uk others are confined to only one. The island topography imposes geographical barriers, which will have contributed to divergence of populations and ultimately speciation (Pashley *et al.*, 1985). *Aedes polynesiensis* is the most widespread species in the group and appears to have spread with human voyages (Taylor, 1998). Studies on the susceptibility of *Ae. polynesiensis* to *Wuchereria bancrofti* (Failloux *et al.*, 1995) demonstrated that populations from different islands can vary in vector competence. Here populations at the two extremes of the range of *Ae. polynesiensis* in the South Pacific were compared to examine whether there is evidence for substantial genetic divergence between distant islands, using rDNA ITS2 (internal transcribed spacer 2) sequences and also recently isolated microsatellite markers.

The maternally inherited bacterium *Wolbachia*, which induces crossing sterility known as cytoplasmic incompatibility in mosquitoes and many other insects (O'Neill *et al.*, 1997; Werren, 1997), occur in a number of species in the *Ae. scutellaris* group (Wright & Barr, 1980; Meek, 1984, 1988). Phylogenetic analysis has shown that there are two major divisions or supergroups of *Wolbachia* (A and B)

in arthropods (Werren *et al.*, 1995; Zhou *et al.*, 1998). Mosquitoes may harbour both A- and B-group *Wolbachia*, and superinfections with both A and B groups can occur (Sinkins *et al.*, 1995; Zhou *et al.*, 1998; Ruang-Areerate *et al.*, 2003). Phylogenetic analysis of the highly variable *wsp* gene, a single copy gene coding for a surface protein of *Wolbachia* (Braig *et al.*, 1998), has been the most commonly used gene for resolving phylogenetic relationships among *Wolbachia* strains (Zhou *et al.*, 1998). Based on *wsp* gene sequences from different *Wolbachia* isolates, 12 subgroups were proposed (Zhou *et al.*, 1998), and further groups have subsequently been added (e.g. van Meer *et al.*, 1999).

The phylogenetic position of *Wolbachia* in the *Ae.scutellaris* group has not previously been determined. Therefore the *wsp* gene was used for phylogenetic reconstruction in order to compare *Wolbachia* between isolated populations of *Ae. polynesiensis*, between different species of the *Ae. scutellaris* group, and to determine their relationship with *Wolbachia* from other insect groups.

# Materials and methods

# Mosquito specimens

Adult *Ae. polynesiensis* was collected on four occasions: adults from Sigatoka (18°09' S, 177°26' E) in Viti Levu in Fiji islands in March 2000 (25 specimens) and February 2001 (28 specimens); Muanikau near Suva (18°08' S, 178°26' E) Viti Levu in Fiji islands in October 2001 (50 specimens); and Moorea (17°33' S, 149°52' W) in French Polynesia in 2003 (32 specimens). In addition, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris* and *Ae. albopictus* (Skuse) from Tamavua in Suva, Fiji and *Ae. tonga* from Tongatapu in Tonga island were collected in October 2001.

DNA was extracted from individual mosquitoes using the Livak buffer protocol of Collins et al. (1987) and was resuspended in 100 µl of TE buffer. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the wsp gene using the primers 81F/ 691R (Braig et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 1998) was carried out using 0.25 mM dNTPs, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 µM primers and  $0.05 \text{ U/}\mu\text{l}$  Taq DNA polymerase with the following thermal cycler conditions: 95°C, 5 min (1 cycle); 94°C, 1 min; 55°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1 min (35 cycles); and 72°C for 10 min (1 cycle). The PCR products were ligated into pGEM-T (Promega Ltd, Madison, WI), used for transformation of JM109 cells (Promega), selected and purified with Qiaprep columns (Qiagen UK Ltd, Crawley, U.K.). The sequences of one or two specimens from each species were obtained on a Beckman CEQ sequencer (Coulter UK Ltd, High Wycombe, U.K.). The sequences used for reconstructing a phylogenetic tree consisted of 16 wsp sequences (Table 1): four from mosquitoes in this study, nine from Zhou et al. (1998), two from Rokas et al. (2002) and one from Ruang-Areerate et al. (2003). Partial wsp gene sequences were aligned using Clustal X software. Maximum parsimony and neighbour-joining phylogenetic tree analyses were conducted using MEGA version 2.1 (Kumar et al., 2001).

The rDNA ITS2 regions were amplified using conserved primers from the 5.8S and 28S coding regions: 5.8S (forward) ATCACTCGGCTCATGGATCG and 28S (reverse) ATGCTTAAATTTAGGGGGGTAGTC) (Paskewitz & Collins, 1990; Collins & Paskewitz, 1996). The PCR conditions were:  $1 \times$  reaction buffer; 0.2 mM dNTPs mix; 2.5 mMMgCl<sub>2</sub>: 0.2 µM forward primer; 0.2 µM reverse primer;  $0.04 \,\mu/\mu l$  Taq DNA polymerase; nuclease-free water and template DNA. PCR was performed in 50 µl total volume under the following thermal cycler conditions: 95°C, 5 min (1 cycle); 95°C, 1 min; 50°C, 1 min; 72°C, 1.5 min (35 cycles); and 72°C for 10min (1 cycle). The PCR products were purified as described and the sequences were obtained directly. A consensus sequence of two individuals from each population was generated for each species using forward and reverse primers. The rDNA gene sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL x software. Estimates of Kimura's two-parameter distances were calculated for all pairs of the above sequences and the sequences of Ae. albopictus (Kjer et al., 1994; GenBank L22060) and Ae. flavopictus (Yamada) (Toma et al., 2002; GenBank AF353541) and neighbour-joining analyses were conducted using MEGA version 2.1 (Kumar et al., 2001).

PCRs were carried out on Ae. polynesiensis specimens using primers that amplified six microsatellite loci as previously described (Behbahani et al., 2004). Left primers were labelled with different dye colours supplied from Research Genetics, Inc. (Huntsville, U.S.A) as D4 (blue), D3 (green) and D2 (black). All of the Ae. polynesiensis specimens from Fiji and Moorea were analysed on a Beckmann CEQ according to the manufacturer's protocols. Allele frequencies, observed and expected numbers of heterozygotes and the estimates of  $F_{ST}$  according to Weir & Cockerham (1984) were calculated using the GENEPOP software (web version 3.1c) of Raymond & Rousset (1995a). Linkage equilibrium was tested using a contingency table test for genotypic disequilibrium between pairs of populations in a locus, based upon the null hypothesis that genotypes at one locus are independent of genotypes at other loci. Calculations were performed using GENEPOP version 3.1, which performs a significance test using Markov chain procedures. Genetic differentiation between populations was tested using an unbiased estimate of the exact probability with Markov chain method (Raymond & Rousset, 1995b), using GENEPOP version 3.1. For all tests, the Markov chain was set to: Dememorization, 1000; Batches, 100; and Iterations per batch, 1000 (Raymond & Rousset, 1995a). The overall significance of multiple tests for each locus was estimated by Fisher's combined probability test (Fisher, 1970).

# **Results and discussion**

The rDNA ITS2 sequences showed numerous indels and substitutions, with lengths of 434 and 437 bp for the Moorea and Fiji strains of *Ae. polynesiensis*, 441 bp for *Ae. pseudoscutellaris* and 502 bp for *Ae. tongae* (GenBank AY822661–64). There were three separate indels (of 1, 11

			Wolbachia		
Host	Order: family	Phenotype	group	GenBank	Reference
Aedes polynesiensis (mosquito)	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	Mel	AY822657-8	This study
Ae. pseudoscutellaris	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	Mel	AY822659	This study
Ae. tongae	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	Mel	AY822660	This study
Ae. albopictus A	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	AlbaA	AF020058	Zhou et al. (1998)
Ae. albopictus B	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	Pip	AF020059	Zhou et al. (1998)
Armigeres subalbatus (mosquito)	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	Sub	AF317488	Ruang-Areerate et al. (2003)
Culex quinquefasciatus (mosquito)	Diptera: Culicidae	CI	Pip	AF020060	Zhou et al. (1998)
Drosophila auraria	Diptera: Drosophilidae	CI	Riv	AF020062	Zhou et al. (1998)
D. melanogaster Aubiry	Diptera: Drosophilidae	CI weak	Mel	AF020063	Zhou et al. (1998)
D.melanogaster Canton S	Diptera: Drosophilidae	None	Mel	AF020065	Zhou et al. (1998)
Glossina centralis (Tsetse fly)	Diptera: Glossinidae	?	Mors	AF020078	Zhou et al. (1998)
G. morsitans	Diptera: Glossinidae	?	Mors	AF020079	Zhou et al. (1998)
Nasonia vitripennis (parasitoid wasp)	Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae	CI	Mors	AF020081	Zhou et al. (1998)
Synergus gallaepomiformis (Oak gallwasp)	Hymenoptera: Cynipidae	А	Mel	AY095155	Rokas et al. (2002)
Callyrhytis glandium (Oak gallwasp)	Hymenoptera: Cynipidae	СР	Mel	AY095156	Rokas et al. (2002)

**Table 1.** Host insect species used in *Wolbachia* phylogenetic reconstruction; phenotype A signifies arrhenotoky, CP cyclical parthenogenesis and CI cytoplasmic incompatibility.

and 16 bp) and nine substitutions between the sequenced fragments from Ae. polynesiensis Moorea and Ae. polynesiensis Fiji. A neighbour-joining (NJ) tree produced from the estimates of Kimura's two-parameter distance values is shown in Fig.1. Maximum parsimony analysis produced two most parsimonious trees, differing only in the position of Ae. tongae and Ae. pseudoscutellaris, with nearly the same branching order found in the NJ tree. Although more sequence data from genomic regions with fewer indels would be required for a more accurate tree, the ITS2 rDNA dataset support the taxonomic classification within the Ae. stegomyia (Theobald) subgenus as Ae. pseudoscutellaris, Ae. polynesiensis and Ae. tongae under the scutellaris group, and Ae. albopictus and Ae. flavopictus under the albopictus group (Rai et al., 1982). It also demonstrates that substantial differences have accumulated between the Fiji and Moorea populations of *Ae. polynesiensis*.

All six microsatellite loci investigated were polymorphic (Fig. 2) and the observed heterozygosities ranged between 42% and 79% for the locus Ap1, between 63% and 81% for Ap2, between 0% and 46% for Ap3, between 5.3% and 75% for Ap4, between 2.2% and 50% for Ap5, and between 3.4% and 44.4% for Ap6. The test for genotypic disequilibrium between pairs of loci was not significant for each locus pair across the four populations (*P*-values between 0.108 and



**Fig. 1.** Phylogenetic tree constructed from ITS2 (internal transcribed spacer 2) sequences in the *Aedes scutellaris* group and related species using the neighbour joining method. Numbers at the nodes indicate bootstrap values.

0.993). Estimated pair wise  $F_{ST}$  over all loci among the populations ranged from 0.014 (between Sigatoka 1 and Sigatoka 2) to 0.357 (between Sigatoka 1 and Moorea) (Table 2). All but the Suva and Sigatoka 2 pair were highly significant (P < 0.001).

The data give support to the ITS2 results in showing that populations of *Ae. polynesiensis* from Fiji show substantial genetic differentiation compared to a population from Moorea, separated by the distance of around 3460 km. It seems likely that the process of speciation has begun between these populations; colonization and crossing studies would indicate whether any reproductive barriers have arisen. Geographical isolation has probably been a very important factor in speciation within the *Ae. scutellaris* group (Dev & Rai, 1982; Meek, 1988). A lower degree of genetic differentiation of *Ae. polynesiensis* was also observed between two populations from Fiji; the microsatellite loci used therefore appear sufficiently polymorphic to allow finer-scale studies, for example to estimate the degree of migration between islands.

# Wolbachia

The nucleotide sequence of a segment of 521 nucleotides of the *wsp* gene was aligned from *Wolbachia* strains of Fiji and Moorea *Ae. polynesiensis*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, *Ae. tongae* and the A group *Wolbachia* of *Ae. albopictus*. There were no substitutions between *Ae. pseudoscutellaris* and *Ae. polynesiensis*-Moorea. Only one transition was observed between the *wsp* sequences of Fiji *Ae. polynesiensis* and those of *Ae. pseudoscutellaris* and Moorea *Ae. polynesiensis*. *Aedes tongae* showed five, four and four transitions with respect to the *Wolbachia* strains of Fiji and Moorea *Ae. polynesiensis* and *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, respectively. Three most parsimonious trees were obtained by analysis of aligned *wsp* sequences, the topologies of which differed



**Fig. 2.** Observed allelic frequencies (*y*-axes) in *Aedes polynesiensis* collections from Fiji and Moorea (French Polynesia) for six microsatellite loci, *Ap*1–6 (panels a–f, respectively). Alleles are denoted by their total size in base pairs (*x*-axes). The key given in (a) applies to all panels; Sigatoka and Suva are on Fiji and Moorea is in French Polynesia.

from each other only with respect to small changes within the scutellaris group. A neighbour joining analysis of the same data set produced a tree (Fig. 3) that had almost identical topology to those produced by maximum parsimony analysis.

The data clearly showed that the *Wolbachia* in the *Ae. scutellaris* group members *Ae. tongae*, *Ae. pseudoscutellaris*, and Fiji and Moorea populations of *Ae. polynesiensis*, were very closely related to each other, but unexpectedly were not closely related to the A-group strain present in *Ae. albopictus*,

**Table 2.** Microsatellite differentiation:  $F_{ST}$  values between Moorea and Fiji populations of *Ae.polynesiensis*, upper numbers, and pairwise estimates of geographical distance (km), lower numbers (\*P < 0.001).

	Sigatoka 1	Sigatoka 2	Suva
Sigatoka 2	0.014		
	0 km		
Suva	0.079*	0.113*	
	100 km	100 km	
Moorea	0.298*	0.299*	0.357*
	3460 km	3460 km	3360 km

a species that is closely allied to the *Ae. scutellaris* group. The *Wolbachia* strain present in *Ae. polynesiensis*,



**Fig. 3.** Phylogenetic tree constructed from *wsp* sequences using neighbour joining. Numbers at the nodes indicate bootstrap values. The B-clade *Aedes albopictus B* and *Culex quinquefasciatus* sequences served as outgroups.

© 2005 The Royal Entomological Society, Medical and Veterinary Entomology, 19, 66-71

Ae. tongae and Ae. pseudoscutellaris was named wScu and fell within the Mel subgroup, which consists of the Wolbachia strains of Drosophila melanogaster and the hymenopterans Synergus gallaepomiformis (Fonscolombe) and Callyrhytis glandium (Giraud) (Rokas et al., 2002). In fact wScu shares around 99.6% identity with the Wolbachia strain isolated from the gallwasp C.glandium, which shows cyclic parthenogenesis (Rokas et al., 2002). This group of Wolbachia can be added to the AlbA, Mors, Nov, Niv, Pip, Cra, and Prep that were reported previously to infect Aedes species (Ruang-Areerate et al., 2003). Phylogenetic analysis has indicated that horizontal transmission has been a major influence of the distribution of Wolbachia in arthropods (e.g. O'Neill et al., 1992; Rousset et al., 1992; Werren et al., 1995; Vavre et al., 1999; Werren & Windsor, 2000) and the data shown here provide further evidence of the ability of Wolbachia to move horizontally between distantly related groups.

Within the Ae. scutellaris complex, there is very little variation in *wsp* sequences, with identical sequences found in Ae. polynesiensis from Moorea and Ae. pseudoscutellaris from Fiji. Thus it would appear that either the Wolbachia wsp gene is a considerably less sensitive marker for geographical isolation than are host nuclear microsatellites and the ITS2 gene, or alternatively that the strain of Wolbachia present has spread through the Ae. scutellaris group more recently than the divergence of their host lineages and the geographical separation of Ae. polynesiensis at the two extremes of its range. Wolbachia can spread rapidly through populations using CI (Turelli & Hoffmann, 1991; Hoffmann & Turelli, 1997), and are able to induce high levels of CI in the Ae. scutellaris group (Dutton & Sinkins, 2005). Only very low levels of migration between islands would probably be needed to sustain a spreading Wolbachia infection. It would also seem likely that Wolbachia has moved between species in the complex, possibly by introgressive hybridization; it is known for example that Ae. pseudoscutellaris and Ae. polynesiensis are partially compatible in laboratory crosses (Rozeboom & Gilford, 1954; Dev & Rai, 1982; Meek & Macdonald, 1984).

# Acknowledgements

We thank Arun Raju for his assistance with mosquito collections. A.B. was supported by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

### References

- Behbahani, A., Dutton, T.J., Raju, A.K., Townson, H. & Sinkins, S.P. (2004) Polymorphic microsatellite loci for the mosquito Aedes polynesiensis. Molecular Ecology Notes, 4, 59–61.
- Braig, H.R., Zhou, W., Dobson, S.L. & O'Neill, S.L. (1998) Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major

surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia* pipientis. Journal of Bacteriology, **180**, 2373–2378.

- Collins, F.H. & Paskewitz, S.M. (1996) A review of the use of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) to differentiate among cryptic *Anopheles* species. *Insect Molecular Biology*, **5**, 1–9.
- Collins, F.H., Mendez, M.A., Rasmussen, M.O., Mehaffey, P.C., Besansky, N.J. & Finnerty, V. (1987) A ribosomal RNA gene probe differentiates member species of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 37, 37–41.
- Dev, V. & Rai, K.S. (1982) Genetics of speciation in the Aedes (Stegomyia) scutellaris group (Diptera: Culicidae) I. Crossing relationships among six species. The Evolutionary Significance of Insect Polymorphism (ed. by M.W. Stock and A.C. Bartlett). Proceedings of the Symposium of the National Meeting of the Entomological Society of America. University of Idaho Publications, San Diego, CA.
- Dutton, T.J. & Sinkins, S.P. (2005) Filarial susceptibility and effects of Wolbachia in Aedes pseudoscutellaris. Medical and Veterinary Entomology, 19, 60–65.
- Failloux, A.B., Raymond, M., Ung, A., Glaziou, P., Martin, P.M.V. & Pasteur, N. (1995) Variation in the vector competence of *Aedes polynesiensis* for *Wuchereria bancrofti. Parasitology*, **111**, 19–29.
- Fisher, R.A. (1970) Statistical Methods for Research Workers, 14th edn. Olivier and Boyd, Edinburgh.
- Hoffmann, A.A. & Turelli, M. (1997) Cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Influential Passengers: Inherited Microorganisms and Arthropod Reproduction* (ed. by S.L. O'Neill, A.A. Hoffmann and J.H. Werren), pp. 42–80. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Kjer, K.M., Baldridge, G.D. & Fallon, A.M. (1994) Mosquito large subunit ribosomal RNA: simultaneous alignment of primary and secondary structure. *Biochemica Biophysica Acta*, 1217, 147–155.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakobsen, I.B. & Nei, M. (2001) MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Software, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA.
- Lardeux, F., Riviere, F., Sechan, Y. & Loncke, S. (2002) Control of the Aedes vectors of the dengue viruses and Wuchereria bancrofti: the French Polynesian experience. Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology, 96, S105–S116.
- Meek, S.R. (1984) Occurrence of *Rickettsia*-like symbionts among species of the *Aedes scutellaris* group (Diptera: Culicidae). *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, **78**, 377–381.
- Meek, S.R. (1988) Compatibility of members of the Aedes (Stegomyia) scutellaris subgroup of mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) and its relevance to the control of filariasis. Biosystematics of Haematophagous Insects. Systematics Association Special Volume no. 37. (ed. by M.W. Service), pp. 115–132. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- Meek, S.R. & Macdonald, W.W. (1984) Crossing relationships among seven members of the group of *Aedes scutellaris* (Walker) (Diptera: Culicidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research*, 74, 65–78.
- van Meer, M.M., Witteveldt, J. & Stouthamer, R. (1999) Phylogeny of the arthropod endosymbiont *Wolbachia* based on the *wsp* gene. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 8, 399–408.
- O'Neill, S.L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A.M.E., Karr, T.L. & Robertson, H.M. (1992) 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences of the USA, **89**, 2699–2702.
- O'Neill, S.L., Hoffmann, A.A. & Werren, J.H., eds. (1997) Influential Passengers: Inherited Microorganisms and Arthropod Reproduction. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

- Pashley, D.P., Rai, K.S. & Pashley, D.N. (1985) Patterns of allozyme relationships compared with morphology, hybridization, and geologic history in allopatric island-dwelling mosquitoes. *Evolution*, **39**, 985–997.
- Paskewitz, S.M. & Collins, F.H. (1990) Use of the polymerase chain reaction to identify mosquito species of the *Anopheles* gambiae complex. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 4, 367–373.
- Rai, K.S., Pashley, D.P. & Munstermann, L.E. (1982) Genetics of Speciation in Aedine mosquitoes. *Recent Developments in the Genetics of Insect Disease Vectors* (ed. by W.M. Steiner, W.J. Tabachnik, K.R. Rai and S. Narang), pp. 84–128. Stipes, Champaign, IL.
- Raymond, M. & Rousset, F. (1995a) GENEPOP (version 1.2): Population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. *Journal of Heredity*, 86, 248–249.
- Raymond, M. & Rousset, F. (1995b) An exact test for population differentiation. *Evolution*, 49, 1280–1283.
- Rokas, A., Atkinson, R.J., Nieves-Aldrey, J.L., West, S.A. & Stone, G.N. (2002) The incidence and diversity of *Wolbachia* in gallwasps (Hymenoptera; Cynipidae) on oak. *Molecular Ecology*, **11**, 1815–1829.
- Rousset, F., Bouchon, D., Pintureau, B., Juchault, P. & Solignac, M. (1992) Wolbachia endosymbionts responsible for various alterations of sexuality in arthropods. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences*, **250**, 91–98.
- Rozeboom, L.E. & Gilford, B.N. (1954) The genetic relationships of Aedes pseudoscutellaris Theobald and A. polynesiensis Marks (Diptera: Culicidae). American Journal of Hygiene, 60, 117–34.
- Ruang-Areerate, T., Kittayapong, P., Baimai, V. & O'Neill, S.L. (2003) Molecular phylogeny of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in Southeast Asian mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) based on *wsp* gene sequences. *Journal of Medical Entomology*, **40**, 1–5.
- Sinkins, S.P., Braig, H.R. & O'Neill, S.L. (1995) Wolbachia superinfections and the expression of cytoplasmic incompatibility. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences, 261, 325–30.
- Taylor, B. (1998) Mosquitoes and man in the Pacific more than just words. Antenna, 22, 201–204.

- Toma, T., Miyagi, I., Crabtree, M.B. & Miller, B.R. (2002) Investigation of the Aedes (Stegomyia) flavopictus complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in Japan by sequence analysis of the internal transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA. Journal of Medical Entomology, 39, 461–468.
- Turelli, M. & Hoffmann, A.A. (1991) Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California *Drosophila*. *Nature*, 353, 440–442.
- Vavre, F., Fleury, F., Lepetit, D., Fouillet, P. & Bouletreau, M. (1999) Phylogenetic evidence for horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in host-parasitoid associations. *Molecular Biology* and Evolution, 16, 1711–1723.
- Weir, B.S. & Cockerham, C.C. (1984) Estimating F-statistics for the analysis of population structure. Evolution, 38, 1358–1370.
- Werren, J.H. (1997) Biology of Wolbachia. Annual Review of Entomology, 42, 587–609.
- Werren, J.H. & Windsor, D.M. (2000) Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences, 267, 1277–85.
- Werren, J.H., Zhang, W. & Guo, L.R. (1995) Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia*: reproductive parasites of arthropods. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B, Biological Sciences*, 261, 55–63.
- W.H.O. (2002) Defining the Roles of Vector Control and Xenomonitoring in the Global Programme to Eliminate Lymphatic Filariasis. WHO/CDS/CPE/PVC/2002.3. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Wright, J.D. & Barr, A.R. (1980) The ultrastructure and symbiotic relationships of *Wolbachia* of mosquitoes of the *Aedes scutellaris* group. *Journal of Ultrastructure Research*, **72**, 52–64.
- Zhou, W., Rousset, F. & O'Neill, S.L. (1998) Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using WSP gene sequences. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London: Series B*, *Biological Sciences*, 265, 509–515.

Accepted 15 November 2004