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Bishop Museum Press has been publishing scholarly books on the natural and cultural history of Hawai‘i and the Pacific since 1892. The Bishop Museum Occasional Papers (eISSN 2376-3191) is a series of short papers describing original research in the natural and cultural sciences.

The Bishop Museum Press also publishes the Bishop Museum Bulletin series. It was begun in 1922 as a series of monographs presenting the results of research throughout the Pacific in many scientific fields. In 1987, the Bulletin series was separated into the Museum’s five current monographic series, issued irregularly and, since 2017, electronically:

- Bishop Museum Bulletins in Anthropology (eISSN 2376-3132)
- Bishop Museum Bulletins in Botany (eISSN 2376-3078)
- Bishop Museum Bulletins in Entomology (eISSN 2376-3124)
- Bishop Museum Bulletins in Zoology (eISSN 2376-3213)
- Bishop Museum Bulletins in Cultural and Environmental Studies (eISSN 2376-3159)

To subscribe to any of the above series, or to purchase individual publications, please write to: Bishop Museum Press, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96817-2704, USA. Phone: (808) 848-4135. Email: press@bishopmuseum.org.
In 1992, the State of Hawaii designated the Hawaii Biological Survey (HBS) as a program of the Bishop Museum. The Survey is an ongoing natural history inventory of the Hawaiian Archipelago and was created to locate, identify, and evaluate all native and non-native species of flora and fauna within the state and maintain the reference collections of that flora and fauna for a wide range of uses. As the primary state repository for all specimens and objects, the Bishop Museum also maintains up-to-date databases of all groups of plants and animals that occur within the state boundaries. Additionally, as part of our effort in disseminating the latest information on updates to those databases, the Bishop Museum annually publishes the Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey.

In 1995, we published the first of these Records (for the year 1994) in two volumes (Articles and Notes). Those first Records contained a total of 29 papers by 32 authors. Some 105 species of plants and animals were newly recorded for the State, including 3 new species-group taxa. The success of those first Records bade well for the ensuing years, in which numerous observations have been published by our many colleagues in Hawaii'i, the nation, and worldwide.

### Table . Twenty-five year summary of the Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>year</th>
<th>new state</th>
<th>new spp.</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>new state</th>
<th>naturalized</th>
<th>new spp.</th>
<th>total</th>
<th>grand total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2002</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-year totals</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>1289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total non-native

530

680

1210

During the last 25 years, some significant observations have been made (Table 1). Seventy-eight new species-group taxa have been discovered and described, including some surprising finds from well-travelled trails on O‘ahu, from ant-infested lowland areas, and from the Bishop Museum campus itself. There have been uplifting records of species thought to have been extinct or not seen for many years, but sadly also records of species thought be not be extant any longer. Although most records deal with new additions to the Hawaiian biota, here have also been corrections to our inventory and deletions of species thought to be here but were misidentified.

In its creation by the Hawaii State legislature, the Hawaii Biological Survey was tasked with undertaking a complete inventory of Hawaii’s biota. As a result, a number of papers have been published giving summary accountings by taxonomic group including an initial count (21,383 total species; 8,759 endemic in 1995), subsequent periodic updates and, in 2003, a detailed assessment of the numbers of species for every taxon in the State of Hawaii (25,615 total species; 9,975 endemic). At latest count (2015) we have a total of 26,608 species occurring in the State of Hawaii. Although some other state surveys in the nation are much older, we are still the only state in the country with an accurate accounting of every plant and animal (native and alien) within its borders.

As we celebrate 25 years of the Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey, we take this opportunity to thank the many who have helped us in this endeavor. There is still much to be done and we welcome the assistance of our colleagues in our ongoing process of taking stock of what living things we have surrounding us every day in these Hawaiian Islands.
Everyone loves a cercus: the endemic Hawaiian genus
Uropachys Parent (Diptera: Dolichopodidae),
with descriptions of new species

NEAL L. EVENHUIS
Hawaii Biological Survey, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai’i 96817-2704, USA; email: neale@bishopmuseum.org

Abstract. The endemic Hawaiian dolichopodid genus Uropachys Parent, endemic to the island of Kaua’i, is reviewed. Three new species, Uropachys fleacercus n. sp., U. mediacercus, n. sp., and U. politocercus, n. sp., are described and illustrated. A key to species in the genus is given and previously described species are redescribed and the cercus of the male genitalia redrawn to correct errors and inconsistencies in previously published illustrations. Based on examination of the type series of Uropachys pulverea (Hardy & Kohn) it is returned to Euryngaster Van Duzee as Euryngaster pulverea Hardy & Kohn, stat. rev.

Keywords: Diptera, Dolichopodidae, Campsicnemus, taxonomy, Hawaiian Islands, Kauai.

INTRODUCTION
Parent (1934) proposed the new genus Pachyurus based on a single species from Kaua’i, P. hawaiensis Parent, 1934. Realizing his genus was preoccupied by Pachyurus Agassiz, 1831, Parent (1935) proposed the new replacement name Uropachys. Hardy & Kohn (1964) synonymized Uropachys under a broad definition of Euryngaster Van Duzee. This synonymy was maintained until Evenhuis (2005) re-examined the species in Euryngaster and assigned various species to previously described genera and new genera. In that work, Uropachys was resurrected from synonymy. Uropachys is a monophyletic genus found only on the island of Kaua’i and is sister to another endemic Kaua’i genus Arciellia Evenhuis (cf. fig. 1 in Goodman et al. 2016). Previously, seven species were listed in Uropachys. This review describes and illustrates three new species, Uropachys fleacercus Evenhuis, n. sp., U. mediacercus Evenhuis, n. sp., and U. politocercus Evenhuis n. sp., the suffix of the names deriving from the species-specific male cercus, which has diagnostic shape and setation. A key is given to the species of Uropachys and, based on study of the type series, U. pulverea Hardy & Kohn is transferred back to Euryngaster, stat. rev.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Material examined in this study derives from the following collections: BMNH = the Natural History Museum, London, UK; BPBM = Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawai’i, USA; CNC = Canadian National Collection, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; UHIM = University of Hawai’i Insect Museum, Honolulu, Hawai’i, USA.

Confocal images of various morphological structures were accomplished by using a Leica M165C stereo dissecting scope via the Leica Microsystems LAS Multifocus software (v. 4.12.0) and using Zerene Stacker® software (v. 1.04) (Zerene Systems, LLC, Richmond, Washington, USA) to align and stack-focus each final image.

Morphological terminology follows Cumming & Wood (2017). Abbreviations used in text: I, II, and II = fore, mid, and hind legs, respectively (used in combination with abbreviations for coxa, femur, tibia, and tarsi); ac = acrostichal setae; C = coxa; dc = dorsocentral setae; F = femur; np = notopleural setae; oc = ocellar setae; pa = postalar setae; ph = posthumeral setae; sa = supraalar setae; sc = scutellar setae; Ti = tibia; t = tarsi; vt = vertical setae; WIP = Wing Interference Pattern.

**TAXONOMY**

**Genus Uropachys Parent**


[Preoccupied by *Pachyurus* Agassiz, 1831.]


*Uropacys*: Tenorio, 1969: 40 (incorrect subsequent spelling of *Uropachys*).

Van Duzee (1933) described the genus *Eurynogaster* to include three new species, all from the Hawaiian Islands. In the same paper, Van Duzee also proposed the genus *Sweziella*, for the single new Hawaiian species, *Sweziella albifacies* Van Duzee. He placed *Eurynogaster* in the Sympycninae and *Sweziella* in the Thinophilinae. The next year, Parent (1934) proposed the genus-group name *Pachyurus* Parent for the single species *Pachyurus hawaiensis*. He later (Parent, 1935) noted the generic name was preoccupied and proposed the replacement name *Uropachys* Parent. Subsequently, little study was undertaken on species in the genera *Eurynogaster*, *Sweziella*, and *Uropachys* until Hardy & Kohn (1964) and Tenorio (1969), in their respective works on the dolichopodids of Hawai‘i, treated *Sweziella* and *Uropachys* as junior synonyms under *Eurynogaster*. Hardy & Kohn described 32 new species of *Eurynogaster*, five of which were transferred to *Uropachys* in the study by Evenhuis (2005) who broke up the large genus *Eurynogaster* into seven genera (*Adachia* Evenhuis, *Arciellia* Evenhuis, *Elmoia* Evenhuis, *Eurynogaster*, *Major* Evenhuis, *Sweziella*, and *Uropachys*). A molecular study (Goodman et al. 2016) verified the monophyly of the genera proposed by Evenhuis (2005).

*Uropachys* is separated from related Hawaiian dolichopodid genera based on the characteristic prominent male cercus and large male hypopygium. The cercus is useful as a primary diagnostic character in separating species in the genus and the names for new species in this paper are proposed by adding prefixes to the suffix -cercus in keeping with other species in the genus so named [e.g., *U. crassicercus* (Hardy & Kohn), *U. fusticercus* (Hardy & Kohn)].

**Diagnosis.** *Uropachys* is easily separated from other genera of native Hawaiian Dolichopodidae by the absence of anterior preapical setae on all femora; the presence of 4 dc and absence of ac on the mesonotum; the hypopygium extremely large (larger than 1/2 length of abdomen); and cerci variably shaped, long (usually over three times width), sclerotized, possessing strong, modified bristles, especially at apex; aedeagal tip recurved, S-shaped in lateral view. As in *Sigmatineurum* Parent, what appears to be a long thin upper surstyal lobe is actually the apicoventral epandrial lobe.

**KEY TO SPECIES OF** UROPACHYS PARENT **BASED ON MALES**

1. Mid and hind femora predominantly all yellow except apices brown ................................. 2
   –. At least mid (and often hind) femora brown to black on lateral surface, with metallic green highlights (seen best in dried specimens) ......................................................... 3

2. Fore coxa brown to black, gray pollinose (Fig. 3) ................. *flavicrurus* Hardy & Kohn
   –. Fore coxa yellow (Fig. 4) ............................................................... *mediacercus* Evenhuis, n. sp.

3. Femora and tibiae all brown to dark brown ................................................................. 4
   –. Femora dark brown to black, tibiae contrastingly yellow ........................................... 5

4. Halter knob yellow; cercus not extending to third abdominal segment ....................... 8
   –. Halter knob dark brown; cercus elongate, extending at least to middle of third abdominal segment ................................................................. *fusticercus* Hardy & Kohn

5. Wing uniformly smoky brown ................................................. *politocercus* Evenhuis, n. sp.
   –. Wing subhyaline ........................................................................................................... 6

6 . Fore femur with basalmost two strong ventral bristles distinctly longer than remainder of stiff spines ....................................................... *hawaiensis* Parent
   –. Fore femora with strong ventral bristles short, all equal in length ............................... *clavastyla* Hardy & Kohn

7. Fore femur with strong ventral spines ........................................ *crassicercus* Hardy & Kohn
   –. Fore femur without strong ventral spines or setae ....................................................... 8

8. Cercus extremely thin in lateral view, without pointed process basomedially (Fig. 8); mesonotum dark metallic green .............................. *fleacercus* Evenhuis, n. sp.
   –. Cercus much broader in lateral view, with small pointed process basomedially (Fig. 12); mesonotum pale brassy green .......................... *palustricola* Hardy & Kohn

**Uropachys clavastyla** (Hardy & Kohn)
(Fig. 5)


**Diagnosis.** Most similar to *U. hawaiensis*, but can be separated from it by the lack of two long strong basal ventral setae on the fore femur (present in *U. hawaiensis*).
Description. Male: Body length: 3.3 mm. Wing length: 3.5 mm.

Head. Frons gray pollinose with metallic green highlights; face and clypeus shining black; oc black, about two-thirds width of head; vertex black with green highlights; occiput gray pollinose; postgena with sparse short black hairs and green highlights; face slightly constricted below antennae, separated by width of five ommatidia; palpus small, brown; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna with scape and pedicel dark brown; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel broken off and missing in holotype [noted in Hardy & Kohn, 1964: 186].

Thorax. Mesonotum brassy green and magenta on anterior half, dark metallic green on posterior half and scutellum; pleura gray pollinose except magenta anepisternum, and green katepisternum; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob yellow.

Legs. Coxae brown, gray pollinose; femora metallic green, yellow ventrally; tibiae and tarsal segments 1–3 yellow, tarsal segments 4–5 brown; FI with row of 14–16 short, stiff spines ventrally; FII with two strong setae basoventrally, shorter, thinner stiff setae along entire venter; FIII with two rows of long fine hairs ventrally; fore and hind tibiae without MSSC; TiII with row of long stiff setae mesally; remaining leg segments without MSSC.

Wing (left wing broken off in holotype). Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

Abdomen. Brassy green and dark metallic green; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium brown; cercus (Fig. 5) subrectangular, length ca. 3 × basal width, with broad flat apical peg (in lateral view) recurved apically (in dorsal view), with three extremely long, thick slightly wavy setae subapicoventrally, length ca. 2/3 length of cercus, with row of six long setae medioventrally, dense long fine hairs ventrobasally.
Female: Unknown.


Remarks. The female listed under clavastyla in Hardy & Kohn (1964) could not be reliably associated with the male (the WIP is not the same pattern). It is placed here as incertae sedis in Uropachys. Also, the hypopygial drawing in Hardy & Kohn (1964: fig. 43b,c) is inaccurately drawn and wrongly depicts the apical peg and the lengths of the strong ventral setae.

**Uropachys crassicercus** (Hardy & Kohn) (Fig. 6)


**Diagnosis.** Easily separated from the congeners by the combination of brown tibiae, yellow halter knob and strong spines on the venter of the fore femora.

**Description.** Male: Body length: 3.6 mm. Wing length: 3.8 mm.

*Head.* Face, frons and clypeus black; vt black, slightly longer than antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black; postgena with sparse short black hairs and some green highlights; face constricted at middle, separated by width of four ommatidia; palpus small, black; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna black; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel, subconical, length 1.5 × width, bluntly rounded apically; arista slightly longer than head height.
Thorax. Mesonotum and scutellum black with dark green highlights; pleura dark gray pollinose except brassy anepisternum and katepisternum; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob yellow.

Legs. CI and FI metallic green, CII and CIII brown, remainder of legs dark brown; FI with row of 8–10 short stiff spines ventrally; FII with dense cluster of 4–5 long, thick, stiff setae basoventrally; row of 12 very short spines on apicoventral half; FIII (broken off in holotype) without ventral setation; fore and mid legs without MSSC; TiII with two rows of stiff setae on apical 2/3; remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.

Wing. Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossover length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

Abdomen. Black with dark green highlights; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium brown; cercus (Fig. 6) fairly broad in lateral view with bulbous basal portion and broad apex, constricted medially and appearing curved, with thick strong apical peg slightly recurved apically, three subapical pegs roughly the same length, all straight to apex, two distalmost pointed apically, proximalmost rounded apically and flattened, dorsal edge with row of short hairs on basal half, sparse microsetae near apex, basal bulge without strong setae, but numerous long fine hairs and shorter microsetae.

Female: Unknown.


Uropachys flavicrura (Hardy & Kohn): Evenhuis, 2005: 57.


Diagnosis. Similar to U. mediacerces, n. sp. by the predominantly yellow legs, but can be separated from it by the fore coxa with green highlights and all abdominal segments with green highlights (fore coxa and abdominal segments II and III yellow in U. mediacerces).

Description. Male: Body length: 4.0–4.2 mm. Wing length: 4.3–4.5 mm.

Head. Face, frons and clypeus black; vt black, slightly longer than antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black; postgena with sparse short black hairs and some green highlights; face constricted at middle, separated by width of four ommatidia; palpus small, black; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna black; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel, subconical, length 1.5 × width, bluntly rounded apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

Thorax. Mesonotum and scutellum black with dark green highlights; pleura dark gray pollinose except brassy anepisternum and katepisternum; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob yellow.
Legs. CI (Fig. 3) brown with greenish highlights in some specimens, CII and CIII brown, remainder of legs yellowish with brown on dorsal surface of FI; FI with two rows of short stiff spines along entire venter; FII with dense cluster of 4–5 long, thick, black setae basoventrally, row of medium length stiff hairs from apical two-thirds to apex; FIII with row of six strong short setae on subapical one-third; TiII with stiff hairs along mesal surface, longest on apical two-thirds. Remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.

Wing. Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

Abdomen. Dark brown with green highlights; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium brown; cercus (Fig. 7) broadly subrectangular, length ca. 2.5 x basal width, two strong ventral spines subapically, apex with large spatulate peg in lateral view, obscuring smaller peg seen in dorsal view, dorsal edge with eight stiff setae, basoventral bulge with 4 strong setae and numerous fine hairs.

Female: Specimens identified as *U. flavicrura* by Hardy & Kohn cannot be reliably associated with this species as no characters have yet been found to separate females of *U. flavicrura* from other species in the genus except *U. mediacercus*, n. sp. See remarks below.


Remarks. Hardy & Kohn (1964) listed ten paratypes (two males and eight females) of *U. flavicrura*. These specimens have been re-examined and those with a yellow fore coxa are transferred here as paratypes of *U. mediacercus*, n. sp. (vide infra). The remaining females may or may not belong to *U. flavicrura* and are left here as incertae sedis in *Uropachys*.

**Uropachys fleacercus** Evenhuis, n. sp. (Fig. 8)

**Diagnosis.** Similar to *U. palustricola* in having brown tibiae, yellow halteres, and a lack of strong setae or spines ventrally on the fore femur. It can be separated from it by the male cercus having (1) a lack of a pointed process basodorsally (pointed process present in *U. palustricola*) and (2) the apical peg short and not curved (apical peg long and curved in *U. palustricola*).

**Description.** Male: Body length: 3.5 mm. Wing length: 3.8 mm.

Head. Face, frons and clypeus dark brown; vt black, about two-thirds length of antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black with dark green highlights; postgena with sparse short black hairs, dark green highlights; face constricted at middle, separated by width of three ommatidia; palpus small, black; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna black; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel subconical, length 1.5 × width, rounded apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

Thorax. Mesonotum and scutellum black with dark green highlights; pleura dark brown except brassy anepisternum, brassy and purplish katepisternum; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob yellow.
Legs. CI brown with green highlights, CII and CIII brown, FII dark brown remainder of legs brown; FII with dense cluster of 3 long, thick black setae basoventrally, medium length hairs ventrally with longest 5 – 6 at subapical third; TiII with stiff setae mesally, with longest 6 – 7 at apical fourth; remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.

Wing. Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

Abdomen. Brown with dark green and blue highlights; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium dark brown; cercus (Fig. 8) swollen basally, long, thin on apical 2/3, length ca. 3 × basal width, with short thick apical peg and three long thick pegs apicoventrally, five long stiff setae ventrally at basal one-third, shorter setae proximal to long setae, numerous fine hairs along edge and basoventrally.

Female: Unknown.

Material Examined. Type. HOLOTYPE ♂ (BPBM 17,998) and paratype ♂ from HAWAIIAN ISLANDS: Kaua'i: Alaka'i Swamp, Halepa'akai Stream region, Pauiohi Field camp, 22.07999°N, 159.546896°W, 25 May 2005, R. Peck, Malaise #2.

Uropachys fusticercus (Hardy & Kohn)
(Figs. 2, 9)


Diagnosis. Easily separated from the congeners by the large cercus of the male genitalia, extending forward at least to the middle of the third abdominal segment (not extending as far forward in the congeners) and the dark brown halter knob (yellow to white in the congeners).

Description. Male (Fig. 2): Body length: 2.7–3.0 mm. Wing length: 2.5–2.8 mm.

Head. Face, front and clypeus dark brown; oc and vt black, about two-thirds length of antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black, the latter with brown highlights; postgena with sparse short black hairs; face constricted at middle, separated by width of one ommatidium; palpus small, brown; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna dark brown; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel subtriangular, length 2 × width, acute apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

Thorax. Mesonotum and scutellum subshining dark brown, with magenta, green, and bronze highlights; anepisternum and katepisternum as in mesonotum, remainder of pleura dull brown pollinose; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem pale brown, knob dark brown.

Legs. Coxae I as in anepisternum, CII–CIII dull brown, remainder of legs subshining brown; FI with greenish highlights; rest of fore legs and all of hind legs unmodified, without MSSC; FII with row of stiff black setae along entire ventral surface (MSSC); TiII slightly sinuous, medial surface with 10 – 12 stiff setae admixed with row of 8 shorter stiff setae (MSSC); ITi1 subequal in length to TiII; remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.
Wing. Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA₁.

Abdomen. Subshining dark brown; tergites I–III with greenish highlights; tergites IV–VII with some magenta and greenish highlights; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium dark brown, large, extending forward almost to level of abdominal segment II; cercus (Fig. 9) very long, thin, length ca. 5.5 × basal width, constricted to thin strip medially, apex with two large thick pegs, distal almost the thickest, subapicoventrally with two strong thick peg-like setae, basal bulge with row of eight strong stiff setae ventrally, numerous fine hairs and microsetae basally.

Female: Specimens identified as U. fusticercus by Hardy & Kohn cannot be reliably associated with this species as no characters have yet been found to separate females of U. flavicrura from other species in the genus except U. mediacercus, n. sp. There are treated here as incertae sedis in Uropachys until reliable characters can be found to associate males and females.


Uropachys hawaiensis (Parent) (Fig. 10)

Gen. nov. et sp.: Grimshaw, 1901: 13. [The specimen referred to here was ultimately used as the type for Pachyurus hawaiensis.]

Pachyurus hawaiensis Parent, 1934: 305.


Diagnosis. Most similar to U. clavastyla Hardy & Kohn by the possession of a brown hind basitarsus, but can be easily separated from it by the presence of the two basalmost bristles on the venter of the fore femur twice as long as the remainder (all ventral bristles the same length in U. clavastyla).

Description (from homotype male in UHIM). Male: Body length: 3.5 mm. Wing length: 4.0 mm.

Head. Face, front and clypeus black, with greenish highlights; oc and vt black, about two-thirds length of antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black, occiput gray pollinose along lateral eye margin; postgena with sparse short black hairs; face constricted at middle, separated by width of three ommatidia; palpus small, brown; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna brown; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel
short, subtriangular, length ca. 0.5 × width, rounded apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

**Thorax.** Mesonotum subshining dark brown, with greenish highlights anteriorly; scutellum dark brown; anepisternum and katepisternum as in mesonotum, remainder of pleura dull brown pollinose; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob yellow.

**Legs.** Predominantly brown, with hind femur and tibia yellowish on medial surface; FI with strong spines basally, basalmost 2 twice as long as remainder, otherwise fore and hind legs unmodified and without MSSC; FII with 2 long stiff black setae basally on ventral surface (MSSC); TiII with row of 9 stiff setae on medial surface (MSSC); IIt 2/3 length of tibia. Remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.

**Wing.** Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

**Abdomen.** Brown with greenish and bronze highlights dorsally; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

**Genitalia.** Hypopygium brown; cercus (Fig. 10) broad basally and apically, constricted medially, length ca. 3 x basal width, apex with cluster of three long pegs: dorsalmost acutely rounded apically, subdorsal peg flattened and slightly flared apically, lowermost flattened and broadly flared apically, apicoventral corner of cercus with two strong pegs, slightly longer than apical pegs, basal bulge with row of six strong spine-like hairs ventrally, numerous shorter, finer hairs basoventrally.

**Female:** Unknown.


**Uropachys mediaceracus** Evenhuis, **n. sp.**

(Figs. 4, 11)

**Diagnosis.** Easily separated from the congeners by the yellow fore coxa (fore coxa brown with green highlights in the congeners).

**Description. Male:** Body length: 2.8–3.1 mm. Wing length: 2.8–3.2 mm.

**Head.** Face, front and clypeus black; oc and vt black, about two-thirds length of antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black with purple highlights; postgena with sparse short black hairs; face constricted at middle, separated by width of three ommatidia; palpus small, brown; proboscis brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna with scape and pedicel yellowish brown; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel short, yellow with brown border, subspherical, length ca. 0.5 × width, blunt apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

**Thorax.** Uniformly brown; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob white.

**Legs.** Coxae with CI yellow to yellowish white (Fig. 4), CII–CIII brown, remainder of legs yellowish white; fore and hind legs unmodified and without MSSC; FII with 3–4 long stiff black setae basoventrally, shorter setae elsewhere along medial portion of ventral surface (MSSC); IIt 2/3 length of tibia. Remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.
**Wing.** Subhyaline, veins pale brownish; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

**Abdomen.** Brown except yellow laterally on segments II–III, yellow color extending to sternites III; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

**Genitalia.** Hypopygium brown; cercus (Fig. 11) broad basally, rounded apically, constricted medially, with two pegs apically, one normally shaped, the other spatulate apically, apicoventral corner of cercus with two long pegs, longer than apical pegs, basal bulge with row of six long stiff hairs ventrally, numerous microsetae basally.

**Female:** As in male except as follows: face wider, gray pollinose, not appreciably constricted medially; scutellum with brassy highlights; legs without MSSC; abdomen all brassy green.


**Remarks.** The type series of *U. mediacercus*, n. sp. includes some female paratypes of specimens originally identified as *U. flavicrura* Hardy & Kohn and *U. palustricola* Hardy & Kohn that have yellow fore coxae.

**Uropachys palustricola** (Hardy & Kohn)
(Figs. 1, 12)


**Diagnosis.** Easily separated from the congeners by the cercus bearing a pointed process dorsobasally.

**Description.** Male (Fig. 1): Body length: 3.2–3.5 mm. Wing length: 3.3–3.9 mm.

**Head.** Face and clypeus silvery pollinose, front black; oc and vt black, about two-thirds length of antennal arista; occiput and vertex black; postgena with sparse short black hairs; face constricted, separated by width of two ommatidia; palpus small, dark brown; proboscis dark brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna dark brown; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel, conical, length subequal to width, blunt apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

**Thorax.** Mesonotum and scutellum dark brown with greenish highlights dorsally, magenta highlights and humeral corners; pleura dull black except subshining anepisternum and katepisternum with greenish highlights; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob white.

**Legs.** Legs brown, CI with bluish and greenish highlights; FI and FII with greenish
highlights, otherwise fore and hind legs unmodified and without MSSC; FII with patch of 4 long stiff black setae basoventrally, short, stiff setae elsewhere along medial portion of ventral surface (MSSC); TiII (Fig. 1) with medial surface with row of 9 long thin setae and row of 8 shorter spiny setae (MSSC); III; subequal to length of tibia. Remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.

Wing. Subhyaline, veins dark brown; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

Abdomen. Brown, tergite I with brassy highlights, II–VII with greenish highlights; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium dark brown; cercus (Fig. 12) broad basally with thinner apical two-thirds to rounded apex, basal bulge with distinctive pointed dorsal process, apex with set of three strong downward curved pegs, uppermost curved more than other two, apicoventral corner with two strong pegs subequal in length to lowermost apical pegs and with small patch of short fine hairs proximally, basal bulge with row of six long stiff hairs, numerous microsetae basally.

Female: Unknown. The single female paratype tentatively identified as U. palustricola by Hardy & Kohn (1964) has all yellow legs and thus belongs to U. mediaccercus, n. sp. to which it has been transferred.

Material Examined. Types. Holotype ♂ (BPBM 4178) from Kaua‘i: Alaka‘i Swamp, 10 Jul 1928, E.H. Bryan, Jr. Paratype ♂, same data (UH). Non-Types: Kaua‘i: 5♂, Koke‘e, Kalalau to Alaka‘i, 9 Apr 1963, J.L. Gressitt (BPBM); 3♂, Alaka‘i Swamp @ Pihea, 4,000 ft [ca. 1,220 m], 30 Jun 1985, R. Hurley (BPBM); 5♂, Koke‘e, Pihea, Kaunuohua Ridge, 4,260 ft [ca. 1,298 m], 23 May 1979, D.E. Hardy (UH); 1♂, Alaka‘i Swamp, 3,800 ft [ca. 1,100 m], 28 Jul 1963, D.E. Hardy (UH); 1♂, Alaka‘i Swamp, 4,000 ft [ca. 1,220 m], 22 May 1966, K.Y. Kaneshiro (UH); 1♂, Pihea, 2,260 ft [ca. 689 m], 30 Jul 1964, D.E. Hardy (UH).

Uropachys politocercus Evenhuis, n. sp.

(Fig. 13)

Diagnosis. Easily separated from the congeners by the smoky brown wings (subhyaline in the congeners); the cercus is similar in shape to U. fleacercus, n. sp. but can be separated from it by the three apical pegs set closely together (two apical pegs in U. fleacercus).

Description. Male: Body length: 4.8 mm. Wing length: 4.5 mm.

Head. Face and clypeus silvery pollinose, front black; oc and vt black, about two-thirds length of antennal arista; occiput, and vertex black; postgena with sparse short black hairs; face slightly constricted at middle, separated by width of 4 ommatidia; palpus small, dark brown; proboscis dark brown, extending below eye in lateral view; antenna dark brown; scape subcylindrical, length 1.5 × width; pedicel obconical, with ring of short spiky black setae subapically; postpedicel subconical, length ca. 0.75 × width, acute apically; arista slightly longer than head height.

Thorax. Mesonotum and scutellum brown; pleura dull dark brown except anepisternum and katepisternum subshining dark brown with magenta highlights; thoracic setae black: 4 dc; 2 np; 2 ph; 1 pa; 1 sc; ac absent; halter stem and knob white.
Legs. Coxae and femora brown, remainder of legs yellowish; fore legs unmodified and without MSSC; FII with 2–3 long stiff black setae basoventrally, shorter setae in row along medial portion of ventral surface (MSSC); TiII bent slightly beyond middle, entire medial surface with row of short black setae, patch of longer spiny setae on apical one-third (MSSC); ItI slightly shorter than tibia; remaining leg segments unmodified and without MSSC.

Wing. Uniformly smoky brown, veins brown; posterior crossvein length 1/4 apical segment of CuA1.

Abdomen. Brown, subshining brassy dorsally, fading to pale brassy laterally on tergites II–III; tergal vestiture sparse, black.

Genitalia. Hypopygium brown; cercus (Fig. 13) similar in shape to *U. fleacercus* with large basal bulge and tapering to long thin apical portion, apex with cluster of three thick pegs, lowermost ca. 1.5 × length of upper two, apicoventral corner of cercus with two log thick pegs, subequal in length to lowermost apical peg, basal bulge with row of five stiff hairs and one thick stiff hair ventrally, numerous shorter fine hairs ventrally and microsetae basally.

Female: Unknown.

Material Examined. Type (in fluid; taken out to dry to observe pollinosity for description and then immediately placed back in fluid). HOLOTYPE ♂ (BPBM 18,000) from HAWAIIAN ISLANDS: Kaua‘i: Alaka‘i Swamp, Halepa‘akai Stream region, Pauiohi Field camp, 22.07999°N, 159.546896°W, 25 May 2005, R. Peck, Malaise #2.

Species removed from *Uropachys Parent*

*Eurynogaster pulverea* Hardy & Kohn, stat. rev.


*Uropachys pulverea* (Hardy & Kohn): Evenhuis, 2005: 57.


Re-examination of the type series of *Eurynogaster pulverea* Hardy & Kohn shows it to not belong to *Uropachys* but is a typical *Eurynogaster*. The male genitalia are concealed and previous examination mis-interpreted the shape and size of the cercus. It is actually short, squarish (not elongate) and possesses a patch of apical hairs. The species is only known from the holotype male, hence, the genitalia have not been dissected and further information of the genitalic structures could not be ascertained.
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New Hawaiian plant records from Lāna‘i for 2019
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Since the mandatory cutoff for incorporation of new information and inclusion in the landmark Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i in 1987, and its subsequent publication in 1990, there has been intensive field work and collection effort throughout the Hawaiian Islands. However, new naturalized taxa continue to be found, as well as new distributional records of plants established on other neighbor islands, including native species. In this paper we document and share this information with the broader botanical and conservation community. We discuss 14 taxa in 13 families. All are non-native.

Information regarding the formerly known distribution of flowering plants is based on the Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1990) and information subsequently published in the Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey.

All voucher specimens are deposited at B.P. Bishop Museum Herbarium Pacificum (BISH), Honolulu, with a duplicate deposited at the National Tropical Botanical Garden (PTBG), Lāwa‘i, Kaua‘i, unless otherwise indicated. In the latter case, the herbarium acronym is cited following the voucher data.

Apocynaceae
Stapelia gigantea N.E. Br.
New island record
Previously documented from O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, both East and West Maui, Kaho‘olawe, and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999: 241; Oppenheimer et al. 1999: 7; Wysong et al. 2007: 2; Oppenheimer 2010: 33; Parker & Parsons 2012: 57; Starr & Starr 2017: 3), this succulent species was found on Lāna‘i recently, where it was locally common. It was also observed in Lōpā Gulch on the east side of the island.

Material examined. LĀNA‘I: Ka‘a, near road to Ka‘ena, locally common succulent in dry area, 180 m, 19 Apr 2018, Oppenheimer & K. Bogner #H41807.

Cactaceae
Cylindropuntia fulgida Engelm.
New naturalized record
The jumping cholla or hanging chain cholla is native to Sonora, Mexico and the southwestern United States. This cactus seems to have escaped from a nearby residence, where several naturalized succulent species have originated. Sections of the rounded stem are easily detached and dispersed when the long spines come in contact with people or animals. Axis deer in the area are likely dispersal agents. Pūlama Lāna‘i has initiated control efforts.

Material examined. LĀNA‘I: Kaumalapau, on roadcuts and cliffs, 18 m, 24 Oct 1999, Oppenheimer H109918 (BISH); loc. cit., 1 Mar 2010, Duvall & Costales s.n. (BISH).

1. Research Associate, Hawaii Biological Survey, Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96817-2704, USA.
Convolvulaceae

Ipomoea ochracea (Lindl.) G. Don  
**New island record**

This morning glory has been documented from Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner *et al.* 1999: 559). It was recently collected on Lāna‘i.


Cyperaceae

*Cyperus fulvus* R. Br.  
**New state record**

This species, known as sticky sedge, is native to New Guinea and northern and eastern Australia. It has not been previously recorded in Hawai‘i. Over 100 plants were found scattered across several sites in degraded *Dodonaea* Lowland Dry Shrubland, and with more search effort additional plants probably could be found.

*Material examined.* LĀNA‘I: Ka‘a, vicinity of Kapukaloa, 530 m, 11 Oct 2018, Oppenheimer, K. Bogner, & M. Kier #H101808 (BISH, US).

*Cyperus meyelianus* Kunth  
**New island record**

[Syn. *Mariscus meyelianus* (Kunth) Nees] Previously documented as a naturalized species on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner *et al.* 1999: 1420; Hughes 1995: 4; Oppenheimer 2003: 10; Oppenheimer 2006: 12), this plant was recently found on Lāna‘i, where it grew with *C. fulvus* R. Br. (see above discussion). How two weedy Cyperaceae taxa came to be growing together in the same area on a new island is of some interest regarding dispersal and pathways.


Euphorbiaceae

*Euphorbia antiquorum* L.  
**New naturalized record**

Native to India, where it grows in dry scrub habitats (Staples & Herbst 2005: 285), this erect cactus-like species known as Malayan spurge tree, is similar to *E. lactea* Haw. but differs in the lack of mottled white patches on the flat sides of the 3-angled branches. Like *E. lactea*, it also seems to be able to spread and reproduce vegetatively (Frohlich & Lau 2012: 35) and appears to have escaped from a nearby residence, where several other succulents have originated.


Orchidaceae

*Arundina graminifolia* (D. Don) Hochr.  
**New island record**

Documented from Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, East and West Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner *et al.* 1999: 1471; Oppenheimer & Bartlett 2000: 7), this orchid was recently found on Lāna‘i growing along an unpaved road. Only a single large clump was observed and removed, and no plants have been subsequently found.

*Material examined.* LĀNA‘I: Munro Trail, 1000 m, 28 Sep 2016, Oppenheimer & M. Padgett #H91644 (BISH).
Papaveraceae
Argemone mexicana L. New island record
Naturaled on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, and Maui (Wagner et al. 1999: 1005; Wysong et al. 2007: 6), Mexican poppy was recently found on Lāna‘i. All plants were removed by Pūlama Lāna‘i staff at Nininiwai Hill and the site is being monitored for recruitment and continued control. Single immature plants have also been found and removed near Naio Gulch, in Kuahu Gulch, and along Polihua Road. The Keōmuku Road site has several hundred plants, mostly immature, and control efforts are being evaluated.

Material examined. LĀNA‘I: mauka of Lāna‘i City, near Kailohena and Iwi‘ole Gulches, north of Nininiwai Hill, naturalized at edge of waste area with other weeds from discarded yard clippings, 545 m, 18 Jun 2018, K. Bogner KKB0021; Keōmuku Rd., north of ‘Āwehi Rd., sandy soil along unpaved roadside, 5 m, 3 Apr 2019, Oppenheimer & K. Bogner #H41901 (BISH).

Poaceae
Urochloa distachya (L.) T.Q. Nguyen New island record
Tropical signalgrass is known to be naturalized on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Maui, and possibly Moloka‘i (Wagner et al. 1999: 1503; Lorence et al. 1995: 44; Frohlich & Lau 2014: 13). It has been known as both Brachiaria distachya (L.) Stapf and B. subquadriparia (Trin.) Hitchc.

Material examined. LĀNA‘I: Mānele, 10 m, 12 Dec 2008 Oppenheimer #H120825.

Pontederiaceae
Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms New island record
Introduced as an ornamental, water hyacinth has been known in Hawai‘i from the islands of Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Maui, and Hawai‘i, where it is naturalized and locally abundant in standing or slow-moving water (Wagner et al. 1990: 1604–1606). On Lāna‘i this species covers at least 75% of an old water feature in a former golf course.

Material examined. LĀNA‘I: Kō‘ele, west of Nininiwai Hill, 550 m, 27 Sep 2018, Oppenheimer & K. Bogner #H91802.

Solanaceae
Solanum torvum Sw. New island record
Known from Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, East and West Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999: 1276; Oppenheimer et al. 1999: 10; Starr et al. 2003: 32; Frohlich & Lau 2012: 48), this thorny shrub or small tree was recently found in two locations on Lāna‘i, both sites adjacent to golf courses. Efforts to control or eradicate it have been initiated by Pūlama Lāna‘i staff.

Material examined. LĀNA‘I: mauka of Lāna‘i City, between Kailohena and Kapa‘o Gulches, vicinity of Nininiwai Hill, naturalized among new and old, neglected landscaping trees and weeds such as Acacia confusa, Eucalyptus sp., Psidium cattleianum, & Schinus terebinthifolius, 520 m, 27 Jun 2018, Oppenheimer & K. Bogner #H61810.

Verbenaceae
Stachytarpheta cayennensis (Rich.) Vahl New island record
[Syn.: S. dichotoma (Ruiz & Pav.) Vahl; S. urticifolia (Salisb.) Sims] Naturalized on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999: 1322; Herbst & Wagner 1999: 32; Staples & Herbst 2005: 555), this is a widespread species on Lāna‘i.
Material examined. LĀNAʻI: Kanepuʻu Preserve, ‘Ahakea Unit, in remnant dry forest, 520 m, 22 Jan 2015, Oppenheimer #H11505; Munro Trail, between Haʻalelepa’akai and Lānaʻihale, 1000 m, 3 Jun 2015, Oppenheimer #H61502.

Zingiberaceae
Alpinia zerumbet (Pers.) B.L. Burtt & R.M. Sm. New island record
Shell ginger is a common ornamental and already reported as naturalized on Kauaʻi, Oʻahu, Molokaʻi, and Maui (Flynn & Lorence 2002: 16; Oppenheimer 2008: 35; Oppenheimer 2010: 38–39; Frohlich & Lau 2014: 15). On Lānaʻi scattered individuals were observed in shady understory of landscaping and neglected waste areas.

Material examined. LĀNAʻI: Kōʻele, 500 m, 27 Sep 2018, Oppenheimer & K. Bogner #H91803 (BISH).

ADVENTIVE SPECIES SHOWING SIGNS OF NATURALIZATION
Crassulaceae
Kalanchoe crenata (Andrews) Haw.
Widespread across tropical Africa to South Africa, where it is used medicinally, this succulent species with yellow flowers was observed outside its cultivated location under hedges in neglected areas. As with other Crassulaceae, it is called mother-of-millions as well as never-die, referring to the numerous bulbils on the leaves from which new plants arise. Subsequent observations show that plants persisted for several years but seem to have died out lately. It is apparently naturalized in Egypt, tropical America, India, and Malaysia (Hyde et al. 2018).

Material examined. LĀNAʻI: Lānaʻi City, 490 m, 11 Dec 2008, Oppenheimer & S. Perlman #H120822.
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New Plant Records from Maui Nui

FOREST STARR & KIM STARR
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The following contributions include new plant records from the islands of Maui and Moloka‘i. All records are for nonindigenous species. Voucher collections mentioned are housed in Bishop Museum’s Herbarium Pacificum (BISH), Honolulu, Hawai‘i.

Combretaceae

*Conocarpus erectus* L.  
*New island record*

*Conocarpus erectus*, button mangrove, is previously known in Hawai‘i from all the main islands except Kaho‘olawe and Moloka‘i (Wagner et al. 1999; Staples et al. 2002; Oppenheimer & Bartlett 2002; Staples & Herbst 2005; Parker & Parsons 2012). Recently, it was found by Arleone Dibben-Young to be naturalized on the south shore of Moloka‘i at Puko‘o Lagoon, where it was growing along the high-water mark.

*Material examined.*  
**MOLOKA‘I:** Puko‘o Lagoon, at high water mark, coastal strand in association with coconut (*Cocos nucifera*) and ironwood (*Casuarina equisetifolia*), many saplings and seedlings, 1 ft [0.3 m], 21.07063°N, 156.79941°W, 03 Sep 2019, A. Dibben-Young sub Starr & Starr 190903-01.

Fabaceae

*Desmodium intortum* (Mill.) Urb.  
*New island record*

*Desmodium intortum*, tick clover, is previously known in Hawai‘i from the islands of Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999; Herbarium Pacificum Staff 1998; Imada et al. 2000; Staples et al. 2003). Tick clover was first introduced to the state of Hawai‘i by the Hawai‘i Agriculture Experiment Station in 1947 (USDA-NRCS 2012). Several cultivars were considered outstanding in the field and were further developed and increased. Most are adapted to areas in Hawai‘i with rainfall greater than 60 inches (152 cm), ranging from sea level to 2,500 ft (762 m) (USDA-NRCS 2012). On Maui, this robust sprawling vine is dominant in pastures and found in nearby areas, such as parks, gulches, and house lots. In addition to the collections below, it grows vigorously at the author’s house in Olinda, elevation 2,700 ft (823 m).

*Material examined.*  
**MAUI:** East Maui, Makawao, Kahakapao Rd. near Makawao Forest Reserve, scrub pasture/roadside, in association with gorse (*Ulex europaeus*), Guinea grass (*Megathyrsus maximus*), and guava (*Psidium guajava*), 2,500 ft [762 m], 20.8372°N, 156.2797°W, 30 Oct 2001, Starr & Martz 011030-02; East Maui, Pukalani, Pukalani Community Center, crawling aggressively on vegetation and in lawn, urban landscaping, in association with Kikuyu grass (*Cenchrus clandestinus*) and Formosan koa (*Acacia confusa*), 1,375 ft [419 m], 20.8383°N 156.3427°W, 15 Feb 2002, Starr & Martz 020215-01; East Maui, Makawao, Eddie Tam Park, growing on fence and in abandoned pasture, urban scrub and pasture, in association with Kikuyu grass and glycine (*Neonotonia wightii*), 1,600 ft [488 m], 20.8508°N, 156.3161°W, 15 Feb 2002, Starr & Martz 020215-02.
Rubiaceae

**Galium divaricatum** Pourr. ex Lam.  

**High elevation record**

*Galium divaricatum*, bedstraw, is previously known from the islands of Hawai‘i and Maui (Wagner & Herbst 1995; Wagner *et al.* 1999). On Maui, *G. divaricatum* is previously known from the Kanaio, Kula, and Olinda areas. The previous highest known collection on Maui was made by the authors in Pōhakuokalā Gulch at 4,500 ft (1,372 m). On Hawai‘i Island, *G. divaricatum* has been collected in the Pōhakuloa Training Area, along Saddle Road (Wagner & Herbst 1995). Though there is no elevation specified for the Hawai‘i Island collection, the highest point along Saddle Road is 6,600 ft (2,012 m). In 2013, this low-growing, mat-forming herb was located on the island of Maui during invasive species early detection surveys at Haleakalā National Park, Leleiwi Overlook parking lot at an elevation of 8,800 ft (2,680 m), where a few small plants were found growing in a crack in the road. The plants were pulled, though they had already gone to seed. This is the highest elevation at which *G. divaricatum* has been found in the state of Hawai‘i.

**Material examined. MAUI:** East Maui, Haleakalā National Park, growing in a crack between the sidewalk and the road, in subalpine shrubland in association with māmane (*Sophora chrysophylla*) and pilo (*Coprosma montana*), 8,800 ft [2,680 m], 2296279N, 788413W, 01 Aug 2013, Starr & Starr 130801-05; East Maui, Pōhakuokalā Gulch, scrub forest consisting of native koa (*Acacia koa*), and ‘ama’u (*Sadleria* sp.), and non-natives including St. John’s wort (*Hypericum canariense*), faya tree (*Morella faya*), and daisy fleabane (*Erigeron karvinskianus*), 4,500 ft [1,372 m], 05 Aug 2003, Starr & Starr 030805-01.

**Galium parisiense** L.  

**New state record**

The low-growing annual herb, *Galium parisiense*, was recently found at Haleakalā National Park, Haleakalā Visitor Center (HVC), near the summit of the East Maui. Wall bedstraw is native to the Mediterranean area and has naturalized in North America, mostly in coastal states. This species is typically found in rocky disturbed sites and is a wall specialist, hence its common name, wall bedstraw (Wikipedia 2020). On Maui, a few small plants were initially found during invasive species early detection surveys in 2013 just off the parking lot at HVC. The plants were pulled, but seeds had spread to nearby areas, especially above the visitor center septic system. Control has been ongoing by Park staff for years, and this species is currently thought to be restricted to very few individuals, or at times just a seed bank. *Galium parisiense* has previously not been recorded from Hawai‘i. It is similar in appearance to other *Galium* species, but can be distinguished by the following characters—Habit: annual, erect, 15–68 cm tall, the stems slender, often retrorse-scabrous on the angles. Leaves: in whorls of 5–8, often 6, 4–9 mm long, linear to lanceolate to oblanceolate, generally reflexed in age, 1-nerved, antrorsely scabrous on the margins. Inflorescence: flowers in small cymes ending the mostly numerous branches, panicle open, few-flowered, pedicels threadlike. Flowers: minute, bisexual, corolla basally rotate, white to purple, lobes erect, glabrous to sparsely hairy. Fruit: nutlet hairs short, hooked, or granular roughened (Soza 2012; Gleason *et al.* 1991). The hooked hairs on the fruit of *G. parisiense* help distinguish it from the similar *G. divaricatum*, which has no hairs on the fruit.

**Material examined. MAUI:** East Maui, Haleakalā National Park, Haleakalā Visitor Center, near parking lot, a few small patches by the recycling bins, in sparse subalpine rock land, in association with ‘āhinahina (*Argyroxyphium sandwicense* subsp. *macrocephalum*) and kūpaoa (*Dubautia menziesii*), 9,730 ft [2,966 m], 2293043°N, 786363°W, 23 Jul 2013 (Starr & Starr 130723-02) & 01 Aug 2013 (Starr & Starr 130801-03).
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New plant records for Nihoa, 2019

DANIELLE FROHLICH
Botanist/Invasive Species Specialist, SWCA Environmental Consultants, 1200 Ala Moana Blvd. #380, Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96814, USA; email: dfrohlich@swca.com

This report documents two new island records for Nihoa, collected on a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service expedition from September 17 to September 23, 2019. Both species were pulled, and best management practices were implemented to make sure they do not re-establish.

Information regarding the formerly known distribution of flowering plants is based on the Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999) and information subsequently published in the Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey. All supporting voucher specimens are deposited at Bishop Museum’s Herbarium Pacificum (BISH), Honolulu, Hawai‘i.

Fabaceae

**Canavalia cathartica** Thouars

*Canavalia cathartica* has been collected as naturalized in most of the main Hawaiian Island chain, except for Ni‘ihau, Lāna‘i, and Kaho‘olawe (Wagner et al. 1999). This voucher represents the first collection of this species from Papahānaumokuākea. It is unknown how this 5 × 6 meter patch arrived in this upland location on Nihoa island, but due to its large seed size, it is unlikely to have arrived as a contaminant on field equipment or other human-facilitated pathway. The patch was found in West Palm Valley and was flowering and fruiting at the time of collection. The patch was pulled and left on nearby rocks, and all seed pods were collected, bagged, and removed from the island. The site will be monitored during future visits to ensure no new plants can become established.

*Material examined. NIHOA*: Growing inland above *Pritchardia remota* forest, vining over bare rock and *Solanum nelsonii* in full sun, flowers bright pink, pods bright green, inflated, 21 Sep 2019, D. Frohlich & I. Cole s.n. (BISH 778278).

Poaceae

**Eleusine indica** (L.) Gaertn.

*Eleusine indica* has been collected as naturalized in most of the main Hawaiian Island chain and on Midway, French Frigate Shoals, and Kure in Papahānaumokuākea. A total of 282 individuals of this species were found on the island of Nihoa near a rocky outcropping in the *mauka* portion of the island. All individuals were pulled and bagged in an effort to stop further spread, and the site will be monitored during future surveys to make sure the species does not become reestablished.

*Material examined. NIHOA*: Bunching grass ca. 0.25 m tall, growing in soil near rocky outcropping, associated with *Eragrostis variabilis, Panicum torridum, Solanum nelsonii*, 20 Sep 2019, D. Frohlich, E. Sachs, & J. Vetter s.n. (BISH 765243).
New records of Sciaroidea (Diptera: Mycetophilidae, Keroplatidae) in the Hawaiian Islands

NEAL L. EVENHUIS

Hawaii Biological Survey, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai‘i 96817-2704, USA; email: neale@bishopmuseum.org

Three new records of sciaroid flies in the families Mycetophilidae and Keroplatidae are recorded from the Hawaiian Islands. Most immatures of Mycetophilidae are fungus breeders while most of the immatures of Keroplatidae are predaceous, with some being fungus breeders. The biology of two of the three (Neoempheria carinata Sueyoshi, Sciophila sp.) are known to be fungus breeders, the former is potentially damaging to mushroom cultivation in Japan (and thus also possibly in Hawai‘i), the latter is unknown as to its pestiferous status. The biology of the keroplatid (Apyrulst sastrei Matile) is unknown as only adults have been collected.

Abbreviations used for collections: BPBM (Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawai‘i, USA); CNCI (Canadian National Collection of Insects, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada); HDOA (Hawaii State Department of Agriculture, Honolulu, Hawai‘i, USA); USNM (National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA).

Mycetophilidae

Neoempheria carinata Sueyoshi New National and State Record

(Fig. 1)

This species was only recently described from mushroom hothouses in Japan (natural provenance unknown) by Sueyoshi (2014). It is easily distinguished from other mycetophilids by the contrasting back and yellow striped pattern of the scutum (Fig. 1B). It was originally found as immatures in webs made by the larvae in polypore fungi in a eucalyptus woodchip pile in rural Honoka‘a on the island of Hawai‘i, and some specimens were collected and reared to adult. Knowing of it being a potential pest of cultivated mushrooms in Japan (Sueyoshi et al. 2015), an illustrated information sheet was made by Hawaii State Department of Agriculture staff for residents and farmers in the Hamakua area of the Big Island to be on the lookout for the species. No other specimens have yet been collected beyond the original collecting site near Honoka‘a.

This marks the first state record of this species in Hawai‘i and the first record of it in the United States.

Material examined. HAWAIIAN ISLANDS: Hawai‘i: 2♂, 7 larvae, 2 pupal exuviae, Ahualoa, nr. Honoka‘a, 1 Jul 2019, Mallion, N. Evenhuis; 4♀, same data, 1 Oct 2019, Mallion, S. Chun (BPBM, HDOA, USNM).

1. Contribution No. 2020-001 to the Hawaii Biological Survey.
*Sciophila* sp. New Island Records

This species was first recorded by Howarth & Preston (2006) from a specimen collected in 2000 in the Kahului Airport environs and Krushelnicky *et al.* (2014) listed the first
record of it on the Big Island (Pu‘u Ahumoa on the southwestern flank of Mauna Kea). The new island records here extend that distribution to Kaua‘i and Kaho‘olawe; and another collection of it more northerly on the island of Hawai‘i. That it has been in the Hawaiian Islands for the last 20 years or more, but relatively undetected, is probably attributable to the cryptic existence of immatures in webs in shelf and polypore fungus in localized conditions. The species identity remains unknown but it appears to be a member of species allied to Sciophila lutea Macquart, which is a Palaearctic species, but other members of the group extend into the Oriental Region.


Keroplatidae

Apyrtula sastrei Matile

(Fig. 2)

Previously known only from 5 specimens (1♂, 4♀) from the Caribbean island country of Dominica. This marks the first record for the State of Hawai‘i and for the United States. Before Matile’s (1982) description of A. sastrei, the genus Apyrtula Edwards was known only from two species from Brazil (an undescribed species from Peru is has also been seen by me in CNCI): A. abbrevinervis Edwards, and A. spatulata Edwards. Apyrtula sastrei can be distinguished from the other two by the combination of the more yellowish mesonotum, the base of M₄ effaced, and the costal vein ending at the midway point between the end of veins R₄+₅ and M₁ (see Fig. 2).

Material examined. HAWAIIAN ISLANDS: O‘ahu: and 3♂, 1♀, 1 indet. sex, West O‘ahu [exact locality kept private by land owner request], 13 Apr 2018, R. Peck, yellow pan traps (BPBM); 1♀, 1 indet. sex, same data except, 16 Apr 2018, R. Peck, yellow pan traps (BPBM). Vouchers in BPBM, HDOA.
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Hawaiian Lava Tube Cave Associated Lepidoptera from the Collections of Francis G. Howarth and Fred D. Stone

Abstract. The lepidopteran fauna of caves remains poorly known largely because of the difficulty in collecting specimens suitable for identification in the physically demanding environment. Here we provide the results of biological surveys spanning more than 40 years in Hawai‘i. Lava tube caves are an important landform throughout the islands and especially on the younger islands of Maui and Hawai‘i. These caves support communities of a diverse array of organisms including Lepidoptera. We list 25 morphospecies of moths now known occur in Hawaiian caves. Of these, six are nonnative and mostly are found only in the entrance and twilight zones. Three native species are typical surface species, that probably entered caves accidentally. Three species of endemic Mestolobes seek shelter in caves and other dark habitats, and some may be associated with caves. Schrankia altivolans are occasionally found living in caves. The remaining species display behavioral or morphological adaptations to utilize cave habitats. The speciose endemic genus Hyposmocoma is represented in caves by at least six species. Four species of large native moths were known historically to use caves for communal daytime roosts including Hypocala velans and three species of Peridroma; these emerge at dusk and fly long distances to forage and reproduce, and then return to the same caves at dawn. Most interesting are three species (Schrankia howarthi, Pseudoschrankia nohoana, and Orthomecyna species) that live and reproduce deep in caves and show some morphological features characteristic of obligate cave animals. These results indicate that Lepidoptera are an important component of cave ecosystems and should be included in faunal surveys of caves. We also provide a brief biography of our co-author and dear friend, Dr. Fred D. Stone 1938–2018.

INTRODUCTION

Lepidoptera are rarely included in faunal surveys of caves, especially in the permanently dark deeper passages. Most historical accounts have recorded the few moth and butterfly species that habitually enter caves for estivation or shelter during seasonal climate shifts.
(Capuse & Georgescu 1962–1963; Vandel 1965; McKillop 1993; Warrant et al. 2016). A few moth species inhabit the entrance and twilight zones; i.e., the parietal biotope (Vandel 1965), where they scavenge on nest debris of cave roosting vertebrates and organic material falling into entrances. A predisposition for hypogean living has been documented in Schrankia Hübner including Japanese populations of S. costaestrigalis (Stephens), which readily colonize railroad tunnels and other underground spaces at all life stages (Yoshimatsu 1995) and an unidentified species of Schrankia from lava tubes in Queensland, Australia (Howarth & Stone 1990). In addition, individuals of many surface-inhabiting species occasionally enter caves accidentally. Moths in the family Tineidae are frequent scavengers on guano in caves, especially in tropical Southeast Asia (Robinson 1980). Thirty-six species have been collected in caves, of which 20 maintain permanent cave populations. Eleven species are known only from caves, of which one, Tinea microphthalma Robinson, from the Philippines, has reduced eyes and is considered an obligate cave dweller (= troglobite).

The first accounts of moths in caves in Hawai‘i were made by R.C.L. Perkins, who conducted a monumental insect survey of the islands from 1892 to the early 1900s (Perkins 1913). Perkins observed that native Hypocala velans Walker utilized caves and crevices in lava as daytime roosts. These moths sometimes emerged in the evening in great numbers (Perkins 1913; Zimmerman 1958a). The surprising discovery of obligately cave-adapted arthropods in young lava tubes on Hawai‘i Island (Howarth 1972) led to a multi-year project to survey the fauna of Hawaiian caves by Howarth and a team of collaborators, including the late Dr. Fred D. Stone. Among the insects collected in total darkness were specimens of a species of Schrankia, which had reduced wings and eyes, and unmarked pale grey coloration. Their larvae feed on plant roots that penetrate the lava and enter caves (Howarth 1973).

Schrankia howarthi Davis & Medeiros is the second known lepidopteran that lives permanently underground and displays the typical adaptations found in other obligate cave species. Its discovery intrigued Don R. Davis, of the Smithsonian Institution, who visited the islands in 1974 and 1977 and collected additional specimens for species description. However, the kaleidoscopic variation in morphology displayed by specimens from different caves defied sorting by conventional taxonomic methods. Species determination was further complicated by the existence of populations of related moths with normally developed eyes, wings and bodily color that inhabited twilight areas in the same caves. Subsequently, one of us (MJM) joined the project and used DNA analysis to assist with classifying the various populations. Remarkably, all specimens belonged to a single polymorphic species with an obligate cave form occurring in darkness; a volant, sighted population occurring in the twilight zone; and occasional hybrids between them (Medeiros et al. 2009). Additional surveys have revealed the existence of a diverse moth fauna in Hawaiian caves, which is the subject of the current review.

The cave environment is zonal with three main zones recognized based on the presence of light: these are the “entrance zone” a lighted zone where the surface and cave environments mix; a “twilight zone” where light is progressively diminished from the limit of conspicuous green plants to complete darkness; and a “dark zone” where light is absent. The dark zone can often be subdivided into subzones based on the physical environment: a “transition zone” where the atmosphere within the passage is subjected to frequent disturbances resulting from weather and diurnal events occurring on the surface; a
“deep zone” where the air remains permanently saturated or supersaturated with water vapor; and a “bad air zone” where air exchange with the surface is restricted and decomposition gases can accumulate. The presence and extent of each of these zones is delineated by the size and shape of the entrance(s) and passages (Howarth 1980, 1987).

Animals found in caves can be classified into four main categories based on their theorized relationship to cave life. These are “troglobites” (species obligately adapted to live only in deep and stagnant air zones); “troglophiles” (species able to live and reproduce in caves as well as in similar damp, dark habitats on the surface); “trogloxenes” (species that habitually use caves for roosting or shelter and return to the surface for food and dispersal); and “accidentals” (species accidentally entering caves but that cannot survive there) (Howarth 1983; Howarth & Moldovan 2019).

METHODS

Biological inventory of caves is often logistically and physically challenging (Wynne et al. 2018). This is especially true for Lepidoptera, which require special handling to ensure suitable specimens are collected and preserved for taxonomic study (Robinson 1980). In the current project, as many caves as possible on each island were sampled. Caves were explored using accepted precautions for safety and protection of speleological resources; e.g. biologic, geologic, archaeologic, and paleontological deposits. Cave passages were surveyed visually for animals. Surveys were often concentrated in passages that contained promising habitats, especially those with potential food resources (e.g., root curtains, flood detritus, etc.). Baits (sprouted grain, tubers, meat, and cheese) were placed in various locations throughout caves. Adult moths rarely came to baits, but larvae responded to sprouted grain and rotting plant material. Adult moths were coaxed into individual vials and kept alive until they could be curated outside the cave. Larvae and pupae were also collected into individual vials but kept alive to rear adults. Larvae not reared were stored in 90–95% ethanol. Because many of the caves are considered “culturally and/or biologically significant,” precise locations are treated as confidential; therefore, locality information is rounded to general area only. Precise locality data are archived with the agency responsible for managing each cave.

Genitalia were prepared and mounted on slides using the following protocol: Abdomens were soaked in simmering 10% potassium hydroxide solution for one hour, genitalia were removed, stained with lignin pink and/or Chlorazol black, then soaked in a sequence of 30% ethyl alcohol, 90% ethyl alcohol, 100% isopropyl alcohol and Euparal essence, and then spread on microscope slides and mounted in Euparal. Unless otherwise noted, digital photographs of genitalia and adults were taken with a digital imaging system mounted on a Nikon SMZ25 stereo microscope. All of the following specimens and associated genitalia slides are deposited in the Entomological Collection, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hāwai‘i.

RESULTS

Twenty-five morphospecies of Lepidoptera are herein recorded from Hawaiian caves. Nineteen are endemic to the islands and six are nonnative. As expected, most native species and all of the non-native species occur in caves opportunistically as troglophiles, trogloxenes, or accidental waifs and display no morphological or behavioral adaptations.
to underground life. Several endemic species in five genera are obligately associated with
caves. Two remarkable endemic species, *Schrankia howarthi* and an undescribed
*Orthomecyna* sp., are obligate cave dwellers. Surprisingly, *S. howarthi* is polymorphic
with populations obligately adapted to living permanently in caves on Hawai‘i and Maui
islands. Additional populations less specialized for cave life, which occur in the twilight
zones of caves, are able to disperse outside caves. Larvae of the *Orthomecyna* species are
blind, pale, and feed on flushing root tips in the deep zone of caves. Adults of
*Pseudoschrankia nohoana* Medeiros & Howarth occur throughout caves, but most fre-
quently in the twilight zone. They are volant and presumably can disperse on the surface
when climatic conditions allow. The presumed larvae are blind and adapted to cave habi-
tats. In addition, *Hypocala velans* and several species of *Peridroma* Hübner are obligate-
ly associated with caves as daytime roosts. They leave their caves in huge numbers at dusk
and, then return to the same caves at dawn. Finally, several endemic species in
*Hyposmocoma* Butler and *Mestolobes* Butler appear to be closely associated with cave
habitats, but their biology and status in caves remain poorly known.

**ANNOTATED CHECKLIST**
The following is a list of the 25 moth morphospecies found in Hawaiian caves with notes
on their biology, association with the cave habitat, and localities. Taxa are arranged as in
Nishida (2002); i.e., each species listed alphabetically within its genus, which is listed
alphabetically within its family.

**Autostichidae**

1- *Oecia oecophila* (Staudinger, 1876). Nonnative: *Troglophile?*

**HAWAI‘I I**, N. Kona, Kīholo Bay, 0–3 m from entrance of Ana Lima Kipo Lava
Tube, entrance zone. 19.8°N; 155.9°W. 10 Jan 1982. F. Howarth. The larvae feed on
dried feces and probably other decaying organic matter (Nasu *et al.* 2016). Dry rat
feces from *Rattus rattus* Linnaeus are often common in the twilight and transition
zones of caves and provide food for this and other scavenging species. Slide LB78,
male. See Zimmerman (1978) for illustrations and more information.

**Cosmopterigidae**

Differences in wing pattern suggest each of the following entries represent different
species of *Hyposmocoma*.

2 - *Hyposmocoma (Euperissus)* sp. A. Endemic: *Troglophile?*  
(Fig. 1A, B)

**HAWAI‘I I**, Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park, “HAVO, Chain of Craters Rd,
19.3°N; 155.2°W. 750 m elev. ~800 m from entrance of Keahou Trail Cave # 3, deep
zone. 5 May 2006, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. Slide LB66, male. Genitalia are sim-
ilar to those of *Hyposmocoma (Euperissus) chilonella* Walsingham.

3 - *Hyposmocoma* sp. B. Endemic: *Troglophile?*

**MAUI**: Haleakalā, Ulupalakua, Thaumatogryllus Cave #2, 20.6°N; 156.4°W. 700 m
4 - **Hyposmocoma (Hyposmocoma)** sp. C. Endemic: Troglophile?

(Fig. 1C, D)

**HAWAI’I I**, Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park: Mauna Loa Strip Road. 5000-Foot Cave. 19.5°N;155.3°W. 1,500 m elev. transition zone. 12 May 2005, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. Slide LB75, male. Genitalia are very simple, and similar to *Hyposmocoma (H.) nohomeha* Medeiros, Haines, & Rubinoff.

5 - **Hyposmocoma (Hyposmocoma)** sp. D. Endemic: Troglophile?

(Fig. 1E, F)

**HAWAI’I I**, Pōhakuloa Training Area, Bobcat Trail, 19.7°N; 155.7°W. 1,600 m elev. Dead Cat Cave Entrance, T28, transition zone. 9 Mar 2017, M.J. Medeiros. Slide 17A18, male. This specimen is not *Hyposmocoma (H.) malornata* Walsingham, though the genitalia are similar.

6 - **Hyposmocoma** sp. E. Endemic: Troglophile

**HAWAI’I I**, Pōhakuloa Training Area, Bobcat Trail Cave #10265-T-40DE. 19.7°N; 155.7°W. 1,600 m elev. Deep zone. 30 Dec 1994. F.G. Howarth. Two pupae were collected that were suspended horizontally in loose silk hammocks strung between walls in a narrow crack (approx. 3–5 cm wide) in the deep zone. They were kept alive, and one female with brown wings with two dark spots emerged on 24 Jan 1995. This may be the same species as *Hyposmocoma* species D, as the two caves are in the same lava flow and separated by ~200 m. Not illustrated.

**Crambidae**

7 - **Eudonia** species: Endemic: Accidental

(Fig. 1G, H)

**HAWAI’I I**, Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park, Kalanaokuaiki Pali, 19.4°N; 155.2°W. 1,000 m elev. Cave #1, twilight zone. 4 Jul 1976, F.G. Howarth. Slide LB72, male. Genitalia in this genus are extremely similar (Zimmerman 1958b). This specimen’s scales are badly rubbed, so it is difficult to ascertain which species this may be, or whether it is a new species. Nearby, flying along the surface of an area close to many lava tubes, was found the following specimen: Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park, Mauna Ulu Lava flows, 950 m, 31 Dec 1981. “Flying over ‘barren’ lava.” FG Howarth, BPBM Acc #1982.6. Slide LB68, male. Based on wing pattern alone, this specimen appears closest to *Eudonia isophaea* (Meyrick), or perhaps *E. peronetis* (Meyrick), though this specimen is also somewhat rubbed. These two moths may or may not be the same species.

8 - **Mestolobes olali** Medeiros & Howarth 2017. Endemic: Trogloxene

**HAWAI’I I**, Locality data are provided in Medeiros & Howarth (2017). This species is known from several caves on the Big Island and has metallic bands running from the costal to anal margin of the forewing. It is possibly resident in the entrance and twilight zones. See Medeiros & Howarth (2017) for description and photographs.
Figure 1. A. adult *Hyposmocoma (Euperissus)* sp., specimen LB66, wingspan 11 mm. B. male genitalia of specimen LB66. C. *Hyposmocoma (Hyposmocoma)* sp., specimen LB75, wingspan 10 mm. D. male genitalia of specimen LB75. E. *Hyposmocoma (Hyposmocoma)* sp., specimen 17A18, wingspan 15 mm. F. male genitalia of 17A18. G. *Eudonia* sp., specimen LB68, wingspan 15 mm. H. male genitalia of LB68.
9 - Mestolobes undescribed species: Endemic: Trogloxene
(Fig. 2 A, B)

MOLOKA‘I, Kalaupapa National Historical Park, 21.2°N; 157°W. 5 m elev. Fisherman’s Shack Cave #1 (Kaupikiawa Cave), entrance and twilight zones. 2 Jun 2010, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. Slide LB67, male. Compared to other known Mestolobes, the valvae are shorter and wider, and the gnathos is more blunt. This specimen appears closest to M. pessias Meyrick, or M. minuscula (Butler). This and other species of Mestolobes typically fly at dusk and apparently hide in darkness at other times (FGH, unpubl. observ.).

10 - Mestolobes sp.: Endemic: Trogloxene
(Fig. 2 C, D)

HAWAI‘I, Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park: Mauna Loa Strip Road. 5000-Foot Cave. 19.5° N; 155.3° W. 1,500 m elev. Twilight zone. 10 May 2006, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. Slide LB69, male. This specimen is either closely related to, or is actually, Mestolobes minuscula (Butler). However, this species is poorly delineated (Zimmerman 1958b).

11 - Omiodes localis (Butler, 1879): Endemic: Accidental?

HAWAI‘I, Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park cave Survey, Mauna Loa Strip Road. “Fred’s Cave” (= segment of 5000-Foot Cave). 19.5°N; 155.3°W. 1,500 m elev. Twilight zone. 22 Mar 2005, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. 1 female. Larvae feed on grasses and probably are residents of the entrance zone. See Zimmerman 1958b for illustrations and additional information.

12 - Orthomecyna species. Endemic, possible Troglobite?
(Fig. 2 E)

HAWAI‘I, south slope of Mauna Loa, Keahou Ranch, 19.5°N; 155.34°W. 1,700 m elev. Keamoku Cave, deep zone. 8–11 July 1976, F.G. Howarth. Seven blind larvae on tree roots. Hawai‘i Volcanoes NP. Mauna Loa, Frank’s Cave in Spur Road Cave System, deep zone. 5–7 May 2005, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. Several dead adults and live larvae. Hawai‘i Volcanoes NP. Mauna Loa, 5000-Foot Cave System, 1,525 m, deep zone. 10 May 2006, F.G. Howarth & F.D. Stone. One dead moth. The larva is unusual and displays some troglomorphies (Fig. 2E). It lacks pigmentation and any trace of eyes. Antennae are relatively robust, porrect. Head is nearly prognathous. All larvae so far known were found feeding on swollen flushing etiolated root tips in the deep zone of caves.

13 - Udea species. Endemic: Accidental?
(Fig. 2 G and H)

HAWAI‘I, Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park Cave Survey, Mauna Loa Strip Road. Keana Kipuka Pua’ulu # 1 (a.k.a. Bird Park Cave #1), 19.4°N, 155.3°W, 1,220 m, entrance zone. 9 Dec 1976, D & M Davis. LB70, male. Based on genitalic and wing pattern similarities visible in Zimmerman (1958b), this specimen is quite similar to
Figure 2. A. _Mestolobes_ undescribed species, specimen LB67, wingspan 13 mm. B. male genitalia of LB67. C. _Mestolobes_ sp., specimen LB69, wingspan 13 mm. D. male genitalia of LB69. E. larva of _Orthomecyna_ sp. next to etiolated root tip on floor in Keamoku Cave, at 1,700 m on Hawai‘i I. Note feeding damage at left of image. Photo by D.R. Davis. F. Likely _Pseudoschrankia nohoana_, larva. G. _Udea_ sp., specimen LB70, left wing length 8 mm. H. male genitalia of specimen LB70.
Udea despecta (Butler) but may be *U. liopis liopis* (Meyrick) or *U. pyranthes* (Meyrick) as these species are difficult to differentiate.

**Erebidae**

14 - *Hypocala velans* Walker, 1857: Endemic: Trogloxene

**HAWAI‘I I.** Pōhakuloa Training Area, Kona Highway Cave, 19.76°N; 155.69°W. 1,400 m elev. transition / deep zone, Aug 1994. Single adult collected while roosting. This is the only cave specimen found during our surveys, but Perkins (1913) reported that the species was more abundant in the lowlands, he noted that this moth used an unnamed cave at 9,000 feet [~2,750 m] on Haleakalā, Maui. Zimmerman (1958a) and Ziegler *et al.* (2016) summarized observations of cave moth roosts in Hawai‘i. However, this species is now either rare or possibly extinct.

15 - *Pseudoschrankia nohoana* Medeiros & Howarth, 2017: Endemic: Troglophile

(Fig. 2F)

**HAWAI‘I I.** Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park, 19.4°N; 155.2°W. 750 m elev. Ainahou Cave, below entrance #22, deep zone. 13 Jun 2005, F Howarth & F Stone, 1 male, slide LB65. *Pseudoschrankia nohoana* has been recorded from several caves on the Big Island and is most abundant in the twilight zone. See Medeiros & Howarth (2017) for more information. The species has not been reared, and the larval habitat is unknown. However, an undetermined hyponidine larva occurs in many of the same caves as *P. nohoana* and most likely is this species. The larva is similar to larvae of *Schrankia howarthi*, but differs significantly in the form of its setae and integument. *S. howarthi* has elongate, simple acuminate setae, whereas the unknown larva has enlarged flattened rod-like setae that are slightly wider near the middle (Fig. 2F). Also, the integument is strongly shagreened rather than smooth like *Schrankia* larvae. No *P. nohoana* larvae have been collected on plant roots, and all reared adults from roots were *Schrankia*. However, it is possible that *P. nohoana* also feeds on roots, but were missed during our surveys since the larvae of the two species are similar in life. *Pseudoschrankia nohoana* does respond to baits, including sprouting grain and rotting vegetable matter, suggesting that it has a different behavior than *Schrankia*; it may also pupate in crevices rather than on plant roots.

16 - *Schrankia altivolans* (Butler, 1880): Endemic: Troglophile

**MAIN HAWAIIAN ISLANDS FROM KAUA‘I TO HAWAI‘I.** This moth is found widely on all the main Hawaiian Islands as a surface dweller, but has been recorded in four caves on Maui and six caves on the Big Island (Medeiros *et al.* 2009). This species has been found in caves near sea level to over 1,200 m elevation and in all cave zones from the entrance to deep zones. *Schrankia* species that feed on plant roots are able to colonize caves and other underground spaces where roots occur. Other cave inhabiting *Schrankia* populations have been reported from Japan (Yoshimatsu 1995) and Australia (Howarth & Stone 1990). Medeiros *et al.* (2009) illustrated both sexes of adults and discussed its phylogeny and great morphological variation.
17 - *Schrankia howarthi* Davis & Medeiros, 2009: Endemic: Troglobite & Troglophile

HAWAI‘I & MAUI islands. *Schrankia howarthi* is widely distributed in caves on Hualālai, Mauna Loa, and Kīlauea volcanoes on the Big Island from sea level to over 1,500 m elevation and from all cave zones. It is expected to occur in any lava tube that contains suitable plant roots and environment. It is also known from two caves on the south slope of Haleakalā on Maui (Medeiros *et al.* (2009). This species is polymorphic, with very pale individuals found in the deep zone of caves on Maui and the Big Island, and slightly more pigmented individuals found closer to cave entrances and on the surface. Some individuals of both morphs are flightless, though flightlessness is most common in deep zone females. Pale adults in the deep zone often lack eye-shine, whereas more pigmented adults display a distinct pink glow in a bright beam of light. Larvae feed on tree roots that dangle into caves. The conspicuous pupal cocoons are composed of silk and short root fragments and are attached to the host root. Larvae, pupae, and adults are commonly found in caves with suitable living roots. *Schrankia howarthi* is the most commonly encountered Lepidoptera found in Hawaiian caves. See Medeiros *et al.* (2009) for description, illustrations and distribution.

**Noctuidae**

18 - *Peridroma albiorbis* (Warren, 1912): Endemic: Trogloxene


19 - *Peridroma* sp. A. Endemic: Trogloxene

HAWAI‘I: Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park, ~4,000 m elev, Mauna Loa Lava Tube, transition zone. 1980s, F.G. Howarth. A huge colony of moths numbering tens of thousands were roosting in the cave. This colony persisted for several years then disappeared. On 28 Sep 2005, a second colony was discovered in a nearby cave at 3,800 m elevation by F.D. Stone & F.G. Howarth. The second colony was smaller numbering a few hundred individuals. An accurate count was not possible since the moths were packed together in crevices on the walls and ceiling (Howarth & Stone 2020). The floors of both caves were paved with a thick layer of permanent ice. Illustrated in Howarth & Stone (2020).

20 - *Peridroma* sp. B. Endemic: Trogloxene

MAUI, Haleakalā National Park, Haleakalā Crater, Crystal Cave, 20.7°N; 156.2°W, 2,300 m elev. Twilight zone. 23 Jun 1976, F.G. Howarth. Fragments of moths found on the cave floor. The wide low cave entrance leads to a single room 10 to 15 m in diameter, which is all in twilight. At the time of the survey, the dry floor was entirely covered with a several centimeter-deep layer of moth fragments. The wing shape and color pattern matched *Peridroma*. No living moths were found. The volume of fragments indicate that the cave once housed a huge colony of moths. Rat feces were also abundant, which suggest the reason for the collapse of the colony of moths.


**Oecophoridae**

21 - *Hofmannophila pseudospretella* (Stainton, 1849) nonnative: Troglophile

HAWAI'I I. Pōhakuloa Training Area, Dan’s Cave, Multi-Purpose Range Complex, 19.66°N; 155.70°W, ~2,000 m, twilight zone 23 Sep 1994. FG Howarth. Known as the “brown house moth,” this stored product pest is native to Asia but has been widely distributed by commerce. In Hawai‘i, it is known from high-elevation buildings. The twilight zone of caves provides a similar habitat. Not illustrated.

**Pterophoridae**

22 - *Stenoptilodes*, probably *taprobanes* (R. Felder & Rogenhofer, 1875). Nonnative: Accidental

HAWAI'I I. Big I, Pōhakuloa Training Area, 19.64°N; 155.54°W, Pu‘ukoli Trench Cave 11D, 2 070 m, twilight zone. 1 Aug 1994, FG Howarth, FD Stone, ED Toole. Specimen at BPBM. This or related species has been observed in Long Cave (20.7°N; 156.2°W) in Haleakalā Crater on Maui. See Zimmerman 1958b for illustrations and additional information about *Stenoptilodes taprobanes*.

**Pyralidae**

23 - *Pyralis manihotalis* Guenée, 1854: Nonnative: Troglophile

HAWAI'I I. Pigeon Cave off the Saddle Road near Pu‘u Wa‘awa‘a 19.8°N; 155.8°W. 29 Apr 1974. Entrance and twilight zone, DR Davis and FG Howarth. This species is a scavenger on dry pigeon feces in the entrance and twilight zones of caves. It is not common in Hawaiian caves as there are no guano deposits, but it may be found wherever dry dung accumulates, such as beneath chicken coups. See Zimmerman 1958b for illustrations and additional information.

**Tineidae**

24 - *Monopis crocicapitella* (Clemens, 1859). Nonnative: Troglophile

HAWAI'I I. Hawai‘i Volcanoes National Park: Mauna Loa Strip Road, 19.5°N; 155.3°W. 1,500 m elev. 5000-Foot Cave, transition zone. 12 May 2005, FG Howarth & FD Stone. Slide LB74, female. MAUI, Ahihi-Kinau Natural Area Reserve, 20.6°N; 156.4°W, 120 m elev, Kalua O Lapa Cave; transition zone, 22 May 1988, FG Howarth. Slide LB73, female. It may be the same or a closely related species. See Zimmerman 1978 for illustrations and more information.

25 - *Phereoeca allutella* (Rebel, 1892): Nonnative; Troglophile

HAWAI'I I. N. Kona; Pigeon Cave off the Saddle Road near Pu‘u Wa‘awa‘a. 19.8°N; 155.8°W. Entrance and twilight zone. 29 Apr 1974, DR Davis. Specimens were reared from pigeon feces collected from within the entrance and twilight zones. The larvae of this moth are scavengers feeding on fungi and organic matter in the entrance and twilight zones of caves. The characteristic purse-shaped larval cases are commonly seen attached to walls in the twilight zone in dry lowland caves on all the main Hawaiian Islands. See Zimmerman 1978 for illustrations and more information.
DISCUSSION

Of the 25 morphospecies of Lepidoptera recorded herein from Hawaiian caves; nineteen are native and six are nonnative. Five nonnative species are synanthropic and were introduced through commerce. The drier twilight and transition zones within caves often provide similar habitats for these household pests. The sixth nonnative species, a pterophorid, was inadvertently introduced to Hawai‘i by humans and is probably an accidental visitor within caves. Three of the native species are typical surface species, that probably also enter caves accidentally. The three species of endemic Mestolobes listed may habitually enter caves. Additional Mestolobes species will certainly be found in caves during further surveys. Their larval habits are poorly known, and some species (e.g., M. olali) may be residents (i.e., troglophilic) in caves.

The remaining species display behavioral or morphological adaptations to utilize cave and other subterranean habitats. The endemic genus Hyposmocoma is incredibly speciose with more than 350 species known from the Hawaiian Islands (Zimmerman 1978). Their ecology is equally diverse; they feed on lichens on the driest lava flows, submerged algae in streams, and all parts of living plants in the wettest rainforests (Rubinoff & Schmitz 2010). A few are even predatory (Rubinoff & Haines 2005). Many more species than the five listed here probably occur in Hawaiian caves. Their function within cave ecological communities is unknown but likely unique.

At least four species of large native moths historically were known to use caves for communal daytime roosts: an underwing, Hypocala velans, and three species of Peridroma cutworms (Perkins 1913; Zimmerman 1958a, Ziegler et al. 2016). They emerge at dusk and fly long distances to forage and reproduce, and then return to the same caves at dawn. Their remarkable ability to navigate between roosting and feeding sites is analogous to behavior of the Bogong Moth (Agrotis infusa) in Australia (Warrant et al. 2016). Lowland colonies of these moths appear to have been extirpated, but a few colonies may still occur in high elevation caves on Mauna Loa on Hawai‘i (Ziegler et al. 2016, Howarth & Stone 2020). Additional colonies of these moths were documented in the literature from moth wing fragments in caves. These cave deposits suggest that a diverse assemblage of cave-roosting moths once existed in Hawai‘i. This assemblage probably included many additional species of Peridroma and possibly some Agrotis species. These roosts were exploited by the native bird, the ‘apapane (Himatione sananguina), which nested in the entrance and twilight zones of occupied caves (Van Riper 1973, Howarth & Stone 2020). The remarkable phenomenon of large communal colonies of moths is disappearing along with the food resource and unusual nesting behavior of the ‘apapane. The cause of this demise is unknown, but a main culprit may be the black rat (Rattus rattus), which readily enters caves and would find roosting moths easy prey (Howarth & Stone 2020). Peridroma and Agrotis were also targets of parasites and predators purposefully introduced for biological control of related pest species (Gagne & Howarth 1985).

Three native species are strongly associated with caves and live and reproduce underground. Pseudoschrankia nohonoa displays few morphological adaptations to the cave environment. Its biology remains poorly known. It is widespread in caves on Hawai‘i Island with adults found most often in the twilight zone. Its presumed larva is attracted to and feeds on decomposing plant material in deep zone environments. Orthomecyna sp. is so far known from a few caves between 1,500 and 2,000 m elevation on the southern slope
of Mauna Loa. Its larvae feed on fleshy etiolated root flushes, and represent only the second report of larval biology for any of the 15 endemic species of Orthomecyna. The only previous record is Swezey’s rearing of a single larva of O. mesochasma found among roots of sugarcane on the island of Kaua‘i (Swezey 1924, Zimmerman 1958b, p 295).

The most interesting Hawaiian species is Schrankia howarthi. It is one of only two known lepidopterans morphologically and behaviorally adapted to live in the deep cave environment. The other cave-adapted species is Tinea microphthalma Robinson, 1980 from the Philippines. Surprisingly, Schrankia howarthi is polymorphic with eyeless, depigmented weakly volant forms largely restricted to the deep zone, but also has an eyed, flighted form occurring in the entrance and twilight zones. The two forms hybridize where their habitats overlap, creating a kaleidoscope of intermediate forms. Also, unexpectedly, the cave-adapted morph occurs on both Hawai‘i and Maui. DNA analysis revealed that the cave-adapted population on Maui originated from flighted individuals from adjacent areas on Hawai‘i Island (Medeiros et al. 2009).

On the active volcanoes, new lava flows create new cave habitats while simultaneously destroying older habitats. Thus, cave ecosystems are dynamic with the fauna playing leapfrog from older to younger flows. New caves are colonized sequentially as the physical and biotic environment develops. The order in which species arrive is both random and non-random; that is, some generalists and predators can colonize rapidly soon after the habitat cools; while others can only establish after the habitat matures. In this way, lava tubes and their ecosystems of different ages provide model systems for the study of community development and evolutionary ecology (e.g., Medeiros et al. 2009, Wessel et al. 2013). Within Schrankia, the troglophilic morph can colonize caves by overland dispersal as soon as roots become available, often within a few decades after the lava flow cools. However, the cave-adapted morph arrives later. In 1971, when surveys began in Kaumana Cave, which is within the 1881 lava flow from Mauna Loa at 300 m elevation, the troglophilic morph of S. howarthi and S. altivolans were common in the cave, even in the deep zone. Sometime in the late 1980s to early 1990s (i.e., circa 110 years after the cave formed), the trogloborphic morph appeared, and subsequently S. altivolans became rare and the troglophilic morph became more restricted to the twilight and entrance zones. It is unknown whether the Kaumana Cave morph evolved in situ from the polymorphic troglophilic form, or arrived via subterranean dispersal, but both scenarios are possible and not mutually exclusive (Medeiros et al. 2009). The majority of root biomass in young pahoehoe occurs in the intermediate-size voids that permeate the flows. This hidden resource would be largely unavailable to the troglophilic form but represents the principle habitat for the cave-adapted morph (Howarth et al. 2019).

Hawaiian cave moths and their associated plants and animals are vulnerable to a variety of anthropogenic threats (Stone and Howarth 2007). Disturbance of the surface of inhabited flows destroys the life-giving roots as well as blocks food and water from entering voids and caves. Areas near agriculture or urban development can be affected by pollutants in runoff and from pesticide use. Fortunately, major government agencies and conservation organizations have established protective management policies for caves and their biotic and cultural resources. These entities include the U.S. National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Hawai‘i Natural Area Reserves System, and The Nature Conservancy.

A more pervasive and insidious threat is posed by invasive alien species, which can invade across property boundaries. Several non-native species have invaded caves. The
impact of the black rat was discussed above and in Howarth & Stone (2020). Fortunately, many invasive animals (e.g., *Rattus rattus* and the American cockroach, *Periplaneta americana* L.) appear to be limited to larger, more accessible cave passages, a circumstance that affects some cave species as well as research programs, but these invaders may have less effect in the more isolated smaller voids (Howarth 1981). A few species appear to be able to colonize large sections of the underground habitat and may be reducing populations of cave moths. The latter include the predatory nemertine worm, *Argonemertes dendyi* (Dakin, 1915) (Howarth & Moore 1984) and several small web-building spiders. Some alien biological control agents purposefully introduced to control pest species have expanded their host range to attack native species (Howarth 1993). Their role in the reduction of cave-roosting moths was described above. The impacts of purposefully introduced microorganisms for biocontrol is not well researched, but theoretically, their effect on the cave fauna could be severe. These biological pesticides, which include strains of bacteria, fungi, and nematodes, are soil organisms reared to attack pest species. They survive well in damp, dark habitats such as caves. However, their potential impacts have not been studied in part due to the difficulty in correctly identifying the pathogen in novel hosts. For example, *Schrankia howarthi* pupae are often attacked by an unknown fungus. Cave populations occasionally experience severe epizootics that greatly reduce moth populations. The “ghosts” from these epizootics are represented by numerous moth cocoons and some dead moths covered with fungal fruiting bodies. Whether these outbreaks are the result of natural population cycles or are caused by a novel alien pathogen is unknown. Future research in this area would be worthwhile to identify the pathogen(s) and determine the cause of resultant moth declines.

The lepidopteran fauna of caves is often ignored in biological surveys and remains poorly known, largely because of the difficulties of collecting and preparing specimens suitable for study and identification. The advent of molecular techniques for identification will ameliorate this problem. Additional species of Lepidoptera will be discovered in caves both in Hawai‘i and elsewhere. The 16 species of native moths that are recorded herein and that are associated with cave habitats demonstrate that the lepidopteran fauna of caves can be exceptionally diverse and should be recognized as a significant component of cave ecosystems. Some species may be keystone species in cave ecosystems as consumers and as prey for other species. For example, moths that roost in caves import abundant food resources into the caves; scavenging moths such as tineid moths on guano (Robinson 1980) can be important in recycling organic material. Lepidoptera should be included in future biological surveys of caves.

**BIOGRAPHY OF FRED STONE**

Frederick Doren Stone, 79, of Kurtistown, Hawai‘i, passed away May 29, 2018 from complications of a serious caving accident that left him partially paralyzed. Fred (Fig. 3) was born 24 July 1938 on the family dairy farm in Freetown, New York. He earned a B.S. in agricultural engineering, an M.S. degree in entomology from Cornell University, and a doctorate in biogeography from the University of Hawai‘i. He kept his love for farming throughout his life and career. From 1964 to 1970, he served as an agricultural adviser in Vietnam with the International Voluntary Service and subsequently with the Thai Border Police in Thailand. After returning to the U.S.A., he taught agricultural science, organic farming and permaculture at SUNY Oswego, NY, Evergreen State College in Olympia,
Washington, and environmental science at the University of Hawai‘i, Hilo. Fred developed a new educational unit at Hawai‘i Community College in Hilo, the Tropical Forest Ecosystem and Agroforestry Management (TEAM) and was its director for many years. The TEAM program inspired and trained students to competently manage native Hawaiian forest ecosystems, grow native plants, establish sustainable agroforestry operations, as well as create and use environmental and geospatial databases.

But Fred’s passion was cave exploration and cave science, and he went caving at every opportunity beginning as a student at Cornell and continuing until his accident in 2012. Stone and Howarth began caving together in New York in 1961 and formed a lifelong partnership exploring caves in the eastern U.S.A., Hawai‘i, Southeast Asia, and Australia. During their time at Cornell, Fred discovered previously unknown passages in McFails Cave in Schoharie County, New York, which made the cave the longest and most significant cave in the northeast. He then led a successful effort to raise funds to purchase and donate the cave to the National Speleological Society (NSS). The donation initiated

Figure 3. Fred Stone in a piping cave (Ziegler et al., 2016) in the montane rainforest of East Moloka‘i. Photograph taken in January 1983 by FGH.
an interactive cave management model now used by the NSS and numerous land management agencies (Stone 2007).

Fred enjoyed teaching and frequently took his students on field trips into caves to inspire them in natural history and resource protection. He also assisted visiting scientists on their research in caves especially on Hawai‘i Island where he lived from 1985 onwards. He published more than 25 articles on cave biology, many co-authored with collaborators (e.g., Northrup et al. 2011, Wessel et al. 2013). He had two long-term projects: the diversity and evolution of nocticolid cockroaches of SE Asia and Australia (Stone 1988) and Caconemobius crickets in Hawai‘i.

Fred also worked diligently on biodiversity conservation and led many biological surveys of caves and threatened habitats in Hawai‘i, Thailand, and Australia (Howarth & Stone 1993, Stone & Howarth 2007). The protocol for these surveys evolved into a more efficient and comprehensive strategy wherein all would enter and explore a cave together until the party reached a suitable sampling site. Howarth would lead the survey at the site while Stone and others explored further into the cave looking for potentially rich biological sites. When found, Stone would report back, and the survey group would move to sample the new site while the exploration group searched for the next new site.

Stone willingly provided expert witness testimony on projects affecting biodiversity including the contested case regarding industrial development of Mauna Kea’s vulnerable biologic ecosystems. A life-long farmer, Stone applied his childhood farm experience to his farm in Hawai‘i where he developed a Cordyline (ti leaf) nursery and where he bred novel purple cultivars.
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Here we document seven new state records, eight new naturalized records, six new island records, and three species spreading adventively. A total of 18 plant families are discussed.

Information regarding the formerly known distribution of flowering plants is based on the Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999) and information subsequently published in the Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey. All supporting voucher specimens are deposited at Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum’s Herbarium Pacificum (BISH), Honolulu, Hawai‘i.

**Acanthaceae**

*Justicia gendarussa* Burm.f. **New state record**

*Justicia gendarussa*, a species native to Southeast Asia and cultivated widely throughout Asia for its use as a medicinal species for the treatment of various ailments and as a birth control method for men (Winn 2011), can be found in streambeds and roadsides in its native range. It is often naturalized where grown, to the extent that its true native range is unknown (Anonymous 2005). Several individuals of this species were found near a local swimming hole. The full description of this species from *Flora of China Online* (Hu & Daniel 2011) is as follows:

“Subshrubs 0.7–1.5 cm tall, much branched. Stems subterete, swollen at nodes, glabrous. Petiole 3–10 mm; leaf blade narrowly lanceolate, 6–10 × 1–1.5 cm, glabrous, secondary veins 5–8 on each side of midvein, base cuneate to attenuate, margin subsinuate, apex acute to shortly acuminate. Spikes terminal or axillary, 3–12 cm, interrupted, usually in a leafy panicle; peduncle 0.5–1.5 cm; bracts triangular, 2–6 × 1–2.5 mm, basal ones longer than calyx then gradually smaller with apical most ones shorter than calyx, margin ciliate, apex acute; bracteoles elliptic to linear-lanceolate, ca. 3 × 1 mm, margin ciliate, apex acute. Calyx ca. 5 mm, 5-lobed; lobes linear-lanceolate, 3–4 × ca. 0.5 mm, subequal, apex acuminate. Corolla creamy white, 1.2–1.5 cm; tube basally cylindric and ca. 2 mm wide for 8–9 mm; lower lip violet dotted basally, cuneate-obovate, 6–10 mm broad, 3-lobed, lobes oblanceolate and 3–5 × ca. 3.5 mm; upper lip violet blotched, triangular, ca. 7 × 3.5 mm, 2-cleft. Stamens exserted; filaments 3–6 mm, glabrous; anther thecae oblong, ca. 1.2 mm, superposed, lower one spurred at base, upper one muticous. Ovary glabrous; style ca. 1 cm, glabrous; stigma capitate, shortly 2-lobed. Capsule clavate, ca. 1.2 cm. Fl. Jan–Apr. 2n = 28, 30.”
Material examined. MAUI: Ke‘anae, Ching’s Pond, several shrublike plants ca 2 m tall, naturalized in wet lowland streambed amongst boulders with Ardisia elliptica and Polygala paniculata, 27 Oct 2014, F. Starr & K. Starr 141027-02.

Amaranthaceae

*Amaranthus polygonoides* L.  
New state record

This species was previously uncollected in the state of Hawai‘i, but now has been found in several roadside locations on O‘ahu. In its native range in the mainland U.S., it can be found in disturbed habitats and coastal areas (Flora of North America Editorial Committee 1997). It has been introduced to Europe and Asia, where it has been described as a “casual” alien (GBIF Secretariat 2019). It is likely, given the circumstances in which this species has been found on O‘ahu, that it is being spread on mowing equipment. The full description from *Flora of North America Online* (Mosyakin & Robertson 2003) is as follows:

“Plants annual, glabrescent proximally, pubescent distally, becoming glabrous at maturi-
y. Stems erect-ascending to prostrate, branched mostly at base and in proximal 1/2, 0.1–0.5 m. Leaves: petiole ± equaling blade; blade ovate, obovate-hispid to narrowly ovate, sometimes lanceolate, 1.5–3(-4) × 0.5–1.5(-2) cm, base cuneate, margins entire to undulate-erose, apex rounded, obtuse, or emarginate, mucronate. Inflorescences axillary, congested clusters. Bracts of pistillate flowers lanceolate or linear, 1–1.5 mm, 1/2 as long as tepals. Pistillate flowers: tepals 5, connate in proximal 1/3 (entirely distinct in all other species), with 3 prominent veins abaxially, spatulate or somewhat clawed, equal or subequal, 2–3 mm, apex rounded or retuse, mucronate; style branches somewhat spreading; stigmas 3. Staminate flowers intermixed with pistillate; tepals (4–)5; stamens 2–3. Utricles cylindric or narrowly turbinate, 2–2.5 mm, ± equaling tepals, smooth proximally or roughened toward tips, indehiscent or tardily dehiscent. Seeds dark reddish brown to black, lenticular, 0.8–1 mm diam., shiny.”

Material examined. O‘AHU: Waikele, H-1 westbound off-ramp, dry to mesic disturbed roadside, herbs ca 0.33 m tall, ca 100 plants in a small patch, D. Frohlich & A. Lau 20181201; Wai‘anae HDOT baseyard, low-growing herbs in a mowed field growing with roadside ruderal vegetation, occasional on property, not common, 21 Sep 2019, A. Lau & D. Frohlich 2019092101.

Asteraceae

*Hedypnois rhagadioloides* (L.) F.W. Schmidt  
New state record

This species, which is native to the Mediterranean, is naturalized in California and Texas on the U.S. mainland. This thorny herb has proven to be difficult to control at Schofield Barracks on O‘ahu, where thousands of individuals were found naturalized in several locations. The full description of this species comes from *Flora of North America Online* (Strother 2006):

“Annuals, (5–)10–60+ cm; taprooted. Stems usually 1, erect, branched distally, ± hispid to setose (hair tips often forked). Leaves basal and caulescent; basal ± petiolate, distal sessile; blades lanceolate, linear, oblanceolate, oblong, or ovate, margins entire or dentate to pinnately lobed (faces ± hispid). Heads borne singly or in loose, corymbiform arrays. Peduncles ± inflated distally, not bracteate. Calyculi of 3–10+, delate to lanceolate or lance-linear bractlets. Involucres campanulate to cylindric, 3–12 mm diam. (larger, ± globose in fruit). Phyllaries 5–13+ in 1 series, linear-navicular (± keeled, each ± enfold ing subtended ovary or cypsela), subequal, margins little, if at all, scarious, apices acuminate.
Receptacles flat, ± pitted, glabrous, epaleate. Florets 8–30+; corollas yellow (often reddish proximally, greenish abaxially). Cypsela dark brown to black, cylindric to fusiform (usually ± arcuate), not beaked, ribs 12–15, faces ± scabrous or barbed; pappi persistent, whitish; on outer cypsela often coroniform (distinct or connate, erose to fimbriate scales); on inner cypsela 0–5+, cuneate to lanceolate or subulate outer scales plus 5+, lance-aristate to subulate-aristate, inner scales. x = 9.”


Elaeocarpaceae
Elaeocarpus argenteus Merr. New state record
This species is not known to be cultivated, nor has it been collected as a weed, outside its native range of the Philippines. Its introduction history to Hawai‘i is unclear. It is scattered and rare in native-dominated forest near the summit ridge of the central Ko‘olau Mountains. Some individuals found in the area have been controlled, and others remain. Reports from local botanists indicate there may be a more established population in Punalu‘u Valley. It is possible the species was intentionally introduced to that valley at some point. This species can be distinguished from other Elaeocarpus known in Hawai‘i by the following combination of characters: branchlets glabrous; petiole 1.5–2 cm long, swollen at base and apex; leaf blades elliptic-oblong, leathery, and glabrous, with glands (or domatia) on underside at junctions of the midvein and secondary veins, the margins crenate, apex acuminate; racemes 5–7 cm long, peduncle densely pubescent; flowers bisexual, the buds ellipsoid with an acute apex; petals laciniate. The full description of this species from Flora of China Online (Tang & Phengklai 2007) is as follows:

“Trees evergreen. Branchlets brown, terete, glabrous. Petiole 1.5–2 cm, glabrous, slightly swollen at each end; leaf blade elliptic-oblong, usually tapered to base, 6.5–8 × 2–2.5 cm, leathery, glabrous, lateral veins 5 or 6 per side, midvein raised on both surfaces, axils mostly prominently glandular abaxially, base obtuse or acute, margin shallowly sparsely crenate, apex acuminate. Raceme 5–7 cm; peduncle densely pubescent. Pedicel 5–6(–8) mm, densely appressed-pubescent. Flowers bisexual; buds ellipsoid, ca. 4 mm, apex acute. Sepals 5, lanceolate, abaxially densely minutely gray pubescent, adaxially keeled. Petals 5, oblong-obovate, ca. 4 mm, abaxially silvery sericeous, adaxially white villous in lower part and along margin, upper 1/3 laciniate; segments 12. Stamens ca. 28; filaments ca. 1 mm, villous; anthers linear, ca. 2 mm, minutely puberulent, not awned but pubescent at apices. Disk 5-lobed, villous. Ovary villous, 2-loculed; style tomentose on lower 1/2. Drupe ovoid, ca. 8 × 6 cm; exocarp obscure, glabrous; endocarp inconspicuously verrucose. Fl. and fr. unknown.”

Material examined. O‘AHU: Central Ko‘olau, upper Kaluanui, single small tree in native-dominated wet forest, though others noted in central Ko‘olau summit area, UTM 612183, 2384083, 12 Mar 2015, L. Reynolds & R. Pender 2015031201; Ko‘olau Mountains, upper Pe‘ahnai‘a, near summit, single tree with white bark, ca 5 m tall, 8–9 cm diam trunk, very young trees of same description in Pe‘ahnai‘a observed in the past, 7 Dec 2005, J. Beachy US Army 29.
Fabaceae

*Albizia procera* (Roxb.) Benth.

A new naturalized record

*Albizia procera*, a species native to China and Southeast Asia, has been found naturalized in several locations in central O‘ahu. While there are examples of this tree that are clearly planted in the landscaping at Wheeler Army Airfield, several medium-sized to small trees on Wheeler were observed in places that do not look like deliberate plantings. The full description of this species comes from *Flora of China Online* (Delin & Nielsen 2010):

“Trees, deciduous, to 15 m tall. Branchlets slightly pubescent or subglabrous. Leaf petiole with an oblong gland ca. 1 cm above base; pinnae 3–5 pairs, 15–20 cm; petiolules ca. 2 mm; leaflets 6–12 pairs, ovate to subrhombic, 3–4.5 × 1.2–2.2 cm, subleathery, sparsely appressed pubescent, main vein closer to lower side, base oblique, apex obtuse or emarginate. Heads ca. 20-flowered, arranged in axillary or terminal panicles. Flowers uniform, sessile. Calyx 2–3 mm, glabrous. Corolla yellow-white, ca. 6 mm; lobes lanceolate, ca. 2.5 mm, apex pubescent. Staminal tube longer than corolla tube. Ovary glabrous, subsessile. Legume ligulate, flat, 10–15 × 1.5–2.5 cm, glabrous. Seeds 8–12, obovoid-elliptic; pleurogram obovate-elliptic. Flowers May–Sep, fruits Sep–Feb of following year.

Material examined. **O‘AHU**: Waipi‘o, along Kamehameha Hwy just south of Mililani, near south end of Kipapa Gulch, dry/mesic roadcut, upright tree with sparse canopy 10–12 m tall, scattered on roadcut, more trees in area, UTM 602253, 2570026, 06 Jan 2017, A. Lau 01; perimeter of Wheeler Army Airfield, along Kunia Road, growing with *Spathodea campanulata*, planted *Carex wahuensis*, tree 30 ft [9 m] tall, ca 5 plants, 850 ft [260 m], UTM 599428, 2376407, 16 Jan 2019, K. Kawelo US Army 505.

*Calliandra surinamensis* Benth.

A new island record

This record represents the first collection of this species as naturalized on Kaua‘i, having been collected in 1987 naturalizing in a fencerow and into a pasture in Kalaheo. It was first collected as naturalized on West Maui in 2009 (Starr & Starr 2011).

Material examined. **KAUA‘I**: Kōloa Dist., Kalaheo, along Waha Road 0.5 mi [0.8 km] east of intersection with Papalina Road, small tree 3 m tall, naturalized locally in fence row and adjacent pasture, 600 ft [185 m], 1 May 1987, D. Lorence & T. Flynn 5202.

*Leucaena × spontanea* C.E. Hughes & S.A. Harris

A new island record

*Leucaena × spontanea*, a hybrid of *L. leucocephala* and *L. diversifolia*, was found sparingly naturalized in an O‘ahu botanical garden, in proximity to its parent species. It was previously recorded as naturalized on East Maui (Oppenheimer 2004). This species is so named in reference to its spontaneous occurrence when the two parent species are brought together in cultivation. The complete description of this hybrid is from Hughes (2010):

“Small to medium-sized tree, 5–15(–20) m tall, bole diameter 30–40 cm, with a short bole, heavy branching, and a wide, open, spreading crown. Bark mid-grey-brown with shallow rusty orange-brown vertical fissures, inner bark green. Shoots terete, mid-orange brown, glabrous or sparsely puberulent. Leaves (19–)24–27(–30) cm long, (10–)11–14 cm wide; petioles (excluding pulvinus) (30–)32–36(–38) mm long, with a single green or reddish green, sessile, elliptic or rounded, cupulate nectary, 2.8–3.5 × 2–2.5 mm, often slightly wider at distal end than at the base, at the distal end on adaxial side of petiole; rachis 14–21 cm long, with 1 or 2 nectaries, 2.6 × 1.4 mm, elliptic, discoid, or shallowly crateriform, at the distal end, apex of rachis extending beyond the terminal pinnae as a slender pointed glabrous mucro 2.5–4 mm long, curling when dry; pinnae...
(10–)11–16(–18) pairs; pinnular rachis 6.5–9 cm long, angled, sparsely puberulent, with 1 or 2(–3) sessile discoid elliptic nectaries, 0.3 × 0.7 mm, at base of terminal pairs of leaflets; leaflets (22–)26–36(–48) pairs per pinna, (6.2–)8–10(–11.9) mm long, 1.2–2(–2.3) mm wide, nearly sessile, asymmetric, linear, acute or acuminate apically, rounded and strongly asymmetric basally, glabrous except ciliate at margins, asymmetric midrib and 1 or 2 secondary veins visible on dried leaflets. Capitula (17–)20–24(–28) mm in diameter at anthesis, in fascicles of (1–)2–5 in leaf axils on actively growing shoots, the leaves developing with the capitulum, each capitulum with 130–160 flowers; peduncles 24–33 mm long, angled, densely or sparsely pubescent with an involucre of bracts at the distal end. Flowers subtended by peltate bracts, 2.5–3 mm long, 0.8 mm in diameter; calyx 3–3.3 mm long, hairy on distal half and ciliate on lobe margins, pale whitish green; petals 4.7–5.4 mm long, free, hairy on distal half and ciliate on margin, pale whitish green; filaments 7.5–9.5(–10) mm long, white or pale pink; anthers hairy, white or pale pink, apiculum absent; ovary 2.5–2.8 mm long, covered in white hairs at distal end, pale greenish white, with 18–24 ovules, style 12–13 mm long, white or pale pink, with a terminal tubular stigma, exserted strongly beyond the anthers. Pods (8–)10–15(–18) cm long, (12–)15–20(–22) mm wide, linear-oblong, the apex rounded with a short pointed beak, the base cuneate, 14–20-seeded, valves chartaceous or membranous, mid-green when unripe, turning mid-brown, glabrous, opening along both sutures, endocarp not partitioned between seeds. Seeds 6.5–7 mm long, 2.9–3.5 mm wide, compressed, oblong, dark reddish chestnut-brown, glossy, pleurogram visible, U-shaped with 95% arm extension.”

Material examined. O‘AHU: Ho‘omaluhia Botanical Garden, edge of garden grounds, mesic lowland disturbed site, sparingly naturalized at this site, growing with both Leucaena diversifolia and L. leucocephala, 08 Dec 2015, A. Lau 2015120801.

Platymiscium stipulare Benth. New naturalized record

Previously found as a small adventive population in a suburban setting, this species has now been collected on O‘ahu spreading into a forest reserve in Nānākuli.

Material examined. O‘AHU: Nānākuli Valley, terminus of ranch road into forest reserve, dry to mesic lowland forest reserve, scattered about, young and older trees present, UTM 2366896, 592106, 1 Apr 2016, T. Takahama 20160401A.

Tephrosia pumila (Lam.) Pers. New naturalized record

This species, which is widespread throughout tropical regions, was found scattered throughout a weedy roadside location near Kahului Airport on the island of Maui. The full description comes from Flora of West Pakistan (Stewart 1982):

“Annual or short lived perennial, branches procumbent, stem pilose. Leaf imparipinnate, petiole c. 3–10 mm long, rachis up to 4.5 cm long; leaflets 7–13, c. 4-20 mm long, up to 8 mm wide, oblong or oblanceolate truncate to retuse, glabrous or pubescent above, pilose below; stipules up to 4 mm long. Inflorescence terminal or leaf-opposed, a 1–3-flowered raceme. Bract 2–3 mm long. Pedicel 2.5–4 mm long. Calyx hispid, tube c. 1.5 mm long, teeth 2.5–3.5 mm long. Corolla white, pale-pink or purplish. Vexillum c. 6–10 mm long. Fruit 3.5–4 cm long, c. 4 mm broad, pubescent, curved towards the tip, 8–14-seeded.”

**Vigna luteola** (Jacq.) Benth.  
**New island record**

This species, which has previously been collected as naturalized on the islands of Kaua‘i (Frohlich & Lau 2012), O‘ahu (Staples et al. 2003), and West Maui (Oppenheimer 2019), was found in a roadside location on the island of Hawai‘i, vining up several trees and along the ground.

**Material examined.** HAWAI‘I: HDOT staging area vining up a nearby tree, growing with *Urochloa maxima, Bidens pilosa, 7 × 7 m patch, three other patches in this area, another farther north towards Ikaika St., UTM 284912, 2174021, 10 Jan 2019, A. Lau & D. Frohlich 2019011001.

**Gentianaceae**

**Cheloniathus acutangulus** Slooten  
**New state record**

This species, which is native to Brazil, Venezuela, Trinidad, and the Guianas, was found on O‘ahu growing out of erosion matting along an Army access road and in an LZ on the same installation. The introduction pathway for this species remains unclear. Over 200 plants total were found and treated with herbicide in these two areas. The full description of this species is from *Flora of the Guianas Online* (Mota de Oliveira 2014; as *C. alatus*):

“Herb to subshrub, up to 2.5 m high, unbranched to sparsely branched. Stems and branches up to 1.1 cm in diam., strongly quadrangular, 4-angled to 4-winged, wings 0.1–1.3 mm wide; internodes 0.5–30.3(–47.3) cm long. Leaves sessile, cauline, evenly distributed along stem; blade membranaceous, elliptic, 2.9–23.2 × 1.2–8(–12) cm, margin not thickened, flat, apex acute to acuminate, base attenuate, obtuse to truncate. Inflorescence 3–100-flowered; bracts ovate with obtuse (acute) apex, 0.8–9.4 mm long; pedicel 4–9 mm long. Flowers erect to horizontal; calyx green, 4–8 × 3–6 mm, lobes ovate, 2–6 × 2–4 mm, margin membranaceous, apex obtuse; corolla white, cream, with dark green spot on apex of each corolla lobe, funnel-shaped to campanulate, 20–50 mm long, 8–21 mm wide at mouth, lobes ovate, 3–11 × 4–13 mm, apex obtuse or acuminate; stamens exerted or not, filaments 14–40 mm long, straight, or curved downward close to anther, anthers white to pale green, oblong, 2–3.8 mm long, straight to slightly curved; pollen exine with muri fragmented into elongated to knob-like processes that are thickened along equatorial zone; pistil 24–34 mm long, ovary 4.4–5.6 × 2.1–2.6 mm, style 14–23 mm long, stigma lobe elliptic to obovate, 2.8–4.4 × 1.1–2.3 mm. Fruit nodding, brown, 8–23 × 3–10 mm; seeds brown, 0.1–0.4 mm in diam.”

**Material examined.** O‘AHU: Kawailoa, Drum Road, herb up to 1 m tall, sparingly naturalized population of ca 15 plants, growing out of erosion control matting, 9 Mar 2016, J. Hawkins & J. Rellamas US Army 428; Kawailoa, Drum Road, after LZ Pu‘u Kapu near mile marker 10, open canopy on hot, dry, 45-degree slope, growing with *Spathoglottis plicata, Pterolepis glomerata, Metrosideros polymorpha, Nephrolepis brownii, Clidemia hirta, Bidens alba*, 170 plants (incl. seedlings) in 2 areas within black mesh erosion control matting, all treated with herbicide, UTM 2387579, 601753, 5 May 2016, J. Hawkins & J. Rellamas US Army 443.

**Juncaceae**

**Juncus acuminatus** Michx.  
**New island record**

This species has previously been documented from the islands of Maui and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1999), and is now known to occur on O‘ahu. It was found growing in pooled water on a streamside boulder in full sun.

**Material examined.** O‘AHU: Waimea Valley, along Kamananui Stream, small naturalized population in a lowland riparian area, growing in puddles on large boulder, UTM 599169, 2392103, 03 Jun 2013, A. Lau & D. Frohlich 2013060501.
Lamiaceae

*Callicarpa macrophylla* Raesusch.  
**New naturalized record**

This species is not common in cultivation in Hawai‘i but has been documented in cultivation since 1928. It is native to tropical and subtropical Asia, where it grows in a variety of habitats, including disturbed areas as well as mixed forests. It does not appear to be documented as naturalized anywhere else. A small population was observed along the Ko‘olau summit in native-dominated forest. It can be distinguished from other *Callicarpa* in Hawai‘i by its lanceolate-elliptic leaves that are pointed at both ends, more open inflorescence heads that are 1–3 inches (2.5–7.5 cm) in diameter, and white fruits (Staples & Herbst 2005).

*Material examined.* O‘AHU: Waiawa, near summit ridge, wet native-dominated forest, small tree to 3 m height, small naturalized population, ca 5 plants of various sizes present, UTM 615097, 2374408, 22 Apr 2015, *M. Berger s.n.* (BISH 763743).

Lauraceae

*Neolitsea cassia* (L.) Kosterm.  
**New naturalized record**

This species was previously published as showing signs of naturalization on O‘ahu (Lau and Frohlich 2013), where a single naturalized tree was found in secondary forest on the Likeke Trail above Ho‘omaluhia Botanical Garden. A naturalized population is documented here from secondary forest surrounding the garden, where it is an occasional to locally common element of the vegetation, primarily in shady, non-native dominated habitat. It is a small tree distinguishable from other taxa in Lauraceae in Hawai‘i by its alternate leaves with two sub-basal lateral veins, which do not have a cinnamon-like scent when crushed, the flowers in umbels, sessile on very short branchlets at the internodes. Keys and a full description can be found in *Flora of Ceylon* (Dassanayake et al. 1995).

*Material examined.* O‘AHU: Ho‘omaluhia Botanical Garden, near Kahua Kuou section, small tree to ca 5 m, occasional to common in non-native secondary vegetation surrounding cultivated areas of garden, occasional in areas mauka of garden grounds, 2 Jun 2015, *A. Lau 2015060201*.

Moraceae

*Ficus religiosa* L.  
**New island record**

This species has previously been documented as naturalized on the islands of Maui and Hawai‘i (Oppenheimer & Bustamente 2014; Parker & Parsons 2012), and O‘ahu (Frohlich & Lau 2008), although the original O‘ahu record is considered adventive (Wagner et al. 2012). Since it was first found, it has become increasingly common and established as naturalized on the island, particularly in urban areas throughout Honolulu. Some plants are repeatedly cut back but resprout vigorously and have reached maturity under these circumstances.

*Material examined.* O‘AHU: Honolulu, along H-1 freeway, at Pali exit (‘ewa bound), dry lowlands in urban setting, 5 m tall, established in and widening seam in concrete wall next to freeway, figs at various stages of development, 02 Dec 2014, *A. Lau 2014120201*.

Piperaceae

*Piper divaricatum* G. Mey.  
**New naturalized record**

Native to tropical South America, this *Piper* species does not appear to be widely introduced to regions outside of its native range. It has been introduced to at least two botanical gardens on O‘ahu and was found naturalizing in one on the windward side of the
island. It is capable of forming thickets in dense shade. It can be distinguished from other species of *Piper* in Hawai‘i by its shrub habit, subcoriaceous leaves with pinnate venation, young stems green with white spots, and swollen nodes. A key and full description is available in *Bulletin of the British Museum* (Tebbs 1990).

*Material examined.* O‘AHU: Ho‘omaluhia Botanical Garden, near Kahua Kuou section, sparsely branched shrub 2 m tall, growing in dense shade at edge of hau thicket, spreading from plantings in Tropical American section, capable of forming dense thickets, UTM 623736, 2365214, 14 Apr 2015, *A. Lau 2015041405*.

**Poaceae**

*Paspalum arundinaceum* Poir.  
**New island record**

This species has been previously documented on Maui (Snow & Davidse 2011), and is now known to occur on O‘ahu as well. The population occurs over at least an acre of pastureland. Reports indicate that it can create thickets of vegetation that can cut skin, and may be unpalatable to cattle.

*Material examined.* O‘AHU: Ka‘a‘awa Valley, Kualoa Ranch, NW slope of valley in a cattle pasture, lowland disturbed rangeland, ca 1.5 m tall, occupying at least an acre, 22 Oct 2015, *P. Conant s.n.* (BISH 765243).

**Pteridaceae**

*Adiantum trapeziforme* L.  
**New naturalized record**

This tropical American fern species, which is moderately popular in cultivation, was found growing along a popular trail in central O‘ahu. This species can be distinguished from other *Adiantum* in Hawai‘i by its twice-pinnate, mostly trapezoid-shaped, unequal-sided leaflets 1–2 inches [2.5–5 cm] long, on slender, short, jointed stalks. The color of the stalks ends abruptly at the leaflet blades (Staples & Herbst 2005).

*Material examined.* O‘AHU: Kalauao Falls Trail, mesic non-native forest, erect to arching terrestrial fern to ca 1 m tall, rhizomatous, ca 5 plants in the area, naturalized, UTM 614507, 2367346, 29 Jan 2016, *K. Kawelo & J. Rohrer US Army 408*.

**Salicaceae**

*Flacourtia zippelii* Slooten  
**New state record**

This species, which is native to Papua New Guinea, was found widely scattered throughout a botanical garden on the windward side of O‘ahu. A parent plant had been accessioned to the garden and was still present at the time of collection. Individuals of all size classes were noted. A full description of this species can be found in *Trees of Papua New Guinea* (Conn & Damas 2019). An abridged description follows:

“Large canopy tree (up to c. 30 m high) or small sub-canopy tree (10–20 m high); bole cylindrical (up to c. 25 cm diam.); bark grey or brown, smooth; bark exudate (sap) absent; terminal buds not enclosed by leaves…Leaves… simple, (7.0–)12.0–21.0 cm, (30.0–)50.0–70.0(–90.0) cm, symmetric (to very slightly asymmetric), entire or coarsely crenate, acuminate, venation pinnate, secondary veins open, prominent, leaves lower surface dark green (sub-glossy), upper surface green, indumentum (hairs) absent. Inflorescence axillary, flowers on an unbranched axis, cones absent; flowers unisexual, with male and female flowers on the same plant, stalked; flowers 2.0–3.0 mm long, up to 10 mm diameter; perianth present, with all sepals and/or petals (tepals) similar or petals absent, inner perianth pale yellow, green, or cream-coloured (sepals); 4–5, free or some or partly joined (slightly joined at base); stamens 15 (c.), ovary superior, carpels joined
(when more than one), locules 4–5; styles free, 4(usually persistent in fruit)–5. Infructescence arranged on unbranched axis, fruit 15.0–20.0 mm long, red, non-fleshy to fleshy drupe; seeds (1–)2(–4), to about 5 mm long (5–8 mm long), not winged, broad (as wide as long), seed 1–10 mm diam. (c. 6 mm diam.)."

Material examined. **O'AHU**: Ho'omaluhia Botanical Garden, just mauka of Kahua Kuou section, tree to 5 m tall, naturalized population including trees ca 18 m tall, juvenile plants rare and well scattered in garden, 19 May 2015, A. Lau 2015051901.

**Urticaceae**

**Pilea spruceana** Wedd. **New state record**

This species is a sparsely villous, low-growing herb with oblong to ovate-oblong, ciliate leaves 2–8 cm long and 1.5–4 cm wide, purple-tinged below and dark green above with silver stripes along the central vein, and crenate-serrate, sparingly ciliate margins. Leaves are strigillose above, villous beneath, with punctiform and fusiform cystoliths (more punctiform at the margins). Plants are monoecious or dioecious, with pistillate flowers in short-peduncled cymes (Killip 1937). Hundreds of individuals were found spread along a more than 60-meter stretch of streambed in east Maui; the nearest residence was over 300 feet away [90 m] from the collection.


**Violaceae**

**Viola hederacea** Labill. **New naturalized record**

Known also by the common name Australian violet, this low-growing herb is occasional in cultivation in Hawai‘i, having been first documented here in 1977. It is grown elsewhere outside its native range, and has become naturalized in at least China (Chen et al. 2007). It can be distinguished from other species of *Viola* in Hawai‘i by a stoloniferous habit, the plants essentially stemless with leaves in rosettes, the leaves kidney-shaped with rounded apices. A key and full description can be found in *A Tropical Garden Flora* (Staples & Herbst 2005). It was found along a dirt road through mesic secondary forest in the vicinity of home sites. When not flowering, its vegetative resemblance to other common weeds in Hawai‘i (such as *Centella asiatica*) may limit the degree to which this species is noticed and documented as naturalized by field botanists.

Material examined. **O'AHU**: Pālehua, side road leading to single cabin, mesic secondary forest, growing in partial shade in non-native dominated secondary forest, naturalized patch of ca 100 plants, 28 Jul 2015, K. Kawelo US Army 397.

**TAXA SHOWING SIGNS OF NATURALIZATION**

**Asteraceae**

**Carthamus tinctorius** L.

This species, known by the common name safflower, is cultivated as a source of vegetable oil, dye, as an ornamental, and as birdseed. Given its location in a public park, it is likely to have been brought in for birdseed, as the species is a common component in birdseed mixes. It is believed to have originated in the Mediterranean, but today it is only found in cultivation and escapes where grown (Keil 2006). Two mature plants with many small
seedlings were found in this area; a separate immature plant was noted elsewhere in the park in a coral fill pile.

**Material examined. O‘AHU:** Ala Moana Beach Park, Magic Island, near lifeguard stand, herb to 0.5 m tall, leaves spiny along margins, flower heads thistle-like, petal/corolla lobes yellow, aging to orange, dry coastal area near cultivated setting, open canopy, growing with *Melilotus indicus* and *Medicago*, 17 Mar 2017, *D. Frohlich & A. Lau* 2017031701.

**Convolvulaceae**

*Ipomoea corymbosa* (L.) Roth ex Roem. & Schult.  This species was found sprawling over a *Leucaena leucocephala* shrub along a major highway in Anahola, Kaua‘i. Although there are a few houses in the area, this vine was not obviously planted or tended. This species commonly naturalizes where it is grown. The full description of this species from *Kew Bulletin* (*Wood et al.* 2015) is as follows:

“Liana climbing to about 7 m over shrubs and small trees; stems woody, usually glabrous. Leaves petiolate, 4–10 × 3–9 cm, ovate, cordate with rounded auricles, narrowed to an obtuse, shortly mucronate apex, glabrous or (rarely) pubescent, abaxially paler; petioles 2–5 cm. Inflorescence of lax compound cymes terminal on the main stem and on lateral branchlets 5–20 cm long; secondary peduncles 1–5 cm, bracteoles c. 2 mm, scale-like; pedicels 7–17 mm, sepals slightly unequal, oblong, obtuse, nearly completely scarious, glabrous, outer 10–11 mm, inner 11–14 mm; corolla 2.5–3 cm, campanulate, cream with dark center and yellow midpetalline bands, glabrous, limb c. 1.5–2 cm diam. Capsule narrowly ovoid, 11–14 × 3–4 mm, glabrous, style persistent, seeds 1–2, 4–5 mm diam., subglobose, tomentose.”

**Material examined. KAUAʻI:** Kūhiō Road near intersection with Hui Road, Anahola area, growing with *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Megathyrsus maximus*, *Lantana camara*, vine forming small patch (5 × 5 m) overhanging roadside vegetation, 15 Mar 2019, *A. Lau & D. Frohlich* 2019031501.

**Primulaceae**

*Ardisia solanacea* (Poir.) Roxb.  This species, one of several *Ardisia* species either naturalized or spreading adventively in Hawai‘i, was found spreading 10 meters away from the parent plant in a botanical garden in central O‘ahu. The full description of this species comes from *Flora of China* (*Chen & Pipoly* 2010):

“Shrubs or trees to 6 m tall, glabrous. Branchlets prominently angular, 5–7 mm in diam. Petiole canaliculate, 1–2 cm; leaf blade elliptic or oblanceolate, 12–20 × 4–7 cm, papery, conspicuously black punctate and punctate-lineate abaxially, not prominently punctate adaxially, base cuneate or narrowly decurrent on petiole, margin subrevolute, entire, apex acute; lateral veins ca. 20 on each side of midrib, raised on both surfaces, marginal vein absent. Inflorescences at bases of new shoots, paniculate with racemose or rarely corymbose branches, 3–8 cm. Flowers leathery, pink, ca. 1 cm. Sepals broadly ovate to reniform, ca. 3 mm, densely black punctate, base subauriculate, margin subentire or crenulate, ciliate, scarious, apex rounded. Petals nearly free; lobes broadly ovate, ca. 9 mm, punctate, margin entire, hyaline, apex obtuse or acute. Stamens subequaling petals; filaments ca. 1/4 anther length; anthers linear-lanceolate, densely punctate dorsally, longitudinally dehiscent, apex acute. Pistil subequaling petals; ovary globose, densely punctate; ovules numerous, multiseriate. Fruit purplish red or blackish, oblate, 7–9 mm in diam., densely black punctate. Fl. Feb–Mar, fr. Aug–Nov. 2n = 46.”

**Material examined. O‘AHU:** Wahiawā Botanical Garden, *Ficus* overstory with mixed botanical garden species, growing in dappled shade, flowers hot pink, cupped upward, leathery, fruits immature, 5 plants growing 10 m away from parent plant, 16 Jul 2012, *A. Lau & D. Frohlich* 2012071602.
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Reducing the backlog of unprocessed historical collections in Bishop Museum’s *Herbarium Pacificum*, combined with a sweep through the Hawaiian vascular plant database, has revealed a number of new plant records for the Hawaiian Islands. Among these are four new state records (naturalized taxa previously unrecorded in Hawai‘i), four new naturalized records (naturalized taxa previously known only in cultivation in Hawai‘i), numerous new island records (naturalized taxa now reported on a new island), and one cultivated species showing signs of adventive naturalization. Among the 51 taxa included in this paper, all are introduced except for 4 native taxa (*Cyperus hillebrandii* var. *hillebrandii*, *Microlepia strigosa* var. *mauiensis*, *Peperomia cookiana*, *Panicum fauriei* var. *carteri*). All identifications of taxa included in this paper were made by staff of Bishop Museum’s Department of Natural Sciences/Botany, except where noted in the acknowledgments, and all supporting voucher specimens are on deposit at *Herbarium Pacificum* (BISH), except as otherwise noted.

Readers of the *Records of the Hawaii Biological Survey* should note that *Herbarium Pacificum* subscribes to the taxonomic constructs recommended by the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group (1998, 2003, 2009, 2016) and Pteridophyte Phylogeny Group (2016). As such, some genera are placed in families that may be unfamiliar to those who are intimately familiar with Wagner *et al.* (1990, 1999) and Palmer (2003) (e.g., *Atriplex* in *Amaranthaceae*, not *Chenopodiaceae*; *Lemna* in *Araceae*, not *Lemmaceae*; *Cuscuta* in *Convolvulaceae*, not *Cuscutaceae*; *Azolla* in *Salviniaceae*, not *Azollaceae*). A review of recent taxonomic and nomenclatural changes affecting naturalized taxa in Hawai‘i can be found in Imada (2019; see Appendix A for updated synonymy listing); also included is an alphabetical listing of all families and genera of naturalized taxa in Hawai‘i, dating back to Wagner *et al.* (1990) and Palmer (2003), along with their current dispositions.

**Acanthaceae**

*Justicia secunda* Vahl  
New state record

*Justicia* is a large genus of ca. 700 species (Mabberley 2017) mostly distributed throughout tropical regions of the world. It is separable from many other cultivated acanth genera in Hawai‘i by its distinctly 2-lipped (as opposed to more openly spreading) corollas with 2 fertile stamens. Up to now, four species of *Justicia* have been documented as naturalizing in the Hawaiian Islands: *J. betonica* L. (white shrimp plant), *J. carnea* Lindl. (flamingo flower), *J. spicigera* Schltdl. (see Imada 2019 for details), and, most recently, *J. gendarussa* Burm. f. (Frohlich & Lau 2020). A new naturalizing species of *Justicia* was
first observed and collected in 2018 by Talbert Takahama, biologist with the Hawai‘i State Department of Land and Natural Resources–Division of Fish and Wildlife, along Poamoho Stream in central O‘ahu. Exploring the streambank between 1,000 and 1,200 feet (305–365 m) elevation, he observed that the plant was the predominant understory species, in association with an alien overstory of *Psidium cattleyanum*, *Ardisia elliptica*, *Citharexylum caudatum*, *Eucalyptus* spp., and *Falcataria moluccana*. Field photos were sent to Tom Daniel (California Academy of Sciences), who thought they matched *J. secunda* Vahl, a species whose native range includes the West Indies, Panama, and Colombia (Durkee 1978). Subsequent study of the *Justicia* key and description in the Acanthaceae treatment of the *Flora of Panama* (Durkee 1978) by Herbarium Pacificum staff confirmed its identification. The following plant characters are extracted from Durkee’s description:

“Herb to ca. 2 m tall. Leaves ovate to lanceolate, to 16.5 cm long and 6.5 cm wide, apically acuminate, basally acute or obtuse, the cystoliths numerous and prominent to ca. 2 mm long, the margins entire to crenulate; petioles to 3 cm long. Inflorescences thyrsoid to paniculate, mostly terminal, 5–15 cm long, 7 cm broad; rachis with the peduncle and the pedicel pilose; bracts linear to subulate, to ca. 6 mm long and 0.5 mm wide, keeled, ciliolate. Flowers with the calyx 5-merous, the segments elliptic oblong, equal, to 9 mm long, 2 mm wide, apex acuminate; corolla red or purplish red, bilabiate, 2.5–4.3 cm long, the tube short, ca. 1 cm long, 3 mm wide at base, 5 mm wide at the throat, the upper lip to 32 mm long and 8 mm wide with two small 1 mm wide, acute lobes at the apex, the lower lip slightly longer, to 35 mm long and 6.5 mm wide with 3 small, ca. 2 mm long, semiiorbicular lobes at the apex, the middle lobe 2 mm wide, the lateral lobes 1.5 mm wide; stamens extending about as far as the upper lip and mostly within it, the anthers usually exposed at maturity, the cells subparallel, attached unequally by a connective 0.5–0.75 mm wide, filaments glabrous, flattened; style held within the upper lip, extending just beyond the stamens, increasingly puberulous toward the tip, the stigma subcapitate. Capsule clavate, ca. 1 cm long, 5 mm wide, the apex acute.”

*Justicia secunda* apparently has no prior recorded history of cultivation in Hawai‘i, and its weediness in other countries is undocumented. Three of the already-naturalized *Justicia* species (*J. betonica*, *J. carnea*, *J. spicigera*) have received high-risk scores for invasiveness potential in Hawai‘i (Hawai‘i-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment 2009b). The leaves are used in folkloric medicine for anemia, wound-healing, and abdominal pain in tropical countries ranging from Barbados (where it is called blood root) to Venezuela (called sanguinaria) and Nigeria, Congo and Côte-de-Ivoire in Africa, and laboratory studies have confirmed its anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive (blocking the sensation of pain), and antioxidant properties (Onoja *et al.* 2017). The following is a key to the five species of *Justicia* now documented as naturalized in the state (derived from Leonard 1958; Durkee 1978, 1986; Staples & Herbst 2005; Hu & Daniel 2011).

1. Infl bracts conspicuous, white netted with green veins … *J. betonica*
1. Infl bracts green or inconspicuous (2).
2(1). Leaves narrowly lanceolate, 6–10 cm long, 1–1.5 cm wide; flowers creamy white … *J. gendarussa*
2. Leaves ovate to oblong or lanceolate, but not narrowly lanceolate, up to 25 cm long, 9 cm wide; flowers red, purplish red, pink, or orange (3).
3(2). Inflorescence a dense terminal panicle; flowers pink (sometimes rose or white) ... *J. carnea*

3. Inflorescence more open, a thyrs, panicle, or raceme, terminal or axillary; flowers red to purplish red or orange (4).

4(3). Flowers orange; leaf bases decurrent along petiole ... *J. spicigera*

4. Flowers red to purplish red; leaf bases acute to obtuse, petiole distinct ... *J. secunda*

**Material examined. O'AHU**: Poamoho Stream north of Whitmore Village, sometimes dominant along stream trail in alien forest, Lat 21.52645661, Long -158.00334133, 1,050 ft [320 m], 18 Dec 2018, *T. Takahama* s.n. (BISH 775085).

**Ruellia squarrosa** (Fenzl) Cufod.  
**New naturalized record**

A genus of ca. 350 species, *Ruellia* naturally occurs in the tropics and temperate North America and includes a number of cultivated ornamental species (Mabberley 2017); six of them are treated in *A Tropical Garden Flora* (Staples & Herbst 2005), and three of the six—*R. brevifolia*, *R. brittoniana*, *R. deovisiana*—were previously documented as escaping from cultivation and naturalizing (Imada 2019). Now a fourth species—*R. squarrosa*, fringe-leaf ruellia—has been documented as naturalizing, likely an escape from cultivation on O’ahu, where it was noted as a common groundcover on the banks of a windward O’ahu stream channel growing with *Sphagneticola trilobata*, *Hiptage benghalensis*, and *Pilea microphylla*. The species has been profiled as displaying high risk characters for invasiveness (Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment 2009c), and this native of Veracruz, Mexico has been documented as naturalizing in Reunion Island, Australia, and Okinawa. Its ecological preferences in Sydney, Australia, along shaded creekside areas (Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment 2009c), mirror those at the O’ahu collection site. Besides producing numerous seeds (each capsule contains 12 seeds), the species is easily propagated by cuttings or division of clumps (Staples & Herbst 2005). *Ruellia squarrosa* has been cultivated in Hawai’i at least since 1960, when it was collected in Honolulu’s Foster Botanical Garden (*C. Potter* s.n., BISH 20089). The species is an herb 3–4.5 dm tall, softly hairy on all parts; leaf blades opposite, lanceolate, 3–8 cm long; and flowers solitary, axillary, purple or bluish purple, ca. 6 cm long (Staples & Herbst 2005). The following is a key to the six species of *Ruellia* now documented as naturalized in the state.

1. Leaves green, whitish along veins on upper side, ± purplish on underside ... *R. deovisiana*

1. Leaves uniformly green on both sides (2).

2(1). Erect herb; flowers in terminal, cymose panicles (3).

2. Prostrate herb or low groundcover; flowers solitary in leaf axils (5).

3(2). Flowers in spikes; bracts imbricate ... *R. blechum*

3. Flowers in panicles, or solitary; bracts not imbricate (4).

4(3). Flowers lavender; leaves narrowly linear-lanceolate ... *R. brittoniana*

4. Flowers red; leaves ovate ... *R. brevifolia*

5(2). Prostrate herb, often rooting at nodes; floral bracts 15–23 mm long; flowers 2.4–3.2 cm long, corolla violet blue to nearly white ... *R. prostrata*

5. Low groundcover up to 4.5 cm tall; floral bracts 8 mm long; flowers 5.5 cm long, corolla purple or bluish purple ... *R. squarrosa*

**Amaranthaceae**  
*Amaranthus spinosus* L.  
**New island record**

This widespread, troublesome weed was recorded in Wagner *et al.* (1990, 1999: 188–189) as naturalized on Kure Atoll and all of the main Hawaiian Islands except Ni‘ihau and Lāna‘i, and subsequently recorded from Midway Atoll (Starr *et al.* 2002: 17) and Lāna‘i (Oppenheimer 2003:5). On Lehua, a tuff cone remnant off the coast of Ni‘ihau, 70 naturalizing mature and 10 immature plants were pulled and bagged. Spiny amaranth has been characterized as being one of the 18 most serious agricultural weeds in the world (Holm *et al.* 1977).

**Material examined.** Lehua: East of weatherport on ridge above restoration plantings, sparse non-native scrubland with *Pluchea indica* dominant in gulches and open areas of lithified ash with non-native grasses, 41 m, 22 Feb 2007, N. Tangalin 1657.

*Atriplex muelleri* Benth.  
**New state records**

*Atriplex* is a genus of ca. 300 species mostly found in temperate and subtropical parts of the world (Mabberley 2017). Many species are halophytic, as its common name saltbush suggests. It can be mistaken for species of *Chenopodium*, except that *Atriplex* has usually unisexual flowers, and the female flowers are subtended by two distinctive fleshy or hardened bracts at maturity, while in *Chenopodium* the flowers are usually perfect and devoid of subtending bracts. Wagner *et al.* (1990) treated four naturalized species (*A. eardleyae*, *A. lentiformis*, *A. semibaccata*, *A. suberecta*). Subsequently, *A. maximowicziana* (Wagner *et al.* 1997: 55) and *A. canescens* (Staples *et al.* 2003: 10) were both added as new records, both restricted to the island of Hawai‘i. Now a seventh naturalized species, *A. muelleri*, has been documented on O‘ahu and Maui. In Wagner *et al.* (1990: 535), *A. muelleri* was treated as a name misapplied to *A. suberecta*. The species are similar, differing by characters of the fruiting bracts (teeth large, deltate in *suberecta*; short, rounded in *muelleri*) and leaf apices (rounded in *suberecta*, truncate in *muelleri*). In Australia, where both species are native, they are differentiated primarily by these key characters (Wilson 1984; Jacobs 1990). Confirmation of identification was received by botanists at the Western Australian Herbarium (M. Hislop) and University of Adelaide (J. McDonald). To the first author, the plump bracteoles resemble tiny Chinese dim sum potstickers. Hawaiian material is described as a sprawling or semi-erect subshrub, and was sometimes collected in coastal salty substrates, sometimes in inland waste areas. The following key separates the naturalized *Atriplex* species in Hawai‘i.

1. Perennial shrubs, stems ascending or sprawling, 8–30 dm long (2).
2. Annual or perennial herbs, stems ascending or prostrate, 2–15 dm long (3).
3. Stems prostrate; fruiting bracts fleshy, reddish-tinged to red ... *A. semibaccata*
4. Fruiting bracts not as above (4).
5. Stems procumbent to ascending; fruiting bracts fan-shaped ... *A. eardleyae*
6. Fruiting bracts rhombic (5).
7. Inflorescences in terminal spikes ... *A. maximowicziana*
8. Inflorescences in axillary clusters (6).

1. Units of the Bishop Museum; OCA: No. 129, 2020
6(5). Bracteoles swollen, rounded, apex obtuse with all teeth ± equal in length; leaf apex obtuse ... *A. muelleri*

6. Bracteoles compressed, rhomboid to deltoid, apex acute with one tooth more prominent; leaf apex acute to obtuse ... *A. suberecta*


### Araceae

**Lemma obscura** (Austin) Daubs  
*New island record*

Species of *Lemma*, duckweed, are among the world’s smallest flowering plants, making them difficult to identify. They are also among the fastest-multiplying of vascular plants, due to their ready ability to propagate vegetatively by budding, and can completely cover slow-moving bodies of water in short order (Staples & Herbst 2005). Wagner et al. (1990: 1457–58) treated a single species in Hawai‘i, *L. perpusilla* Torr., which was regarded as possibly naturalized or indigenous, due to the ease with which it may have been transported naturally to the Islands via migrating water birds. Wagner et al. (1997: 58) later corrected the identity of the known *Lemma* species to *L. aequinoctialis* Welw., based on taxonomic work by Landolt (1986), and also documented a new state record for *L. obscura* on O‘ahu and Hawai‘i. At that time, it was reported that the new Hawaiian records represented the only known distribution of *L. obscura* outside of its native range in southeastern North America (Wagner et al. 1997). Landolt (2000) extends the natural range of the species to central Mexico, Colombia, and Ecuador, and provides key characters for distinguishing the taxa: *L. aequinoctialis* with root sheath winged at the base, root tip usually sharp pointed, roots to 3(–3.5) cm long, and fronds without a reddish color or spots of anthocyanin; *L. obscura* with root sheath not winged, root tip mostly rounded, roots often longer than 3 cm, and fronds often with a reddish tinge or spots of anthocyanin. A naturally occurring collection on Kaua‘i now extends its Hawaiian distribution.

**Material examined. KAUA‘I:** Līhu‘e Distr., near mouth of Wailua River, west side of Hwy 56 (south side of river) at extreme west end of Smith’s Tropical Paradise Botanical Garden, homogeneous populations in ponds of *Hibiscus tiliaceus* marsh, 6 m, 20 Aug 1999, *W.P. Armstrong & E.M. Collins 1338.*

**Pistia stratiotes** L.  
*New island record*

Previously documented as naturalized on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, and Maui (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1359), this highly invasive weed of waterways has also been documented on the Big Island.

**Material examined. HAWAI‘I:** Ka‘ū, in a pond behind Punalu‘u Beach, covering entire pond, along with patches of water hyacinth and water lilies, sea level, 04 May 2006, *B.H. Gagne 3154.*
Asteraceae

*Emilia sonchifolia* (L.) DC.

*var. javanica* (Burm. f.) Mattf. **New island records**

Previously recorded as naturalized from Kaua‘i and O‘ahu (Wagner *et al.* 1990, 1999: 312), East Maui (Wagner *et al.* 1997: 52), and Lāna‘i (Oppenheimer 2008: 24), recently identified decades-old specimens from 1948 (Moloka‘i) and 1979 (Hawai‘i) extend the known Hawaiian range for *E. sonchifolia* var. *javanica*.

*Material examined. MOLOKA‘I:* Kualapu‘u, common in ravine sides, roadsides, etc., in pineapple field, 21 Feb 1948, *F.R. Fosberg 2954*

*HAwAI‘I:* Puna District, Halepua‘a Forest Reserve, experimental tree planting area, 100 ft [30 m], 15 Aug 1979, *ESP Field Crew s.n.* (BISH 656177, 656178).

*Gamochaeta purpurea* (L.) Cabrera **New island record**

Originally recorded as naturalized (as *Gnaphalium purpureum*) on all of the main Hawaiian Islands except for Ni‘ihau (Wagner *et al.* 1990, 1999: 321), Wagner *et al.* (1997: 54) reported that the genus had been transferred to *Gamochaeta*. Alford (2012) updated the taxonomy for Hawaiian plants, and reported that there were four additional naturalized species of *Gamochaeta* in the islands. In the shuffle of specimens, all *G. purpurea* vouchers from Lehua, Kaua‘i, Lāna‘i, and Kaho‘olawe were renamed, and the known distribution of *G. purpurea* was reduced to O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, Maui, and Hawai‘i. The following voucher confirms that the species does occur on Kaua‘i.

*Material examined. KAUA‘I:* Nāpali coast, Nualolo Kai, on weedy talus slopes toward back wall of valley, 15 m, 30 Apr 2010, *N. Tangalin 2295*.

*Tridax procumbens* L. **New island record**

Coat buttons is a common weed of low elevation, dry, disturbed habitats, which Wagner *et al.* (1990, 1999: 370) recorded from Midway Atoll and all of the main Hawaiian Islands except for Ni‘ihau. It has now been documented from nearby Lehua islet.

*Material examined. LEHUA:* Western crescent arm, growing on islet crest on open, dry, windswept, sparsely vegetated habitat on lithified ash, 107 m, 28 Oct 2008, *N. Tangalin, J. Carbone, C. Trauernicht, & E. Griffin-Noyes 1823*.

Basellaceae

*Basella alba* L. **New island records**

Previously documented as naturalized only on O‘ahu (Nagata 1995: 11) and Midway Atoll (Wagner *et al.* 2012: 17), vouchers dating back to the 1990s of this cultivated twinner, called Malabar nightshade or Ceylon spinach, from Kaua‘i and Hawai‘i, suggest that it is naturalized on those islands as well.


Brassicaceae

*Lepidium didymum* L. **New island record**

Reported from all the main islands as well as Midway and Pearl & Hermes Atolls of Papahānaumokuākea (Wagner *et al.* 1990, 1999: 403), the range of swinecress was extended to Laysan (Staples *et al.* 2003: 9), and now Kure Atoll, based on this 1979 collection. Formerly known in the literature as *Coronopus didymus* (L.) Sm., the genus was sunk into *Lepidium* based on molecular evidence (Al-Shehbaz *et al.* 2002).
Cactaceae

*Selenicereus setaceus* (Salm-Dyck ex DC.) A. Berger ex Werderm.

Collections of this climbing cactus, made 20 years or more ago in Kōloa District on Kaua‘i, are still extant (D. Lorence, pers. comm., March 2020) and are now formally included in the Hawaiian naturalized plant ensemble. *Selenicereus setaceus* occurs in the same part of Kaua‘i in which other cacti have been reported as naturalizing, among them *Acanthocereus tetragonus*, *Harrisia bonplandii*, and *Selenicereus macdonaldiae* (all reported in Lorence *et al.* 1995), as well as *Cereus uruguayanus* and *Harrisia martini* (reported in Wagner *et al.* 1990, which notes that many cacti species in that area were reportedly introduced by the Moir family). [Note: The *Selenicereus macdonaldiae* record was originally misidentified as *S. grandiflorus* (L.) Britton & Rose, a change reported in Herbst & Wagner 1999: 16]

Species of *Selenicereus* and *Hylocereus* (such as the well-known night-blooming cactus, *H. undatus* (Haw.) Britton & Rose) are very similar with their climbing, scrambling habit and spectacular, usually white, night-blooming flowers. In fact, recent phylogenetic work by Korotkova *et al.* (2017) found that *Hylocereus* was a monophyletic genus but was nested within a grade formed by species of *Selenicereus*. Strong evidence pointed to the two genera sharing a common origin, necessitating a merger of the genera. D.R. Hunt (2017) formally proposed synonymization of *Hylocereus* under *Selenicereus* and the necessary new combinations were made by Hunt (2017) and Korotkova *et al.* (2017). For Hawaiian material, this means that there are now four species of naturalized *Selenicereus*—*S. pteranthus* (Link ex A. Dietr.) Britton & Rose forma macdonaldeae (Hook) Ralf Bauer [Syn. *Hylocereus macdonaldeae* (Hook.) Britton & Rose]; *S. setaceus* (as treated here); *S. cf. trigonus* (Haw.) S. Arias & N. Korotkova [Syn. *Hylocereus trigonus* (Haw.) Safford]; and *S. undatus* (Haw.) D.R. Hunt [Syn. *Hylocereus undatus* (Haw.) Britton & Rose]. [Note: the new record for *Hylocereus costaricensis*, based on Flynn 3571 (Lorence *et al.* 1995: 28) was redetermined by B. Leuenberger (Berlin-Dahlem) as *H. cf. trigonus* in 2000]. A diagnostic description of *Selenicereus setaceus* is provided in *The European Garden Flora* (Hunt 1989): “Stems usually 3-, sometimes 4–5-angled, 2–4 (rarely to 8) cm in diameter. Areoles 2–3 cm apart, with 1–2 conical brown spines 1–2 mm long. Flowers 25–30 cm long; pericarpel with felted and spiny areoles, tube with scales naked in their axils. Fruit ovoid, tuberculate and bristly, red. Brazil to N Argentina.”


Convolvulaceae

*Cuscuta campestris* Yunck.

New island record

Recorded as naturalized on O‘ahu and Hawai‘i (Wagner *et al.* 1990, 1999: 582), Lāna‘i (Oppenheimer 2011: 7), East Maui (Starr *et al.* 2004: 22), and West Maui (Oppenheimer 2003: 10), this parasitic groundcover is now confirmed as naturalized on Kaua‘i. Native
and widespread in North America, this species is also considered to be the most widespread *Cuscuta* weed species, now recorded in Africa, Asia, Australia, Europe, and South America (Costea et al. 2006).

**Material examined.** **KAU‘I:** Kawaihau Distr., Princeville, Church of the Pacific parking lot, localized on bed of wedelia groundcover, 114 m, 13 Jun 2013, D.H. Lorence & K. Blackmer 10397; Kōloa Distr., Kalāheo, upper Pu‘uawai Rd. near junction with Pu‘ulima Road, across from county water tank, parasitic on *Sphagnum*, 341 m, 15 Nov 2013, T. Flynn 7696.

**Cuscuta pentagona** Engelm.  

**New state records**  
*Cuscuta* is a parasitic genus of ca. 200 species of leafless annual herbs with worldwide distribution. Some species (e.g., *C. campestris*, treated above) are recognized pests of agriculture (CABI 2020c). In Hawai‘i, Wagner et al. (1990: 582) treated one endemic species (*C. sandwichiana*) and one widespread weed native to North America (*C. campestris*, western field dodder). As documented above, *C. campestris* is now known from all of the main islands except for Ni‘ihau, Moloka‘i, and Kahoolawe. In February 2007, Dan Austin (author of the Convolvulaceae treatment in *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i*), annotated several vouchers from O‘ahu, West Maui, and Hawai‘i as *C. pentagona*, all previously called *C. campestris*. In light of the fact that *Cuscuta* identification is made notoriously difficult by the need to distinguish small differences between minute flowers on already dried voucher specimens, it is understandable that misidentifications would occur. Costea et al. (2006) noted that *C. pentagona*, with the same North American native range as *C. campestris*, was not as common and had not yet been reported from outside of North America. Identification of fresh flowering material might make clearer whether *C. pentagona* is more prevalent than thought in the Islands.  
The following updated key to *Cuscuta* in Hawai‘i is modified from Wagner et al. (1990), Costea et al. (2006), and Spaulding (2013).

1. Stems yellow to yellowish orange; flowers 3–4(–5) mm long; petals erect to slightly spreading; scales below stamens absent or reduced and forked or triangular; seeds ca. 2 mm long ... *C. sandwichiana*
2. Calyx lobes strongly overlapping at base, forming 4–5 strong angles at sinuses of mature flowers; corolla lobes lance-acuminate; mature flowers ca. 1.5–2 mm long ... *C. pentagona*
3. Calyx lobes not strongly overlapping at base of mature flowers, not distinctly 5-angled; corolla lobes deltoid-ovate; mature flowers ca. 2–3 mm long ... *C. campestris*

**Material examined.** **O‘AHU:** Honolulu, Wa‘ahila Ridge, St. Louis Heights, private residence on Frank Street, climbing on cultivated *Vitex* hedge, 120 ft [35 m], 10 Feb 1975, K.M. Nagata 1244; Lanikai, in vacant lot near intersection of Mokulua and Mokumau Streets, growing over *Asystasia* and grasses, 06 Nov 1985, J. Jacobson & S. Jacobson s.n. (BISH 50224).  

**MAUI:** West Maui, Wailuku Distr., Wailuku, growing roadside on *Asystasia gangetica*, 180 ft [55 m], 17 May 2001, H. Oppenheimer HS0115.  

**HAWAI‘I:** Saddle Road between Hilo and Kona, roadside, 22 Feb 1955, W.H. Welch 16704.

**Crassulaceae**  
**Kalanchoe rotundifolia** (Haw.) Haw.  

**New island record**  
Recently documented from East Maui as a new escape from cultivation (Starr & Starr 2016: 14), an older collection from O‘ahu documents that it is escaping there as well.
Material examined. **O‘AHU**: Kīpapa Gulch, off Kamehameha Hwy., numerous plants found growing along roadside over asphalt, 400 ft [120 m], 11 Oct 2007, *R. Chang* HDOA 1.

**Cyperaceae**

**Cyperus difformis** L.  
*New island record*  
This obligate wetland sedge was previously documented by Wagner *et al.* (1990, 1999: 1395) as naturalized on Kaua‘i and O‘ahu, and subsequently collected on West Maui (Starr *et al.* 2002: 19) and East Maui (Starr *et al.* 2006: 35). The following voucher documents its presence in the Kohala District of the Big Island.


**Cyperus hillebrandii** Boeckeler  
var. *hillebrandii*  
*New island record*  
Recorded as endemic on O‘ahu, Lāna‘i, East Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner *et al.* 1990, 1999: 1418, as *Mariscus hillebrandii* subsp. *hillebrandii*), this overlooked voucher confirms the presence of *Cyperus hillebrandii* var. *hillebrandii* throughout the higher islands of Maui Nui.


**Cyperus stoloniferus** Retz.  
*New state records*  
This new state record was identified in a serendipitous way that points to the continued need to maintain herbarium collections. In 2014, *Herbarium Pacificum* received a sedge voucher from East Maui (*Oppenheimer & Bustamente* H41416) that was difficult to match but came closest to another East Maui collection (*Starr & Starr* 000910-1) that had been annotated in 2001 by sedge specialist Mark Strong (Smithsonian) as an aberrant form of *Cyperus rotundus*. In 2018, 17 years after his annotation, Strong was emailed jpgs of both vouchers to see if he agreed that the newer collection should also be called *C. rotundus*. Strong in turn enlisted the advice of *Cyperus* specialist Gordon Tucker, who immediately responded that, yes, he knew the species, which he called *C. stoloniferus*. He remembered it from working on the *Flora of China* treatment of *Cyperus*, and noted diagnostic characters of erect inflorescence bracts and dark purple spikelets. He noted that the species was widespread in the Pacific and East Asia. Now it is also known from the Hawaiian Islands. Besides East Maui, another voucher from the Big Island (*Duvall s.n.*) was also pulled from the *C. rotundus* folders. The following description is extracted from *Flora of China* (Dai *et al.* 2010).

“Perennials. Rhizomes long, ± thick, ± hardened, base of shoot with ellipsoid to ovoid tubers. Culms solitary, 8–22 cm tall, 3-angled, smooth, basal sheaths usually disintegrating into fibers. Leaves usually shorter than culm to rarely longer; leaf blade medium green, 2–4 mm wide, usually folded, rarely flat. Involutral bracts 2 or 3, ± erect, leaflike, basal 2 longer than inflorescence. Inflorescence a simple anthela; rays 3 or 4, 0.5–3 cm, each with 3–8 congested spikelets. Spikelets narrowly oblong-ovoid to narrowly ovoid, 6–12 × 2–3 mm, slightly thickened, 10–18-flowered; rachilla narrowly winged. Glumes yellow to brownish yellow on both surfaces variegated with brownish blood-red but middle green, densely imbricate, broadly ovate, ca. 3 mm, papery, 5–7-veined, keel obtuse, margin broadly white hyaline, apex acute to subobtuse. Nutlet dark brown when mature, ellipsoid to subobovoid, ca. 2/3 as long as subtending glume, 3-sided.”
Bryson & Carter (2008) listed *C. stoloniferus* as a vegetative colonizer of coastal sands, with a range that includes Pakistan, India to China and northern Australia, Mauritius, and Madagascar.

**Material examined.** **MAUI:** East Maui, near Olinda, in pasture near Po‘okela Church, up to 50 cm tall, large patches in pasture visible due to dark glumes, 1,800 ft [550 m], 10 Sep 2000, F. Starr & K. Martz 000910-1; East Maui, Makawao Distri., Pi‘iholo, naturalized in lawn used as a helicopter landing zone, adjacent to Maui Invasive Species Committee baseyard, 2,100 ft [635 m], 23 Apr 2014, H. Oppenheimer & K. Bustamente H41416. **HAWAI‘I:** Kahuku Ranch, 1 mile [1.6 km] E of Hawaiian Ocean View Estates, pasture with ‘ōhi’a-koa remnants, 4,500 ft [1,370 m], 30 Nov 2006, F. Duvall s.n. (BISH 664566).

**Eleocharis geniculata** (L.) Roem. & Schult. **New island record**

Wagner *et al.* (1990, 1999: 1402) documented this wetland species as naturalized on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, and Moloka‘i; subsequently, it was reported by Oppenheimer (2003: 10) on West Maui and Imada *et al.* (2008: 12) on Lāna‘i. The following vouchers document its presence on the Big Island back to 1979.

**Material examined.** **HAWAI‘I:** Pi‘ihonua, Hilo Forest Reserve, site 34 OS, 4,900 ft [1,495 m], 02 Jul 1979, K. Adee s.n. (BISH 581614); South Hilo District, Hilo Forest Reserve, within a large bog south of the Wailuku River, 3,620 ft [1,105 m], 20 May 1981, G. Clarke 601; Nīnole, Ka‘ū, in muck of drying freshwater pond next to ocean, 16 May 1983, O. Degener & I. Degener 35792.

**Fimbristylis littoralis** Gaudich. **New island record**

This wetland sedge was first collected around taro patches in Hanalei Valley, Kaua‘i in 1977. Strong and Wagner (1997: 45) reported on this new state record under the name *F. miliacea* (L.) Vahl. Subsequently it was reported from the Waipi‘o Valley on the Big Island (Imada *et al.* 2000: 12) and Ke‘anae, East Maui (Oppenheimer 2003: 11). The species, now known as *F. littoralis* (see Imada 2007: 35 for details) has now been documented from O‘ahu in its obligate wetland habitat.

**Material examined.** **O‘AHU:** Kahuku, James Campbell National Wildlife Refuge, Ki‘i Unit, Pond C Makai, growing intermixed with *Cyperus polystachyos*, most prevalent in lee areas behind bulrush, in dry ground, but moist soil adhering to roots, several patches observed, 19 Jul 2007, M. Silbernagle & D. DesRochers s.n. (BISH 726217).

**Dennstaedtiaceae**

**Microlepia strigosa** (Thunb.) C. Presl

var. *mauiensis* (W.H. Wagner) D.D. Palmer **New island record**

*Microlepia mauensis* was originally described in 1993 by W.H. Wagner, Jr. as a rare, new endemic Hawaiian fern, restricted and localized to extremely wet habitats above 1,200 m elevation on West Maui, East Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner Jr. 1993). Characters that helped distinguish it from the abundant, indigenous *M. strigosa* included its densely hairy fronds (vs. sparsely hairy in *strigosa*) and flexuous rachises and costae (vs. non-flexuous in *strigosa*). After study of Hawaiian Microlepia in the field and herbarium, it became apparent to Dan Palmer that he was seeing a continuum of intermediate forms from nearly completely glabrous to very hairy, suggesting a variable species with, as one extreme manifestation, a very hairy variety with a slightly zigzag rachis (*M. mauensis*); for this he published the new combination *M. strigosa* var. *mauiensis* (Palmer 2002). In 2016, this taxon was Federally listed as Endangered (Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office 2016), consisting of fewer than 100 known wild individuals on O‘ahu (lowland mesic forest),
Maui (montane wet forest), and Hawai’i (montane mesic and wet forest). This record acknowledges the presence of this endangered fern on O’ahu.

Material examined. O’AHU: Wai’anae Mts., West Makaleha Valley, Metrosideros-Dicranopteris forest, ca 20 plants, 03 Mar 2011, S. Perlman, S. Ching, & J. Lau 22455.

Fabaceae

Macroptilium lathyroides (L.) Urb. New island record

Wild bean or cow pea is widely naturalized in pastures and disturbed lowland areas throughout the main islands (Wagner et al. (1990, 1999: 683; Shannon & Wagner 1996: 13; Herbarium Pacificum Staff 1996: 4) and on Midway Atoll (Starr & Starr 2017: 5). It has now been documented from Lehua islet, adjacent to Ni’ihau.

Material examined. LEHUA: Ridge between Pritchardia Gulch and Weatherport Gulch, coastal dry shrubland, 20 m, 07 May 2012, N. Tangalin 3195.

Vigna vexillata (L.) A. Rich. New island record

This species of Vigna was first collected in Lāwa’i, Kaua’i in 2003 (Lorence 9071, PTBG) and described as an herbaceous, twining vine forming a large patch along the edge of an abandoned coffee field near the entrance of National Tropical Botanical Garden, growing in weedy secondary vegetation. It was identified by J.A. Lackey (Smithsonian) in 2004 as V. vexillata, making it a new state record (Wagner et al. 2012: 43). Now it has been documented as naturalizing along the Kona coast on Hawai’i. The species is widely distributed naturally in the tropics and subtropics, but its weedy range is undocumented. The following description is modified from Wu & Thulin (2010):

“Perennial herbs, twining. Stems with spreading brown bristly hairs, glabrescent. Stipules ovate to ovate-lanceolate, 3–5 mm, cordate or auriculate at base; ciliate; petiole 1–11 cm; leaflets membranous, variable in shape, ovate to lanceolate, 4–9(–15) × 2–5(–8) cm, brown or gray pubescent on both surfaces, base rounded to cuneate, margin entire, sometimes slightly 3-lobed, apex acute or acuminate. Racemes axillary, 2–6-flowered, subumbellate; peduncles 5–20 cm. Bracteoles subulate, ca. 3 mm, caducous. Calyx with brown or white bristly hairs, rarely glabrescent; tube 5–7 mm; lobes linear or linear-lanceolate, 2–5 mm, upper 2 connate at base. Standard pink, purple, or partly yellow, sometimes with yellow or purple spots inside at base, 2–3.5 × 2–4 cm, emarginate; keel whitish or purplish, falcate, with beak incurved through 180°. Legumes erect, linear-terete, 4–14 cm × 2.5–4 mm, bristly. Seeds 10–18, yellowish, black, or brown to scarlet with black spots, oblong or oblong-reniform, 2–4.5 mm.”

The following key is extracted from the key to Vigna in the Flora of China (Wu & Thulin 2010) that includes the three documented naturalized species in the state (V. hosei, V. luteola, V. vexillata) and the most common native species (V. marina).

1. Corolla keel prolonged into a conspicuous beak incurred through 180° ... V. vexillata
2. Corolla keel without a conspicuous incurred beak (2).
2(1). Corolla 0.5–1 cm long; legumes 1–2 cm long ... V. hosei
2. Corolla 1.2–3 cm long; legumes 3.5–8 cm long (3).
3(2). Leaflets rounded or obtuse at apex; mature pods glabrous ... V. marina
3. Leaflets acute or acuminate at apex; mature pods pubescent ... V. luteola

Material examined. HAWAI’I: Captain Cook, Amy Greenwell Botanical Garden, volunteer plant twining in the garden, 448 m, 26 Nov 2016, E.J. Judziewicz & P. Van Dyke s.n. (BISH 767688); loc. cit., collector has noted seeing this plant at several places in Kona for several years, 03 Dec 2016, K. Kimball s.n. (BISH 767743, 767748).
Juncaceae

**Juncus polyanthemos** Buchenau  
*New island record*

Previously recorded as naturalized only on East Maui (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1454; the single cited O‘ahu record was collected in a Hale‘iwa pond in cultivation with *Nymphaea* [H. Clay s.n., 19 Jul 1972, BISH 78196]), *Juncus polyanthemos* is now confirmed as naturalized on Hawai‘i Island. This obligate wetland rush can be mistaken for the more common *J. effusus*, but the latter has a solid pith (vs. interrupted in *polyanthemos*) and a perianth that is equal in length or longer than the capsule (vs. distinctly shorter than the capsule in *polyanthemos*).

**Material examined.** **HAWAI‘I:** South Kohala Dist., Waimea town, weed in gutter of strip mall building, growing in saturated peat, 2,675 ft [815 m], 29 Dec 1988, P. Zika 13703; Āhualoa, on moist, sandy soil on bank of coffee farm irrigation pond, 781 m, 24 Sep 2005, K. Uyehara s.n. (BISH 718799).

Malvaceae

**Malvastrum americanum** (L.) Torr.  
*New island record*

First recorded as naturalized in 1985 along the Kaiwi coast in southeastern O‘ahu (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 894), where it is fairly common in the Kaloko (Queen’s Beach) area, Starr et al. (2008: 47) recorded it from the Mo‘omomi dunes on Moloka‘i in 2005. The following specimen from South Kona on the Big Island records its presence there since at least 1986.

**Material examined.** **HAWAI‘I:** South Kona, Kapua Bay, below kiawe forest, 07 Oct 1986, L. Steyermann 7127.

Orchidaceae

**Habenaria rodeiensis** Barb. Rodr.  
*New island record*

Wagner et al. (1990: 1468) noted this taxon as a single unidentified 1983 collection from a Kula, East Maui pasture (Hobdy 1829). The voucher was identified in 1992 by E.A. Christenson (New York Botanical Garden) as *Habenaria rodeiensis* (Herbst & Wagner 1999: 24), a ground orchid native to Brazil, Paraguay, and Peru (Batista et al. 2011). Subsequently, the species has been reported on West Maui in 2003 (Oppenheimer 2006:12) and O‘ahu in 2009 (Lau & Frohlich 2012: 19). The habitat data on the BISH specimens suggest that it has an affinity for open, disturbed, dry to mesic trailsides above 300 m elevation. This first record for Kaua‘i was collected in 2015, but for the first time as an epiphyte rather than a ground orchid. Very little information is readily available about this species. *A Global Compendium of Weeds* (Randall 2017: 1701) lists *H. rodeiensis* as a tropical orchid grown as an ornamental and dispersed by humans, and the five references it cites all refer to the Hawaiian collections.

**Material examined.** **KAUA‘I:** Līhu‘e District, banks of north fork of Wailua River, adjacent to trail that leads to Blue Hole, epiphytic in moss on branch of *Metrosideros polymorpha*, 378 m, 28 Jan 2015, A.M. Williams, T. Flynn, & J. Shevock AMW118.

Piperaceae

**Peperomia cookiana** C. DC.  
*New island record*

Recorded as endemic on Kaua‘i, Moloka‘i, Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1022), a recent collection from Mount Ka‘ala on O‘ahu extends the range of this species. Closely allied to *P. blanda* (Jacq.) Kunth var. *floribunda* (Miq.) H. Huber (occurring on all main islands except Kaho‘olawe) and *P. remyi* C. DC. (all main islands except for
Ni‘ihau and Kaho‘olawe), the specimen most closely matches the key characters provided for *P. cookiana* in Wagner et al. (1990, 1999: 1021), and its native wet forest habitat aligns with the habitat preference of the species.

**Material examined.** O‘AHU: Wai‘anae Mts., summit of Mt. Ka‘ala, NE-facing slope in *Metrosideros* wet forest, 1,216 m, 16 Jan 2013, S. Perlm an & J. Lau 23 24 0.

**Poaceae** [Note: Grasses can be notoriously difficult to identify, and the majority of the grass taxa treated below were not keyed out in *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i* (Wagner et al. 1990). In fact, over 100 newly naturalized grass taxa have been reported for the Hawaiian Islands since the *Manual* was published (see Imada 2019). We recommend *A Key to Pacific Grasses* (Clayton & Snow 2010) as the most current source for keying out native and naturalized grasses in Hawai‘i.]

**Bromus diandrus** Roth

Recorded as naturalized on Kaua‘i and Hawai‘i under the now synonymized name *Bromus rigidus* (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1508), ripgut grass has since been recorded on East Maui (Herbarium Pacificum Staff 1999: 7, as *B. rigidus*) and Lāna‘i (Oppenheimer 2008: 32, as *B. diandrus*). Snow (2008: 38) explains the reasoning behind the name change to *B. diandrus*. The species was collected at a helicopter landing zone where the alien-dominated vegetation included *Acacia confusa* and *Schinus terebinthifolius*.


**Bromus rubens** L.

New island records

Wagner et al. (1990, 1999: 1507) listed this species as adventive on Moloka‘i and Hawai‘i; subsequently, Herbst & Wagner (1999: 25) confirmed its naturalized status on both islands. Here, its naturalization on Kaua‘i and West Maui are confirmed.


**Bromus sterilis** L.

New island record

Wagner et al. (1990: 1507) noted that *Bromus sterilis* was known from two collections from Big Island (Hāmākua) pastures made in 1936 and 1938, but not vouchered since; thus it was not considered part of the naturalized flora. Collections made in 2007 on Moloka‘i and Maui (Oppenheimer 2008: 32) confirmed its naturalized status in the state. An overlooked Big Island collection made by P.K. Higashino on Mauna Loa Strip Road in 1983, and identified by W.D. Clayton as *B. sterilis* in 1994, confirms its naturalized status on the island of Hawai‘i. CABI (2020a) reports that the species is native to Africa, Europe, and Asia, and is a noxious agricultural and horticultural weed in the Mediterranean region. It is weedy throughout North America, and often found in wastelands and roadsides, but also with an affinity to arable habitats where shallow cultivation is practiced. It can handle all major soil types (clays, loams, and sands; acid, neutral, and alkaline) and tolerates drought and strong winds but not salt exposure.
Bromus tectorum L.  \textit{New naturalized record}  
Treated by O’Connor (1990: 1507) as adventive on East Maui since at least 1871, scattered collections of \textit{Bromus tectorum} have been made in Haleakalā Crater between 1933 and 1969. In 2000, Gene Weller of Brigham Young University-Idaho collected numerous vouchers in Haleakalā ranging from 1,950–3,055 m elevation, confirming that it is widely distributed and naturalizing in the crater. CABI (2020b) describes this species, native mostly to central Asia and eastern Europe and called downy brome or cheatgrass, as an opportunistic, widespread, invasive annual grass. In the semi-arid to arid environments of western North America similar to central Asia where it originally evolved, \textit{B. tectorum} dominates millions of hectares of degraded rangelands in the intermountain area between the Sierra-Cascade and Rocky Mountains. When this largely self-pollinated species is introduced to a site where it fits well genotypically, combined with its phenotypic plasticity, it can populate the site with stable duplicates of itself through self fertilization.

\textit{Material examined. MAUI:} East Maui, Haleakalā Crater floor, near Bubble Cave, ash bed, 2,230 m, 22 Aug 1933, F.R. Fosberg 9936; Haleakalā Crater, west base of Hanakauhi, weed in cinders on basalt a‘ā floor, 7,000 ft [2,135 m], 01 Sep 1945, H. St. John & A.L. Mitchell 21257; Haleakalā, Halemau‘u Trail, weed along the trail, 7,000 ft [2,135 m], 05 Jul 1948, R.L. Wilbur & G.L. Webster 1009; Haleakalā National Park, growing in floor of crater near Kapalaoa Cabin, infrequent, 7,200 ft [2,190 m], 14 Jun 1969, J. Henrickson & R. Vogl 3477; \textit{loc. cit.}, near Waikeke‘ehia, few individuals, 1,950 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713676); \textit{loc. cit.}, near Silversword Loop, few individuals, 2,194 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713675); \textit{loc. cit.}, near Kāu‘uokā‘ōō, few individuals, 2,194 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713674); \textit{loc. cit.}, in front of Kapalaoa Cabin, common in \textit{Deschampsia} grassland, 2,218 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713679); \textit{loc. cit.}, near Kawilinau (Bottomless Pit), few individuals, 2,255 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713677); \textit{loc. cit.}, near Pu‘unaua, few individuals, 2,255 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713683); \textit{loc. cit.}, near Kamo‘o Pele, common, 2,255 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713682); \textit{loc. cit.}, Sliding Sands Trail, common along trail’s edge, 2,852 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713681); \textit{loc. cit.}, near horse loading facilities, Sliding Sands trailhead, common, 2,980 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713678); \textit{loc. cit.}, Red Hill Overlook, few individuals, 3,055 m, Jul 2000, G. Weller s.n. (BISH 713680); \textit{loc. cit.}, Sliding Sands Trail west of Kapalaoa Cabin, locally common in cinder and ash substrate, 7,250 ft [2,210 m], 16 May 2011, H.L. Oppenheimer, P. Welton, K. Bustamente, & S. Gabriel HS1108.

\textit{Cynodon nlemfuensis} \textit{Vanderyyst} \textit{New island record}  
A larger version of Bermuda grass (\textit{Cynodon dactylon}), \textit{C. nlemfuensis} was considered to be at least adventive on Moloka‘i and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1520). Reevaluated in 1999, the status on both islands was changed to naturalized (Herbst & Wagner 1999: 25). In 2001, it was reported as naturalized in Wailuku, West Maui (Oppenheimer 2003: 20). It is now documented from O‘ahu, based on identification of a 2005 collection by Thomas Cope of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. This stoloniferous grass, native from eastern and central Africa, is naturalized at least in southern Texas in the U.S. (Barkworth 2003).

Digitaria abyssinica (Hochst. ex A. Rich.) Stapf

First recorded in the Hawaiian Islands from Kaua‘i and East Maui (Herbst & Clayton 1998: 23), this grass has now been recorded on O‘ahu. This species is considered a high-risk weed (Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment 2009a).

Material examined. O‘AHU: Kawaiola, Drum Rd., mesic roadside setting, 09 Mar 2016, J. Hawkins & P. Rellenos USARMY 427; Kawaiola Drum Rd., near mile marker 11 after side dirt road meets with Drum Rd., patch estimate 500 ft² [45 m²], 1,100 ft [335 m], 05 May 2016, J. Hawkins & J. Rellamas USARMY 442.

Digitaria bicornis (Lam.) Roem. & Schult.

New island record; range extension

First recorded as naturalized in Hawai‘i based on a 2008 collection (Oppenheimer H20816) from a pasture in Waikapū, West Maui (Snow & Lau 2010: 50), additional records have come to light following a review of Hawaiian specimens of D. ciliaris at Herbarium Pacificum by J.F. Veldkamp (Leiden) in 2011. Reidentification of vouchers from Midway and East Maui as D. bicornis now extend the range of the species in the state. The Digitaria key in Clayton & Snow (2010: 70) separates the two similar species by the following characters: D. bicornis with ribbed equidistant veins on the lower lemma of the sessile spikelet, and usually 2 stiff racemes; D. ciliaris without ribs, the veins usually unequally spaced on the lower lemma of the sessile spikelet, and 2–12 stiff or flexible racemes.


Digitaria radicosa (J. Presl) Miq.

New island records

Documented in Wagner et al. (1990, 1999: 1530) as adventive in lawns and gardens on O‘ahu, reexamination of Digitaria vouchers at BISH by W.D. Clayton (Kew) and J.F. Veldkamp (Leiden) resulted in the reidentification of several D. ciliaris specimens as D. radicosa on O‘ahu. Thus its year of first collection on O‘ahu (and the state) is pushed back to 1909 (Faurie 1297) from the previously recorded 1938 (Pukui s.n., BISH 118592), and its establishment as a naturalized grass on O‘ahu is confirmed. The species is also documented as naturalized on Kaua‘i (Herbst & Clayton 1998: 23), Hawai‘i (Staples et al. 2003: 18), and now on East Maui.


Eragrostis brownii (Kunth) Nees ex Steud.

New island record

This Australian grass, first collected in Hawai‘i on the Big Island in 1916 by A.S. Hitchcock, was recorded as naturalized on Moloka‘i, Maui, and Hawai‘i by Wagner et al. (1990, 1999: 1540–41), and later documented on Kaua‘i (Lorence & Flynn 1999: 5). Now sheepgrass has been recorded on O‘ahu as a roadside weed in a military training area.

Eragrostis leptostachya (R. Br.) Steud.  

**New island records**

This species has an interesting history in the Hawaiian Islands. The first collection was made in 1937 on an arid, windswept slope at Puu Nānā, Mauna Loa, Moloka‘i, elevation 1,300 feet [395 m] (E.Y. Hosaka 1848). Botanist Otto Degener described it as a new endemic grass, *E. hosakai* O. Deg., in 1940 (Degener 1940). Since it remained the only collection of the species, Wagner *et al.* (1990: 1542) considered it to be extinct. Study of the Hosaka type specimen by Lazarides (1997), however, revealed that it was identical to *E. leptostachya*, an Australian species already naturalized in England, Belgium (a contaminant with wool imported from Australia), and Easter Island (Clayton & Herbst 1998: 27). While it has still not been recollected on Moloka‘i, *E. leptostachya* has since been recorded on West Maui (Staples *et al.* 2002: 14), and additional records are recorded here for O‘ahu, East Maui, and Kaho‘olawe. We thank former Bishop museum botanist Neil Snow for the determinations.

**Material examined.**

**O‘AHU:** Dillingham Military Reserve, collected from a naturalized population of about 20 plants in the immediate area, growing with *Sida ciliaris*, *Euphorbia hirta*, 06 Jan 2016, S. Heintzman USARMY 403.


Eragrostis parviflora (R. Br.) Trin.  

**New island record**

This species was first recorded as naturalized on Kaua‘i based on a 1996 collection (*T. Flynn 2925*) from the Port Allen area in Hanapēpē (Flynn & Lorence 1998: 5), identified by Derek Clayton (Kew) in 1997. Subsequently, in 2002 Clayton identified an earlier collection of *E. parviflora* from 1989 (*Flynn et al. 3287*) on Kaua‘i further southwest at the Russian Fort Elizabeth State Historical Park in the town of Waimea, originally called *E. pectinacea*. A 2018 collection extends its coastal range to western O‘ahu, where it was associated with alien vegetation, including *Syzygium cumini*, *Prosopis pallida*, *Schinus terebinthifolius*, and *Megathyrsus maximus* [= *Urochloa maxima*].

**Material examined.**


Eriochloa prosera (Retz.) C.E. Hubb.  

**New island record**

First recorded as naturalized on Moloka‘i at Kaunakakai Wharf in 2006 (Oppenheimer 2008: 32) and later on Midway Atoll (Snow & Lau 2010: 52; Starr & Starr 2011: 30), J.F. Veldkamp (Leiden) in 2011 identified this naturalizing grass for the first time on O‘ahu from a 1996 collection.

**Material examined.**

**O‘AHU:** Kāne‘ohe, Marine Corps Base Hawai‘i, junction of Nu‘upia Ponds causeway and old Hawaiian wall, sea level, 29 May 1996, D.R. Herbst 9769.

Panicum fauriei Hitchc.  

var. *carteri* (Hosaka) Davidse  

**Retraction of new island record**

Panicum sp. A identified as *P. antidotale* Retz.
A 1986 grass collection from Mo’omomi, Moloka’i (Takeuchi & Imada 2970) was identified as the first naturalized record of *Panicum coloratum* (blue panic grass) from that island. In the Manual (Wagner et al. 1990: 1567), this collection and one from Maui (Hosaka 2448) were recorded as proof that this species was naturalized in the state. Subsequently, Herbst & Clayton (1998: 30) published a correction stating that the Hosaka voucher was actually collected in a Hawaii Agricultural Experimental Station plot in Makawao, Maui, not as an escaped weed, and that the Takeuchi & Imada voucher was not *P. coloratum*, but a yet-to-be-identified species of *Panicum*. Thus, *P. coloratum* was removed as a confirmed naturalized member of the Hawaiian grass flora. The unidentified *Panicum*, subsequently referred to as *Panicum* sp. A, was identified in 2010 by Gerrit Davidse of Missouri Botanical Garden as *P. antidotale* Retz. (giant panic grass), a species already recorded from Moloka’i, as well as O’ahu and Hawai’i (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1567). Starr et al. (2003: 30) extended its range to include both East and West Maui. The two species are quite similar; in the Manual (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1566), they are distinguished by glume and lemma characters: margins of second glume and first lemma hyaline in *antidotale*, herbaceous in *coloratum*; first glume 1/2–2/3 as long as the spikelet in *antidotale*, 1/4–1/3 as long in *coloratum*.


**Paspalum notatum** Flüggé  
New island record
First documented on Kaua’i (Lorence & Flynn 1999: 6), Bahia grass has since been recorded as naturalized on East and West Maui (Oppenheimer 2007: 29; Oppenheimer noted that the species did not yet appear to be aggressive but needed to be watched), and Moloka’i (Oppenheimer 2008: 33). Now a record from the herbarium backlog has been identified as *P. notatum*, not only extending its Hawaiian range to the island of Hawai’i, but also pushing back its date of first collection to 1981, 16 years earlier than the 1997 Kaua’i collection. This Central and South American grass has been rated by Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment (n.d.) as a high-risk species.

Material examined. **HAWAI’I**: Kapāpala Ranch, Ka’ū District, growing in pasture beside jeep trail, ca 4,000 ft [1,220 m], 20 Oct 1981, L.W. Cuddihy 917.

**Paspalum paniculatum** L.  
New island record
Considered an adventive species on O’ahu and Hawai’i by O’Connor (1990: 1575), upon reevaluation Herbst and Wagner (1999: 28) determined that *Paspalum paniculatum* was naturalized on both islands. It has since been documented on West Maui (Oppenheimer 2004: 16). The following specimen, collected in 2005 on Kaua’i, represents the first naturalized record of the species on that island. In CABI (2020d), this...
weedy grass, native to tropical America, is listed as invasive in Hawai‘i, Cuba, Trinidad and Tobago, Samoa, Northern Marianas Islands, Micronesia, Fiji, French Polynesia, New Caledonia, Niue, Palau, and the Solomon Islands, where it invades primarily disturbed sites, forest margins, and secondary forests.

Material examined. **KAUAI**: Hanalei National Wildlife Refuge, growing on upper bank of irrigation ditch ca 0.25 mi [0.4 km] up the road from the NWR gates, 02 Aug 2005, L.M. Crago & C. Imada 2005-159.

**Sporobolus indicus** (L.) R.Br.  
New island record

West Indian dropseed, also known as smutgrass, was originally documented on Midway Atoll, Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Lāna‘i, Maui, and Hawai‘i (Wagner et al. 1990, 1999: 1597), and soon after on Kaho‘olawe (Warren 1993: 43). Upon reevaluation, the Maui and Kaho‘olawe records were reidentified as the very similar **Sporobolus africanus** (Herbst & Clayton 1998: 36). Three subsequent collections from Maui, made between 1999 and 2005 on both East and West Maui, now reestablish that *S. indicus* is naturalized on Maui.


**Sporobolus pyramidatus** (Lam.) Hitchc.  
New island record

Treated as a note in the *Sporobolus* treatment for *Manual of the Flowering Plants of Hawai‘i*, O’Connor (1990: 1596) considered whorled dropseed to be an adventive weed in coastal sites on Kure Atoll, French Frigate Shoals, and O‘ahu. Wagner and Herbst (1995: 24) confirmed the naturalized status of *S. pyramidatus* on those islands, in addition to Laysan, citing that label data on *Herbarium Pacificum* specimens clearly made a case for this species to be fairly widely naturalized in the archipelago. Since then, in quick succession, the species has been recorded on Moloka‘i (Starr et al. 2006: 40), Kaua‘i (Wood 2006: 18), Hawai‘i (Snow & Lau 2010: 56), Midway Atoll (Starr et al. 2010: 66), and Kaho‘olawe (Starr & Starr 2011: 31). Now a 2009 voucher by Robert Hobdy, recently unearthed from backlog and identified in 2019, confirms the presence of *S. pyramidatus* in Kihei on East Maui. Native to North and South America and the Caribbean, CABI (2020e) notes that it is weedy within this geographic range in coastal areas, a variety of well-drained sandy soils inland, and roadsides and other disturbed places, and attributes its competitive ability to its allelopathic qualities. The Hawaii-Pacific Weed Risk Assessment (2009d) has rated this grass as a high-risk species.

Material examined. **MAUI**: East Maui, Kihei, spreading on disturbed ground on Lower Piikea St., 06 Mar 2009, R.W. Hobdy 4305.

**Urochloa brizantha** (Hochst. ex A. Rich.) R.D. Webster  
New island record

First recorded as naturalized in the Hawaiian Islands on Kaho‘olawe (Starr et al. 2006: 39), and later on East Maui (Oppenheimer 2008: 31) under the name *Brachiaria brizantha*, Snow & Lau (2010: 49) removed the Kaho‘olawe record after reidentifying that island record as *B. decumbens* [= *Urochloa decumbens*]. Now a recently unearthed 1966
collection by Derral Herbst adds a new island record of this species for O‘ahu. The name change from Brachiaria to Urochloa follows Zuloaga et al. (2003: 630).

**Material examined.** O‘AHU: Ko‘olauloa Distr., Ka’a’awa, Bill Hoe’s beach house, growing with Urochloa mutica, culms decumbent, 7–8 ft [2–2.5 m] long, forming dense mats 3 ft [1 m] high, 12 Jun 1966, D.R. Herbst 144.

*Urochloa distachya* (L.) T.Q. Nguyen

**New island record**

In O’Connor (1990: 1503), what merges into this species starts out as a note discussing two Brachiaria species of uncertain naturalization status, *B. distachya* (known from a single Kaua‘i collection from 1946) and *B. subquadripara* (possibly naturalizing on O‘ahu, Moloka‘i, and Maui in pastures and along roadsides). Lorence et al. (1995: 44) reported the first authentic naturalized record for *B. subquadripara* from Kaua‘i. Then, in 2003, Herbarium Pacificum chose to follow Zuloaga et al. (2003: 631) in adopting the sinking of Brachiaria into Urochloa, as well as the synonymization of *B. subquadripara* into *Urochloa distachya*. Subsequently, new island records for *U. distachya* have been recorded on O‘ahu (Frohlich & Lau 2014: 13) and Lāna‘i (Oppenheimer & Bogner 2019: 23). The following vouchers also confirm its naturalized presence on both East and West Maui. The updated distribution of *U. distachya* in Hawai‘i: recorded on Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Lāna‘i, and East and West Maui, but no vouchers at BISH from Moloka‘i.

**Material examined.** MAUI: East Maui, HC&S field, sprawling, rooting at nodes, mat-forming, May 1967, T. Yamada O-82; East Maui, HC&S cane fields, weed along cane roads, forming dense, decumbent patches, 31 Jan 1984, R. Hobdy 1931; West Maui, mauka of Lahainaluna School, along cane field road, a common weed in West Maui cane fields during the last decade, 800 ft [245 m], 12 Feb 1986, R. Hobdy 2500; West Maui, Lahaina Distr., ‘Alaeloa, vicinity of Pu‘ukalauliko, at edge of dirt road in pineapple field, 500 ft [150 m], 24 Oct 2000, H. Oppenheimer H100038; central plains southwest of Kahului, mat-forming grass growing in sandy soil, 100 ft [30 m], 17 Jun 2004, R. Hobdy 4192; East Maui, Hāna Distr., Pu‘uhaoa, edge of pasture, 350 ft [105 m], 17 Dec 2005, H. Oppenheimer H120507.

**Rubiaceae**

*Spermacoce latifolia* Aubl.

**New island record**

*Spermacoce latifolia*, native to tropical South America and the West Indies and now a common weed in many tropical regions, was first recorded as a naturalized species in the state from collections made in a southern Kaua‘i sugarcane field in 1990 (Lorence et al. 1995: 51–52). It was subsequently documented from East Maui (Oppenheimer 2004: 17) and Moloka‘i (Oppenheimer 2010: 38). Recently, it was collected for the first time on O‘ahu, in the lowlands of the northern Ko‘olau Mountains, growing in a lush patch 0.5 m tall and several meters wide, stems upright but delicate and sprawling. The collector speculated that the patch represented either one massive clone or hundreds of individuals. The inflorescence was noted to have a faint, pleasant scent. The associated vegetation was largely alien. There is apparent disagreement among Rubiaceae specialists about the taxonomic placement of this species. Several recent floras have included *S. latifolia* as a synonym under *S. alata* Aubl. (e.g., Tao & Taylor 2011; Adams & Taylor 2012; Taylor & Hammel 2014). Wiersema et al. (2017) take an opposing view in recognizing both species.

Salviniaceae

**Azolla caroliniana** Willd.

New naturalized records

*Azolla* is a genus of 5–7 species found throughout tropical and temperate regions of the world (Lumpkin 1993; Mabberley 2017). These tiny water ferns are well known for their association with nitrogen-fixing blue-green algae, leading to their economic use as a green fertilizer. They have also been exported horticulturally as water plants, leading to their spread as invasive weeds of slow-moving waterways. *Azolla filiculoides* and *A. caroliniana* are among three North American species that have become naturalized in Europe and South Africa, and introduced horticulturally into Hawai‘i and agriculturally into Asia (Lumpkin 1993). In Hawai‘i it can often be found covering the water surface in taro paddies. While *A. filiculoides* has a long history of presence in Hawai‘i, with *Herbarium Pacificum* vouchers dating back to 1937, *A. caroliniana* was apparently relatively recently introduced, represented in the herbarium by only two Hawaiian vouchers collected in 1985 (O‘ahu) and 1994 (Moloka‘i), both identified in 1994 by Alan R. Smith (UC-Berkeley). The two naturalized *Azolla* species in the state are distinguished by the following difficult-to-observe characters: *filiculoides* with the largest hairs on upper leaf lobe unicellular, and the megaspores warty with raised angular bumps; *caroliniana* with the largest hairs on upper leaf lobe 2- or more-celled, and the megaspores without raised angular bumps (Lumpkin 1993).

**Material examined.**


Thelypteridaceae

**Christella dentata** (Forssk.) Brownsey & Jermy

New island record

Only the fifth naturalized fern documented from Kaho‘olawe [*Nephrolepis brownii, Adiantum hispidulum, Pityrogramma austroamericana*, and *P. calomelanos* are the others; see Imada 2019], *Christella dentata* was collected as a single specimen in 1980 in a shaded gully. As 40 years have passed since it was collected, its current status needs to be verified. The species has now been collected on all eight main islands (Palmer 2003: 88; Imada 2007: 39). Its generic placement has flip-flopped between *Christella*, *Cyclosorus*, and *Thelypteris*; here we follow the Pteridophyte Phylogeny Group (2016) and Ranker et al. (2019) in accepting its placement in *Christella*.

**Material examined.**

**KAHO‘OLAZE:** Northeast part of island near Wa’aiki Gulch, single fern growing near bottom of gully in shade of *Prosopis pallida* and *Nicotiana glauca* trees, ca 1,100 ft [335 m], 24 Apr 1980, L.W. Cuddihy & G. Clarke 405.

Malvaceae

**Corchorus olitorius** L.

Easily grown and with a variety of culinary uses (Philippine okra and Filipino spinach are among its local common names), *Corchorus olitorius* is popular with local growers. The species is native to India and is widely cultivated in northern Africa, the Middle East, and Asia, both as a food and fiber source; however it sometimes escapes from cultivation and can become weedy (Staples & Herbst 2005: 548). Wagner et al. (1990: 1291) noted the

---

**TAXA SHOWING SIGNS OF NATURALIZATION**
historical eradication of a naturalized population in Lāwa‘i Valley, Kaua‘i, and an undocumented report of escaping plants along lotus pond banks at Hale‘iwa, O‘ahu. The following vouchers suggest that it may again be escaping from cultivation on Kaua‘i, as well as on Maui. Given its popularity among local growers and its propensity to become weedy, we recommend that invasive species and natural resources field staff be on the lookout for this species. With its yellow-petaled flowers and cylindrical capsules, *Corchorus olitorius* superficially resembles *Ludwigia octovalvis* in wetland habitats; a description of *Corchorus* can be found in Staples & Herbst (2005: 548).
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The published records of marine benthic algal species from the privately-owned island of Ni‘ihau (Hawaiian Islands) are represented by four species cited in Abbott (1999) and Abbott & Huisman (2004). The species consist of the red alga Chondrophyccus dotyi (Y. Saito) K.W. Nam [= Laurencia dotyi Y. Saito], two brown algae Dictyopteris plagio-gramma (Montagne) Vickers and Distromium flabellatum Womersley, and the green alga Pseudochlorodesmis parva W. J. Gilbert [= Siphonogramen parvum (W.J. Gilbert) I.A. Abbott & Huisman]. Distromium flabellatum and Pseudochlorodesmis parva were collected in waters between Ni‘ihau and Lehua Islet. The paucity of algal records from Ni‘ihau is not surprising, very few collections have been made along its coastline because of its remoteness and general lack of access.

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, however, funded field surveys between 2001 and 2004 to study the biodiversity of Lehua Islet, located just 1.2 km north of Ni‘ihau. Lehua Islet is a Hawai‘i Seabird Sanctuary federally owned by the U.S. Coast Guard and maintained by the Hawai‘i Department of Land and Natural Resources. Forty species of marine
benthic algal species were reported by Wood et al. (2004) from Lehua Islet. The specimens were collected by Maya LeGrande in March and May 2003 “… from inter-tidal and shallow marine areas near the rock bench fronting the sea caves along Lehua’s south shore.” The algae recorded from Lehua Islet (see Appendix) included 2 species of Cyanobacteria, 21 species of Rhodophyta, 12 species of Phaeophyceae, and 5 species of Chlorophyta. All of the above specimens were databased and deposited in the Herbarium Pacificum (BISH) at the Bernice P. Bishop Museum.

This paper is based on algal specimens collected in the intertidal zone, shallow coral reefs (SCR, <30 m depth) and the mesophotic coral ecosystem (MCE, 30‒150 m depth) from Ni‘ihau and adjacent Lehua Islet. In this paper, Lehua Islet is considered part of Ni‘ihau; thus algae collected from Lehua Islet are considered a “New island record” for Ni‘ihau. BISH specimens are deposited at the Bishop Museum and MHI (Main Hawaiian Islands) specimens are deposited at Alison R. Sherwood’s (ARS) Laboratory at the University of Hawai’i Department of Botany.

Guiry & Guiry (2020) and Brummitt & Powell (1992) were, respectively, consulted for currently accepted algal names and synonyms, and for spelling of authors of algal names.

Most unpublished algal collections from Ni‘ihau and Lehua Islet were hand collected by Lynn M. Hodgson from Lehua Islet on 26–27 May 2001 via SCUBA at depths of 12.2–27.4 m, and by Ryan Okano, aboard NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, from Ni‘ihau on 9–11 Aug 2006 via SCUBA at depths of 10.7–13.7 m, and from the intertidal and SCR off Lehua Islet on 12 Aug 2006. Heather Spalding, Randall Kosaki, Jason Leonard and Steve Matadobra, aboard NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, hand collected specimens from the SCR and/or MCE on 14–15 Sep 2018. A fourth algal collection was retrieved by Louise M. Giuseffi and Annika Little from NOAA freezers which held algae collected from Lehua Islet and Ni‘ihau. Additional specimens collected by Ryan Okano, Aline Tribollet, and Stefane Charette from Lehua Islet and Ni‘ihau on 9–12 Aug 2006 via SCUBA at depths of 9–16 m were also retrieved from the freezers.

Ten species collected from Lehua Islet (Wood et al. 2004) and one species collected from Ni‘ihau (Abbott & Huisman 2004), each preceded by an asterisk (*), were reported in the past literature. Forty-seven species represent new records for Ni‘ihau (includes adjacent Lehua Islet) in the Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI). Information on species distribution in the coastal waters of the 10 islands, atolls and shoals of Papahānaumokuākea Marine National Monument (PMNM) can be obtained from Tsuda (2014) and Tsuda et al. (2015). One green alga, Codium hawaiense P.C. Silva & Chacana, represents a new record for Ni‘ihau and the MHI. Two Cyanobacteria, Rivularia atra Roth and Symplaca atlantica Golm., represent new published records for the MHI. Specimens of the two species of Cyanobacteria, however, are documented from the MHI in BISH.

Of the 68 species of marine benthic algae reported from Ni‘ihau (including Lehua Islet), only 11 species (16%) were collected from the MCE (30–150 m depth). The species included three species of Rhodophyta (Aman sia glomerata C. Agardh, Haloplegma duperreyi Mont., Plocanium sandvicense J. Agardh), three species of Phaeophyceae (Dictyota ceylanica Kütz, Dictyota sp., Distromium sp.) and five species of Chlorophyta (Cladophora sp., Codium mamillosum Harv., Halimeda opuntia (L.) J.V. Lamour., Phyllodictyon anastomosans (Harv.) Kraft & M.J. Wynne, Siphonocladius tropicus (P. Crouan & H. Crouan) J. Agardh).
Phylum CYANOBACTERIA

Blennothrix cantharidosum (Gomont ex Gomont) New island record
[Anagnost. & Komárek [= Hydrocoleum cantharidosum Gomont]
MHI distribution. Kaua‘i (Tilden 1910); O‘ahu (Khan 1967).
Note. The specimen, initially identified by F. Drouet as Hydrocoleum cantharidosum Gomont in May 1987, was dark green and formed slimy rocks in shallow water.

Leptolyngbya crosbyana (Tilden) Anagnost. & Komárek New island record
 [= Phormidium crosbyanum Tilden]

Lyngbya confervoides C. Agardh New island record
MHI distribution. Hawaiian Islands (Tilden 1910).
Note. All trichomes were 12‒24 µm dia.

Phormidium sp. New island record

Rivularia atra P.H. Roth ex Bornet & Flahault New island record
Material examined. LEHUA ISLET: BISH 777667 (NOAA 167-1315), LEH 03, 15 m depth, coll. unspecified, 18 Jul 2005.

Schizothrix cf. calcicola Gomont New island record
MHI distribution. O‘ahu (Khan 1967).

Symplaca atlantica Gomont New island record

Phylum RHODOPHYTA

Acanthophora pacifica (Setch.) Kraft New island record

**Actinotrichia fragilis** (Forssk.) Børgesen


Material examined. NI’IHAU: BISH 725030 (IA 31728), NOAA R/V Hi’ialakai, 10.7‒13.7 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 9‒11 Aug 2006; BISH 725036 (IA 31736), NOAA R/V Hi’ialakai, 10.7‒13.7 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 9‒11 Aug 2006; BISH 777687 (NOAA 167-1337), NI1 05, 12‒14 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 11 Aug 2006.

**Aglaothamnion cordatum** (Børgeesen)

Feldm.-Maz.

New island record


Material examined. LEHUA ISLET: BISH 701349 (LR 003), 15.2‒27.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001.

*Amansia glomerata* C. Agardh

MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood *et al.* 2004); Kaua’i, O’ahu, Maui, Kaho’olawe, Hawai’i (Abbott 1999).


**Antithamnionella breviramosa** (E.Y. Dawson)

E.M. Woll.

New island record


Material examined. LEHUA ISLET: BISH 7019005 (LR 010), 15.2‒27.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001.

*Asparagopsis taxiformis* (Delile) Trevis.

MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood *et al.* 2004); Kaua’i, O’ahu, Moloka’i, Hawai’i (Abbott 1999).


**Ceramium** sp.

Note. Only a few immature specimens of this approximately 40 µm dia Ceramium sp. were available for identification.

*Ceratodictyon variabile* (J. Agardh)  
R.E. Norris  
New island record  

*Chrysymenia okamurae* Yamada & Segawa  
New island record  

*Exophyllum* sp.  
New island record  
Note. Although only one species, *Exophyllum wentii* Weber-van Bosse, is recognized in the genus, the Hawaii specimens differ from the type material (Indonesia) as per detailed study by Indy *et al.* (2006). Further studies are necessary on this Hawaiian specimen.

*Galaxaura divaricata* (L.) Huisman & R.A. Towns.  
New island record  

*Gibsmithia hawaiiensis* Doty  
New island record  

*Haloplegma duperreyi* Mont.  
New island record  

*Halymenia* sp.  
New island record  
Note. The specimens are represented by two sterile blades, 2 and 3 cm high, respectively.

*Herposiphonia crassa* Hollenb.  
New island record  
**Jania adhaerens** J.V. Lamour. 
New island record


**Jania pumila** J.V. Lamour. 
New island record


**Jania subulata** (J. Ellis & Sol.) Sond. 
[= Haliptilon subulatum (Ellis & Solander) H.W. Johans.]
New island record


**Laurencia** sp.
Note. Specimens appear similar to Laurencia galtsoffii M. Howe, however, cortical cells are clearly not protruding.

**Martensia hawaiiensis** A.R. Sherwood & S.M. Lin 
New island record

Note. The newly described species was also recorded from Midway Atoll in the PMNM (Sherwood et al. 2019).

**Peyssonnelia cf. inamoena** Pilg. 
New island record
MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood et al. 2004); Kauaʻi, Oʻahu, Maui, Hawaiʻi (Abbott 1999).


**Plocamium sandvicense** J. Agardh 
MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood et al. 2004); Kauaʻi, Oʻahu, Maui, Hawaiʻi (Abbott 1999).


**Spyridea filamentosa** (Wulfen) Harv. 
New island record
HBS Records for 2019

**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 725044a (IA 31755) & BISH 725057 (IA 31770), NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, intertidal, coll. R. Okano, 12 Aug 2006.

*Tolypiocladia glomerulata* (C. Agardh)

F. Schmitz

New island record


**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 693512 (IA 28405), key hole, 15.2‒24.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001; BISH 701909 (LR 014), 15.2‒24.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001.

**Class PHAEOPHYCEAE**

*Chnoospora minim a* (K. Hering) Papenf.


**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 725043 (IA 31754), NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, intertidal, coll. R. Okano, 12 Aug 2006.

*Colpomenia sinuosa* (K. Mert. ex Roth) Derbès & Solier


**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 725046 (IA 31757), NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, intertidal, coll. R. Okano, 12 Aug 2006.

*Dictyopteris australis* (Sond.) Askenasy

New island record


*Dictyopteris repens* (Okamura) Borgesen

New island record


**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 701901 (LR 006), 15.2–24.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001.

*Dictyopteris* sp.

**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 701906 (LR 011), 15.2–24.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001.

Note. The 5 mm long “leaf” was initially identified as *Dictyopteris plagiogramma* (Montagne) Vickers; however, the veinlets, which extend from midrib to margin, are absent.

*Dictyota acutiloba* J. Agardh

New island record


**Material examined.** NII‘IHau: BISH 725022 (IA 31715), NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, 10.7–13.7 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 9–11 Aug 2006.

*Dictyota bartayresiana* J.V. Lamour.

**Material examined.** NI’IHau: BISH 725026 (IA 31724), NOAA R/V Hi’ialakai, 10.7–13.7 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 9–11 Aug 2006.

**Dictyota ceylanica** Kütz.  
New island record  


**Dictyota friabilis** Setch.  
New island record  


**Dictyota sp.**  
**Material examined.** NI’IHau: MHI 082 (ARS 09533), NFWF cruise 2018, 73 m depth, coll. R. Kosaki, 15 Sep 2018.

Note. The entire specimen is a 3 mm long apical fragment from the MCE depth which is, morphologically, not possible to identify to species.

**Distromium sp.**  

Note. Studies are presently underway on separating the molecular, morphological and ecological characteristics of Hawaiian *Distromium*.

**Lobophora sp.**  

Note. The descriptions of 10 new species of *Lobophora* from New Caledonia (Vieira *et al.* 2014) and 8 new species from the western Atlantic and eastern Pacific (Camacho *et al.* 2019) clearly prompt further detailed studies on Hawaiian *Lobophora*. 
Padina sanctae-crucis Børgesen
MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood et al. 2004); Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Lāna‘i, Maui, Hawai‘i (Abbott & Huisman 2004).


Sargassum obtusifolium J. Agardh
[= Sargassum hawaiiensis Doty & Newhouse]

Material examined. LEHUA ISLET: BISH 700824 (IA 28403), Vertical Awareness Pinnacle, 27.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001; BISH 777668 (NOAA 167-1316), LEH 03, 15 m depth, coll. unspecified, 18 Jul 2005.

Sargassum polyphyllum J. Agardh

Material examined. LEHUA ISLET: BISH 725045 (IA 31756), intertidal, coll. R. Okano, 12 Aug 2006.

Turbinaria ornata (Turner) J. Agardh
MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood et al. 2004); O‘ahu, Maui, Hawai‘i (Abbott & Huisman 2004); Kaho‘olawe (Tsuda & Abbott 2018).


Borretella sphaerica (Zanardini) Solms


Caulerpa taxifolia (Vahl) C. Agardh


Caulerpa webbiana Mont.

**Chaetomorpha antennina** (Bory) Kütz.  **New island record**


**Cladophora** sp.


Note. The apical cells of the 4 cm tall erect filaments are up to 1 mm dia and appear to resemble the branching pattern of *Cladophoropsis* except for the cell wall closure.

**Codium hawaiiense** P.C. Silva & Chacana  **New MHI record**


Note. BISH 725011 is a 1 cm long branched fragment.

**Codium mamillosum** Harv.  **New island record**


**Dictyosphaeria cavernosa** (Forssk.) Børgesen  **New island record**


**Dictyosphaeria versluysii** Weber-van Bosse  **New island record**


**Halimeda discoidea** Decne.  **New island record**


**Halimeda opuntia** (L.) J.V. Lamour.  **New island record**


*Microdictyon setchellianum* M. Howe


*Microdictyon umbilicatum* (Velley) Zanardini  **New island record**


**Material examined.** LEHUA ISLET: BISH 701734 (IA 28404), key hole, 15.2–24.4 m depth, coll. L.M. Hodgson, 26 May 2001; BISH 777674 (NOAA 167-1322), LEH 01, 9–12 m depth, coll. A. Tribollet & R. Okano, 12 Aug 2006.

*Neomeris annulata* Dickie  **New island record**


**Material examined.** NI‘IHAU: BISH 725013 (IA 31708), NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, 10.7–13.7 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 9–11 Aug 2006; BISH 725039 (IA 31739), NOAA R/V Hi‘ialakai, 10.7–13.7 m depth, coll. R. Okano, 9–11 Aug 2006.

*Neomeris vanbosseae* M. Howe

MHI distribution. Lehua Islet (Wood *et al.* 2004); Kaua‘i, O‘ahu, Lāna‘i (Egerod 1952); Maui, Kaho‘olawe, Hawai‘i (Abbott & Huisman 2004).


*Palmophyllum crassum* (Naccari) Rabenh.  **New island record**


*Phyllodictyon anastomosans* (Harv.) Kraft & M.J. Wynne  **New island record**


*Pseudochlorodesmis parva* W.J. Gilbert  
[= *Siphonogramen parvum* (W.J. Gilbert) I.A. Abbott & Huisman]


Note. Guiry & Guiry (2020) cites *Siphonogramen parvum* as the accepted species name of *Pseudochlorodesmis parva*. Verbruggen *et al.* (2009), however, showed convincing molecular evidence to maintain the species *P. parva* over *S. parvum*. The siphons of MHI 063 were 24–37 µm dia.
**Rhipidosiphon javensis** Mont.  
New island record  


**Siphonocladus tropicus** (P. Crouan & H. Crouan) J. Agardh  
New island record  
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APPENDIX
Algal Species with BISH Numbers Reported from Lehua Islet Collected by Maya LeGrande in March and May 2003 in Wood et al. (2004).

Phylum Cyanobacteria
Lyngbya majuscula (Dillwyn) Harv. [BISH 696669]
Lyngbya semiplena Gomont [BISH 696668]

Phylum Rhodophyta
Agaiothamnion boergeseni (N. Aponte & D.L. Ballant.) L’Hardy-Halos & Rueness [BISH 695059]
Ahnfeltiopsis concina (J. Agardh) P.C. Silva & De Cew [BISH 695490]
Amanstia glomerata C. Agardh [BISH 695442]
Amphiroa rigida J.V. Lamour. [BISH 695453]
Antithamnion antillanum Børgesen [BISH 695456]
Asparagopsis taxiformis (Delile) Trevis. [BISH 695439]
Botryocladia skottsbergii (Børgesen) Levring [BISH 695449]
Champia parvula (C. Agardh) Harv. [BISH 695448]
Chrysomenia sp. [BISH 695388]
Dasya iridescens (Schlech) A. Millar & I.A. Abbott [BISH 695438]
Dasya murrayana I.A. Abbott & A. Millar [BISH 695443]
Gayliella fimbrirata (Setch. & N.L. Gardner) T.O. Cho & S.M. Boo [= Ceramium fimbriatum Setchell & N.L. Gardner] [BISH 695062]
Gayliella flaccida (Kütz.) T.O. Cho & McIvor [= Ceramium flaccidum (Kütz.) Ardiss.] [BISH 695450]
Gelidiella machrisiana E.Y. Dawson [BISH 696667]
Griffithsia subcylindrica Okamura [BISH 695447]
Gymnothamnion elegans (Schousb. ex C. Agardh) J. Agardh [BISH 695455 & 696674]
Halichrysis coalescens (Farlow) A. Millar & R.E. Norris [BISH 695450]
Herposiphonia variabilis Holendl. [BISH 696666]
Jania sp. [BISH 695060 & 695061]
Laurencia sp. [BISH 681178 & 692233]
Plocamium sandvicense J. Agardh [BISH 695445]

Class Phaeophyceae
Asteronema brevarticulatum Ouiriques & Bouzon [BISH 695454]
Chnoospora minima (Hering) Papenf. [BISH 695446]
Colpomenia sinuosa (K. Mert. ex Roth) Derbès & Solier [BISH 690591]
Dictyota bartayresiana J.V. Lamour. [BISH 695399]
Dictyota sandvicensis Sond. ex Kütz. [BISH 695444]
Hydroclathrus clathratus (C. Agardh) M. Howe [BISH 690592]
Lobophora variegata (J.V. Lamour.) Womersley [BISH 690595]
Padina sanctae-crucis Børgesen [BISH 695457]
Padina sp. [BISH 695063]
Sargassum aquifolium (Turner) C. Agardh [BISH 695489]
Sphacelaria tribuloides Menegh.[BISH 695441]
Turbinaria ornata (Turner) J. Agardh [BISH 690590]
Phylum Chlorophyta

Caulerpa racemosa var. peltata (J.V. Lamour.) Eubank [BISH 690596]
Cladophora laetevirens (Dillwyn) Kütz. [BISH 695058]
Codium edule P.C. Silva [BISH 690593]
Microdictyon setchellianum M. Howe [BISH 695452]
Neomeris vanbosseae M. Howe [BISH 690594]
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Abstract Reports of nonnative plants found outside of cultivation or human-contained areas for the first time are crucial for invasive species research and management, allowing compilation of species checklists that document the naturalization and rough geographical distribution of Hawai‘i’s nonnative flora. However, the naturalization status of plants can be difficult to assess in the field and little guidance exists on what criteria are needed to fit the definition of “naturalized”. Moreover, disappearances of nonnative plants from Hawai‘i’s floras are generally not reported even though multiple eradication programs exist. Over time these issues may artificially inflate the number of naturalized species on checklists, confounding biodiversity research and distracting management from problematic species. We reviewed the literature on terminology and the invasion process to provide Hawai‘i-specific guidelines on reporting nonnative plant statuses without requiring major changes to current reporting or data collection practices. These guidelines are intended to help authors of reports contribute information needed to update statuses on naturalized species checklists and aid management decisions.

INTRODUCTION Collectors have been vouchering nonnative plants in the Hawaiian Islands for over 200 years, increasingly integrating data about nonnative species into our knowledge of Hawai‘i’s natural history (Funk et al. 2005; Wester 1992). The long-term curation of voucher specimens combined with effective communication of noteworthy finds has numerous applications. This information has been most prominently used in floristic studies (Funk 2003; Souza & Hawkins 2017; Stern & Eriksson 1996) and collection of nonnative plant data has focused on identifying which species form an established component of Hawai‘i’s flora (Imada 2012, 2019; Palmer et al. 1995; Wagner et al. 1999, 2005). However, the past few decades have seen a significant increase in efforts to understand the biogeography and behavior of nonnative plants from the perspective of invasive plant management (Antunes & Schamp 2017; Munekata et al. 2016). Today, Hawai‘i possess-
es a well-established network of agencies that fund, conduct research, and enact strategies with diverse and complementary goals, relying on species-specific data to direct their management actions (Munekata et al. 2016). Methods for consistently reporting biodiversity data therefore need adjusting to bridge the gap between natural history collections and invasive species managers while maintaining traditional uses.

The Records of the Hawai‘i Biological Survey provides an effective forum for communicating the taxonomy, status, and basic distribution of nonnative plants in Hawai‘i. This forum was officially initiated in 1995 and encourages authors to report records of naturalization in the Bishop Museum Occasional Papers, although new weed reports date back to 1911 (Forbes 1911). The vast majority of new records since 1995 have been reported through this system, supported by specimens deposited in herbaria (Evenhuis & Miller 2015), and have subsequently been compiled into species checklists (Imada 2019; Wagner et al. 2005). Furthermore, the utility of this system has been leveraged in recent years by the digitization of herbarium vouchers (Allison 2003). At least three herbaria with significant collections of nonnative plants from Hawai‘i maintain searchable databases of voucher information, including the Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum’s Herbarium Pacificum (BISH) in Honolulu, Hawai‘i; the National Tropical Botanical Garden herbarium (PTBG) in Kalāheo, Hawai‘i; and the Smithsonian’s United States National Herbarium (US) in Washington, D.C. Additionally, many of these data are available globally through free online data platforms that consolidate information from multiple herbaria, such as the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) and the Integrated Digitized Biocollections (iDigBio). Field collections are critical for providing a verifiable physical specimen to accompany field observations of invasive plant distribution and behavior. In combination with tools that aggregate data and make them accessible, herbarium vouchers and associated field observations provide the foundation for a taxonomically sound information system to improve invasive plant management strategies.

The basic informational needs of floristic studies and invasive plant management are largely overlapping, although invasion control programs often require more detailed observations of population structure, distribution, and arrival time. However, the terminology used by invasion biologists and invasive species managers to describe the introduction-naturalization-invasion continuum has varied on a global scale since the field emerged (Blackburn et al. 2011; Pyšek et al. 2004; Richardson et al. 2000, 2011). The use of these terms is oftentimes inconsistent with floras and checklists compiled by taxonomists, hindering our ability to apply data collected from taxonomic projects to invasive plant management and vice versa (Pyšek et al. 2004). Data submitted to the Records of the Hawai‘i Biological Survey are likely no exception. In particular, the term “naturalized” may have various definitions amongst contributing authors, and records must be further scrutinized when compiling new information into research projects.

It is our hope that this summary will increase the utility of nonnative plant data in Hawai‘i and promote synergisms between future invasive plant research, management, and floristic studies. In particular, we highlight two means of improving Hawai‘i’s data infrastructure, including: 1) recommendations to local botanists for reporting field data such that it informs invasive plant research/management and is consistent across collectors, and 2) a description of how terminology and statuses should be applied in reports of new records, such that they align with globally recommended frameworks for tracking nonnative plant species. We focus on naturalization and extirpation, as accurate reports of these events are vital for curating a checklist of nonnative plant species existing outside of cultivation.
TERMINOLOGY

Given the immense value of tracking the fate of nonnative species introductions across the Hawaiian archipelago, it is important that nonnative plant records use consistent terminology to ensure that the data generated by numerous individuals is easily understood and comparable. Robust records of nonnative plants are required to prevent misappropriation of conservation resources, as errors in recording invasive behavior can lead managers to address species that are unlikely to pose a threat or miss opportunities to prevent spread before it is too late. Much progress has been made to standardize terminology between taxonomists and invasion biologists within the last two decades alongside several publications that provide broad guidelines to track nonnative plant species in any region (Blackburn et al. 2011; Pyšek et al. 2004; Richardson et al. 2000, 2011; Wilson et al. 2014). Thus, the purpose of this paper is not to propose new definitions, but to provide a Hawai‘i-specific guide that aligns with these generalized frameworks while avoiding major changes to current data collection practices.

Definition of Terms Concerning Naturalized Status

**Nonnative** (synonyms: alien, exotic, introduced): any species that is present in Hawai‘i as a result of intentional or accidental human action or has arrived in Hawai‘i without the help of humans from a region where it was also nonnative (Blackburn et al. 2011; Pyšek et al. 2004). This term can be applied in both a statewide and an island-specific manner (Pyšek et al. 2004). For instance, if a plant is native to one island, but is introduced by humans to a second island, it can be said to be nonnative to the second island (e.g., the purposeful introduction of *Sphagnum palustre* L. to O‘ahu from Hawai‘i Island, where it is indigenous; Karlin et al. 2012).

**Naturalized** (synonym: established): nonnative species that reproduce sexually or vegetatively to form self-replacing populations outside of human cultivation or containment (i.e., in the wild), as evidenced by multiple wild-growing individuals of different ages classes, indicating that the population has undergone many reproductive cycles (Blackburn et al. 2011; Pyšek et al. 2004; Richardson et al. 2000; Wagner et al. 2005, 2012). This does not include casuals (see below) or species that have so far produced only a single-few generations of offspring. Accidental introductions of seed contaminated soil giving rise to multiple generations in pots or greenhouses are not considered naturalized because although populations may be self-sustaining, they have not yet escaped human containment. Invasive plants are considered a subset of naturalized plants.

**Casual**: nonnative plants that survive and reproduce occasionally outside of cultivation but do not form self-sustaining populations, thus requiring repeat introductions to persist (Pyšek et al. 2004; Richardson et al. 2000). These plants are difficult to distinguish from cultivated remnants or plants that are just beginning to naturalize because time is needed to determine their behavior. No synonyms are consistently used in the literature, although casuals are sometimes referred to as “spontaneous”, “waifs” or “occasional escapes” (Pyšek et al. 2004). The term “adventive” originated as a synonym for casual (De Candolle 1855), but has been used more broadly in the past to include naturalized (Wester 1992, Provost 1999).
Definition of Terms Concerning Extirpation

**Extirpation** (synonyms: local/regional extinction): a species that has entirely disappeared from a specific geographical area (e.g., statewide or island-wide) by natural or anthropogenic means, but still persists elsewhere in the world (Riddle et al. 2011). Extirpations are more thoroughly discussed in relation to native species but can be applied to nonnative species that previously formed (or were forming) self-sustaining populations outside of their native range (naturalized), where the very last individual within that population has died (Simberloff & Gibbons 2004; Panetta 2015). Akin to the IUCN Red List status “Extinct in the Wild” where captive individuals remain but wild populations no longer exist, nonnative plants that are entirely absent from a region may be considered totally extirpated whereas species with cultivated individuals remaining are considered extirpated in the wild (with wild referring to areas outside of actively maintained cultivation sites). Reports of extirpation should be accompanied by sound reasoning based on time since last sighting, seed bank longevity, and adequate search effort.

**Eradication**: a subcategory of extirpated referring to a species whose removal was the result of purposeful human intervention (Panetta 2007, 2015; Larson et al. 2019). This term may be used in the explanatory paragraph that accompanies record submissions (Evenhuis & Eldredge 2010) to distinguish purposeful extirpations from natural extirpations (occurring without intentional human involvement).

**STATUS DESIGNATIONS**

Because Hawai‘i is an archipelago (i.e., naturally discrete land areas), opportunity exists to prevent inter-island introductions and accomplish island-wide eradications, requiring language that can distinguish between island and statewide populations. Additionally, studies have established Hawai‘i as a global hotspot for naturalized plant species, many of which have been, and continue to be, introduced purposefully for cultivation (Pyšek et al. 2017; Staples & Herbst 2005; Wester 1992). Recent introductions require extra scrutiny and the application of precise terminology to describe the phase of a plant’s establishment (Blackburn et al. 2011). For instance, plants outside of cultivation are often encountered in Hawai‘i, although it may not be immediately obvious whether a self-sustaining naturalized population exists. Reports of reproduction outside of cultivation should be encouraged because early detection of invasive behavior is valuable for management. However, it is necessary to clearly communicate any uncertainty of naturalization and describe field observations that distinguish these reports from fully naturalized records.

We also encourage vouchering cultivated species and plants in human contained areas (e.g., aquatic plants in a man-made pond) because an accurate tally of these is lacking in Hawai‘i, representing a critical knowledge gap for invasive species management. However, significant improvements in monitoring and data infrastructure are needed to track cultivated species. Unlike naturalized plants (Imada 2019), there is no up-to-date resource listing all known cultivated species statewide, let alone at the island level, making the determination that a species is “new” infeasible. Updates and verification of partial lists compiled for book projects (Staples & Herbst 2005) provide a good starting point, but given the low collection rate for cultivated species, dates attached to new reports are likely to be inaccurate and not useful. Furthermore, thousands of cultivated species exist...
in Hawai‘i that have not been vouchered, and an unknown number of others are not documented in any way. Rather than opportunistically reporting these plants through the Hawai‘i Biological Survey, a curated working list first needs to be assembled that could expand as new records are vouchered. Thus, we do not recommend reporting cultivated plants in the same manner recommended here for naturalized ones, although we recognize that publishing notes on new arrivals of pest species or accidental seed contaminants could be of immediate value to managers.

Guidelines for reporting to the Records of the Hawai‘i Biological Survey were established by Evenhuis & Eldredge (2010), with the inclusion of headings that denote establishment statuses for all organisms. These headings are aligned to the right of each species name in bolded font and indicate whether each record represents a first observation for an island or the entire state. The use of headings and terminology are further described here and in Table 1 to encourage consistent usage for nonnative plant records among all contributing authors. As an interim solution to a tracking system that addresses plant species of all statuses in Hawai‘i, these guidelines encourage accurate reporting to inform the addition or removal of plants from naturalized species checklists.

**Applying Naturalized Status Headings**

- **New State Record**: the first report of naturalization for a nonnative species within the Hawaiian archipelago that has no documented history of cultivation in Hawai‘i or is thought to be very rarely cultivated (e.g., previously reported from one botanical garden specializing in rare or unusual plant species).

- **New Naturalized Record**: the first report of naturalization for a nonnative species within the Hawaiian archipelago that has been previously observed in cultivation.

- **New Island Record**: the first report of naturalization for a nonnative species on a particular Hawaiian island, where naturalization has already been recorded for at least one other island in the Hawaiian archipelago.

- **Correction**: a heading applied to reports that provide new evidence or arguments to justify the correction of past records. This may include the discovery of misidentified species, analyses showing that previous reports of new naturalized records do not fit the current definition of “naturalized”, and other corrections that may improve the accuracy of Hawai‘i’s checklists and other records.

Distinguishing completely unknown from previously cultivated species as “New State Record” and “New Naturalized Record”, respectively, has been used for over two decades in the Records of the Hawai‘i Biological Survey and we have included this distinction for consistency (Table 1). Differentiating reports in this manner is valuable for examining the role of multiple introductions or history of planting in producing invasions (i.e., propagule pressure) and assessing the feasibility of eradication (Colautti *et al.* 2006; Imada *et al.* 2000; Lockwood *et al.* 2009; Panetta 2015). A drawback of this distinction is that it relies heavily on one’s knowledge of Hawai‘i’s cultivated flora. As no comprehensive list of cultivated plants is currently available, a thorough review of available sources is necessary to assign these statuses, minimally including searches of herbaria databases (BPBM 2018;
Table 1. Decision matrix for reporting plant statuses.
Orange boxes indicate status changes for naturalized species checklists. Blue boxes highlight helpful information for invasion management that do not correspond to status changes on any checklist curated in Hawai‘i.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In cultivation or somehow human contained</th>
<th>Outside of cultivation or containment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>Insufficient evidence of population longevity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unintentional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Record of Presence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the Entire Archipelago</td>
<td>Deposit Voucher in Herbaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For an Island</td>
<td>Deposit Voucher in Herbaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>First Record of Absence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the Entire Archipelago</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For an Island</td>
<td>Publish Note</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Plants with well-documented cultivation histories are reported as New Naturalized Records while those absent or uncommon in cultivation are reported as New State Records. However, both are simply reflected as naturalized on species checklists.

** Eradication campaigns should voucher their targets throughout the process to allow identifications to be verified after removal.

NMNH 2018; NTBG 2018), publications of species commonly found in Hawai‘i’s gardens (Staples & Herbst 2005), and checklists such as the Bishop Museum’s *Annotated Checklist of Cultivated Plants of Hawai‘i* (Imada et al. 2000).

Describing Naturalized Status
As outlined in the guidelines for submissions to the *Records of the Hawai‘i Biological Survey*, status reports should be accompanied by a short note (Evenhuis & Eldredge 2010). Despite attempts to standardize the use of terminology and statuses commonly associated with nonnative plants outside of cultivation, interpretation of data from the field remains subjective and species-specific. Detailed reasons for why species should be designated as naturalized are helpful for placing species along the introduction–naturalization–invasion continuum and predicting future behavior (Blackburn et al. 2011; Pyšek et al. 2004; Richardson et al. 2000). Authors can greatly increase the value of their submissions by providing estimates of the following:
1) The area covered by noncultivated individuals, their density, and a description of the habitat;
2) The number of noncultivated individuals observed, or, for vegetatively reproducing species, evidence that many, disconnected individuals are present (even if propagules are vegetative and/or dispersal is human assisted);
3) The number and type of life stages present (mature, seedlings, etc.); and
4) The source of naturalization, if apparent (e.g., seed contamination, cultivated plants), or whether it appears to have naturalized a significant distance from its likely introduction site.

Additionally, a search for previously collected vouchers within the geographic area of interest, if available, can be included in a “material examined” section to provide additional distribution information and a timeline of establishment (Pyšek et al. 2004).

**Potentially Naturalized or Naturalizing Species**

Contributors are encouraged to provide first reports of nonnative species existing outside of cultivation without direct human assistance, especially those reproducing (sexually or vegetatively), even if the long-term survival of self-sustaining populations is not apparent (Pyšek et al. 2004; Richardson et al. 2000; Wagner et al. 2005). These reports may alert managers to eradication opportunities by identifying species that are possibly beginning to naturalize while not assuming that they will definitely naturalize in the future. Examples of species that may be reported include observations of multiple, widely distributed immature plants for which no mature individuals have been located, or a small number of mature, similarly aged individuals outside of cultivation. Although this information can be used to identify would-be invaders before they spread, species that are beginning to naturalize are often indistinguishable in the field from plants exhibiting a variety of other behaviors, such as 1) casual species, which may also produce offspring outside of cultivation, 2) remnants from cultivation where overgrown adjacent vegetation masks evidence of its cultivated history, and 3) species that have already naturalized but only a few individuals have been detected.

Various terms have been used in the literature to denote species with ambiguous statuses, but either they cause confusion due to inconsistent global use or are somewhat presumptuous of a species’ fate. This includes species often described as “adventive”, which is variously used both in Hawai’i and worldwide (Pyšek et al. 2004; Wester 1992), and “emerging invaders” or “sleeper weeds”, which imply that populations will persist and eventually expand. The problem of status uncertainty (whether arising from poorly surveyed populations or from insufficient passage of time to determine behavior) illuminates a pressing need to re-examine terminology and provide guidelines for adapting regional species checklists to existing nonnative species tracking systems. A tracking scheme with 11 population status categories was developed by Blackburn et al. (2011) that describe the phases preceding naturalization, but adapting Hawai’i’s checklist to these fine-grained statuses would require data that has not been collected for all species, as well as a higher site revisitation rate. Despite the fine scale of Blackburn et al.’s (2011) system, it too does not account for uncertainty, and thus, modifications to solve these issues are still needed before implementation (Brock & Daehler, *in press*).
In light of these challenges, we do not recommend any one specific term or status heading to accompany reports of these data-deficient species. Instead, we propose that these records be listed in a section separate from new naturalized records entitled “Potentially Naturalized or Naturalizing”, allowing these species to be prioritized for revisitation and monitored for status changes.

**Applying Extirpated Status Headings**

Eradication programs have been implemented on most of the main Hawaiian Islands (Kraus & Duffy 2010), and some reports in the *Bishop Museum Occasional Papers* mention actions for the immediate removal of recently established plant species with small populations. Determining whether a nonnative plant has been extirpated can be costly and difficult because extensive field monitoring and reconnaissance are required to provide evidence of a species’ absence (Butchart et al. 2006; Pluess et al. 2012). Cases where disappearances have occurred naturally without purposeful removal by humans are especially problematic, as population distributions and declines are less likely to have been documented. Some previously naturalized species appear to have been eradicated from entire islands in Hawai‘i (Penniman et al. 2011), and these events are occasionally alluded to in outreach materials and progress reports to funders (e.g., DLNR 2009). However, such instances are rarely reported in archived scientific publications and do not contain the information necessary to update plant checklists, likely because no guidance exists on how to report them. This is problematic because the following are all dependent on an accurate account of extirpations: preventative checklists for border biosecurity, quantitative analyses of plant biodiversity, feasibility estimates of species eradications, and determinations of control program success.

To encourage reports of extirpation events, we propose applying aspects of the IUCN guidelines to report extinctions of endangered species (IUCN 2017). In the IUCN system, assignment of species to the official “Extirpated” or “Extinct” categories requires exhaustive surveys to justify, beyond reasonable doubt, that the last individual has died. Consequently, the IUCN allows the additional descriptor of “Possibly Extinct”, which, although still evidence-based, explicitly acknowledges uncertainty. This status is especially applicable to recent apparent extinctions where a substantial timeline of disappearance has not been established (IUCN 2017). We recommend applying the following status headings, which are modeled on the IUCN’s (2017) Red List criteria, to be formatted in the same style as when describing naturalized taxa (bolded and located to the right of species names). As described in the definition section above, it is useful to report species that are extirpated in the wild but remain in cultivation. However, we do not include separate status headings for species that are entirely extirpated versus those only extirpated from the wild, because reports of both result in losing naturalized status. Nonetheless, it is valuable to indicate whether cultivated individuals are thought to remain because this may be useful when evaluating sources of future invasions, or implementing all-species tracking systems in the future (e.g., demotion from naturalized to either “no longer present” or “in cultivation only”).

**State Extirpation Record:** a report providing evidence to declare that a naturalized or potentially naturalized/naturalizing species is no longer present in the wild in the Hawaiian Islands. Contributors should apply this heading to records of species that
have totally disappeared, as well as those that no longer have populations in the wild, but still exist in cultivation. Presence of remaining cultivated individuals should be described in the report text alongside an account of surveying efforts and time elapsed since last sighting. Criteria to apply this heading versus “Possible Extirpation” are discussed below.

**Island Extirpation Record:** a report providing evidence to declare a nonnative species as no longer present in the wild on a specific island.

**Possible Extirpation:** a report providing evidence that a formerly naturalized species is likely to have been extirpated from an island or statewide, but where reduced confidence is appropriate due to missing information or the species is very likely to be reintroduced (e.g., common in cultivation on other islands). Most nonnatives that have been targeted by recent extirpation programs will likely fall into this category because such cases often do not allow for high confidence due to a short time since last sighting (less than several plant generations), a long-lived or uncertain seedbank, or lack of comprehensive searches across the area being reported (i.e., island or Statewide). Further discussion of scenarios and criteria is presented below.

**Rediscovery:** a heading applied to reports of species that were previously thought to be extirpated, but where individuals have subsequently been found outside of cultivation or areas of human containment. This may include individuals that have likely arisen from the original infestation or from reintroductions of that species, which should be described in the report text. The application of this heading does not necessarily imply a species status should be updated to “Naturalized”, as the status of the population may be uncertain or just beginning to naturalize. Thus, authors reporting a rediscovery should communicate field observations that allow assessment of whether the rediscovered species should or should not be considered naturalized or potentially naturalized/naturalizing.

Two scenarios may be commonly encountered when reporting extirpations: 1) recently observed species for which eradication programs have monitored population decline, resulting in the disappearance of the species, and 2) apparently natural extirpations of species previously known from a single or few sites for which there are no recent observations. No single rule exists for how much time must pass before nonnative plants can be reported as extirpated, as these events are highly scenario-specific and dependent on a species’ biology (Panetta 2015). However, a general timeline used by the IUCN for endangered organisms, referring to whether a species has disappeared from known sites for ten years or three generations, whichever is longer, is useful for our purposes (IUCN 2017). With regards to seed plants, one generation includes the amount of time necessary for a new seed to develop into a mature, reproductive individual (Moravcová et al. 2018). As this period is affected by seed dormancy and factors that may slow maturation (e.g., shade), it may be beneficial to consider a range of generation times that may exist within a single species when proposing extirpation status or implementing control programs.

It is important to note that some plants have propagules that can persist for a very long time in the soil; however, seed longevity data is sparse, and dormancy is affected by
numerous site-related factors including soil moisture, nutrients, pH, and texture (Baskin & Baskin 1998). Relying on seed survival data collected from seed preservation labs, which deliberately maintain humidity and temperature-controlled environments that are improbable in nature, may vastly overestimate time needed for eradication programs and delay the optimal time to report possible extirpations. Thus, in purposeful eradication scenarios where population decline has been carefully monitored, a status of “Possible Extirpation” may be suggested within the timeframe of ten years or three generations (whichever is longer) if detailed distribution and time since last observation data are described (Dodd et al. 2015; Panetta 2015). A species’ status may later be updated to “Statewide/Island Extirpation Record” if the species is not found after a longer period of time, taking into account the species’ biology (e.g., seed bank persistence).

In scenarios where extirpations appear to have occurred naturally, the status of “Possible Extirpation” should be applied if the historic locations have been surveyed recently and at least ten years or three generations (whichever is longest) has elapsed since last voucher collection. As precise distribution and population decline data are usually absent in these cases, the status may be upgraded to “Statewide/Island Extirpation Record” after more extensive surveys have been conducted over multiple years (IUCN 2017). Surveys in support of extirpation reports should consider all adequate habitat within the possible dispersal area while accounting for factors that affect detectability (e.g., phenology, terrain; Dodd et al. 2015; Panetta 2015).

RESOURCES USED FOR SPECIES DETERMINATIONS

Plant taxonomy is a difficult, dynamic science where incorrect identifications are common, even amongst specialists, and species circumscriptions are constantly being revised as new research is conducted (Pyšek et al. 2013; Rouhan & Gaudeul 2014). Compounding this difficulty is that nonnative plants in Hawai‘i arrive from all over the world, and few dichotomous keys compare morphologically similar species across broad geographical regions (Carter et al. 2007). We recommend that contributors cite the taxonomic resources and specific traits used to identify a new record to provide a helpful logical pathway that can be examined during the verification of vouchers and taxonomic checklists. Furthermore, reporting these resources can assist those identifying other specimens in the field. A system to periodically review and verify identifications is central to invasive species management in Hawai‘i, especially for programs that rely on observations of invasive behavior and impacts from elsewhere in the world (Daehler et al. 2004; Munekata et al. 2016; Tunison & Zimmer 1992). Incorrect identifications immediately decouple the organism from its life history information, resulting in missed opportunities for rapid response if potentially high impact species are misidentified as relatively innocuous ones, or misdirection of funds if a low-impact nonnative is misidentified as a damaging invader.

PROVIDING VOUCHER SPECIMENS

Voucher specimens are often the primary documentation of a species’ presence and should represent the diagnostic characters necessary for accurate identification. When vouchering nonnative plants in support of naturalization records, material should be collected from plants belonging to the naturalized population rather than cultivated plants in the vicinity, in order to decrease the likelihood of false naturalization records (Carter et al. 2007; Morais & Reichard 2018). Reports of new records that reference vouchers collect-
ed from multiple areas provide convincing evidence that species should be included in floristic works (Wagner et al. 1999). Additionally, eradication programs should collect representative voucher specimens to provide a verifiable taxonomic record of plants they control and potentially eradicate to definitively document the species being reported. Repeat collections of the same population, especially newly naturalized or possibly naturalized species, provide a lasting record of visitation that, in combination with adequate field notes, documents mode of dispersal and changes in population size.

Whenever possible, the collection of duplicate specimens is strongly recommended because 1) a specimen may need to be dissected and effectively destroyed during the identification process, 2) material may be sent to specialists for identification, and 3) duplicates deposited at other herbaria may assist in the verification of specimens from other areas (Carter et al. 2007). At least three duplicates are ideal even when identification is simple, as this allows specimens to be sent to institutions with experts in the Hawaiian flora (BISH, PTBG, US). If vouchers are intended to be deposited at PTBG or US, collectors should ensure that a duplicate is sent to BISH, the official state repository for biological specimens. Deliberate collection of reproductive propagules and detailed notes are particularly helpful for nonnative species, whose methods of reproduction and dispersal may be unclear outside of their native ranges (Richardson et al. 2000). Photographs to supplement vouchers are extremely valuable to add to reports; close-up shots of diagnostic characters, especially those that do not preserve well (e.g., flower/fruit color and shape, plant habit) can assist with identification, while landscape-level shots are helpful accompaniments to descriptions of population density, structure, and habitat type. Biodiversity data repositories, including those curated by local herbaria, are increasingly integrating the ability to upload photographs when depositing voucher specimens.

CONCLUSIONS
Consistent use of terminology is necessary to accurately track nonnative plant biodiversity and increase communication between botanists, invasion researchers, and on-the-ground conservationists. These improvements will additionally allow for easier analysis/synthesis and review of the current statuses of nonnative plants present in Hawai‘i. When combined with ongoing detection programs, this informational network stands to prevent large ecological and societal costs resulting from delayed or inappropriate responses to invasions.
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