BISHOP MUSEUM OCCASIONAL PAPERS





Nomenclatural Notes I

Neal L. Evenhuis & Thomas Pape, Editors



BISHOP MUSEUM PRESS HONOLULU

RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS OF **BISHOP MUSEUM**

ISSN 0893-1348 (print) ISSN 2376-3191 (online) Copyright © by Bishop Museum

Bishop Museum Press has been publishing scholarly books on the natural and cultural history of Hawai'i and the Pacific since 1892. The Bishop Museum Occasional Papers (eISSN 2376-3191) is a series of short papers describing original research in the natural and cultural sciences.

The Bishop Museum Press also publishes the Bishop Museum Bulletin series. It was begun in 1922 as a series of monographs presenting the results of research throughout the Pacific in many scientific fields. In 1987, the Bulletin series was separated into the Museum's five current monographic series, issued irregularly and, since 2017, electronically:

Bishop Museum Bulletins in Anthropology	(eISSN 23
Bishop Museum Bulletins in Botany	(eISSN 23
Bishop Museum Bulletins in Entomology	(eISSN 23
Bishop Museum Bulletins in Zoology	(eISSN 23
Bishop Museum Bulletins in Cultural and	
Environmental Studies	(eISSN 23

76-3132) 76-3078) 376-3124) 376-3213)

376-3159)

To subscribe to any of the above series, or to purchase individual publications, please write to: Bishop Museum Press, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817-2704, USA. Phone: (808) 848-4135. Email: press@bishopmuseum.org.



BERNICE PAUAHI BISHOP MUSEUM The State Museum of Natural and Cultural History 1525 Bernice Street Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817-2704, USA

Systema Dipterorum Nomenclatural Notes. I. Edited by Neal L. Evenhuis & Thomas Pape. Bishop Museum Occasional Papers 141: 1 (2021).

Editorial

Systema Dipterorum, the database that maintains the names of all Diptera worldwide (Evenhuis & Pape 2021), enters only published information into its records. However, there are many instances when a novel nomenclatural act is need for proper treament of names (e.g., multiple original spellings requiring First Reviser action, preoccupied names, genus-group names lacking a type species designation, genus-group names lacking included species, etc.).

Often, this is taken care of in subsequent articles, revisionary studies, etc. This makes sense for nomenclatural acts that are taxonomically significant and may require study of a number of taxa involved before an act can be proposed. However, other nomenclatural actions we feel can be proposed outside of the taxonomic realm and proposed separately or with very succint justification*.

As such, we offer here a new series, *Systema Dipterorum Nomenclatural Notes*, as a medium for specialists to publish short notes that help fix names and nominal taxa by First Reviser actions, new replacement names, designating types, proposing new junior synonyms, etc. Any worker wishing to submit articles should contact the editors for further information. All submitted manuscripts undergo peer-review. All nomenclatural acts made in this series are registered with ZooBank.

-Neal L. Evenhuis (NealE@bishopmuseum.org) Thomas Pape (TPape@snm.ku.dk), Editors

References

International Commission on Zoological Nomencature (ICZN). 1999. *International Code* of *Zoological Nomencature*. Fourth edition. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London. xxix + 306 pp.

Evenhuis, N.L. & Pape, T. 2021. Systema Dipterorum. Version 3.4. Available from: http://www.diptera.org (last accessed 1 November 2021).

*We understand that this has been done by some non-specialists in the case of new replacement names for homonymous genus-group names and, although such action is not disallowed by the ICZN Code (1999), we do not condone this and prefer that these actions be done by qualified workers who understand the taxonomy and/or nomenclature of the group in question.

lsid: zoobank.org:pub:A38585F3-7F5C-4D8D-AE06-8FD47E4149E5

A new replacement name for *Scrobicula* Matile, 1970 (Diptera: Keroplatidae)

NEAL L. EVENHUIS

Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817-2704, USA; email: neale@bishopmuseum.org

Abstract. The new replacement name *Matilea* Doweld, **nom**. **nov**. is proposed for *Scrobicula* Matile, 1970, preoccupied by Posner, 1952.

INTRODUCTION

Matile (1970: 782) proposed the nominal subgenus *Scrobicula* of the keroplatid genus *Heteropterna* Skuse, 1888 with the type species *Heteropterna* balachowskyi Matile, 1970, by original designation. The name *Scrobicula* is preoccupied by *Scrobicula* Posner, 1952 for an ostracod. The keroplatid subgenus *Scrobicula* is in current use and there are no available junior synonyms of it, so a new name is needed to replace it.

Earlier, Doweld (2016) noted the need for a replacement name and proposed the name *Matilea*. However, the publication in which Doweld's new replacement name appeared was electronic-only and does not comply with the ICZN Code for electronic-published works because it was not registered in ZooBank prior to publication, and evidence of registration was not included within the work itself. The publication was registered in ZooBank in January 2017 (two months after the article was published), and the registration does not indicate an intended archive. Printed copies are available on-demand only.

In order to alleviate the situation and give credit to Doweld for the new replacement name, the name *Matilea* is proposed here again as a new replacement name for *Scrobicula* Matile, 1970 with Doweld as author.

Genus *Heteropterna* Skuse, 1888 Subgenus *Matilea* Doweld, nom. nov.

lsid:zoobank.org:pub:A38585F3-7F5C-4D8D-AE06-8FD47E4149E5

- *Scrobicula* Matile, 1970: 782 (as subgenus of *Heteropterna* Skuse, 1888). Type species: *Heteropterna balachowskyi* Matile, 1970, by original designation. [Preoccupied by Scrobicula Posner, 1952 (Ostracoda)].
- *Matilea* Doweld, 2016: [1] (new replacement name for *Scrobicula* Matile, 1970). Unavailable name; published in an electronic format that is not compliant with the ICZN Code.
- *Matilea* Doweld, **nom**. **nov**. (new replacement name for *Scrobicula* Matile, 1970). Type species: *Heteropterna balachowskyi* Matile, 1970, automatic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Richard Pyle is thanked for reviwing the manuscript and making suggestions for improvements.

REFERENCES

- **Doweld**, A.B. 2016. *Matilea*, a new replacement name for *Scrobicula* Matile 1970 (Diptera) non Posner 1952 (Ostracoda). *Entomology, Ornithology & Herpetology: Current Research* 5(4) doi: 10.4172/2161-0983.1000186 [1 p.] [2016.11.07] [date of publication retrieved from January 2017 ZooBank registration. There is no indication of publication date on the article itself or the publisher's website]
- Matile, L. 1970. Diptères Mycetophilidae du Cameroun et de République Centrafricaine I. Keroplatinae. Bulletin de l'Institut Française d'Afrique Noire (A) 32: 773–816.

Systema Dipterorum Nomenclatural Notes. I. Edited by Neal L. Evenhuis & Thomas Pape. Bishop Museum Occasional Papers 141: 5–11 (2021).

ISSN (online) 2376-3191

lsid:zoobank.org:pub:5B462702-3995-427B-9DE0-4B678D6A5F6E

Nomenclatural and Taxonomic Notes on Dolichopodidae Genus-Group Names (Insecta: Diptera)

NEAL L. EVENHUIS

Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817-2704, USA; email: neale@bishopmuseum.org

> DANIEL J. BICKEL Australian Museum, 6 College Street, Sydney, NSW 2010, Australia; email: can.bickel@austmus.gov.au

Abstract. Ceratopos Vaillant is proposed as a junior synonym of Syntormon Loew, 1857, syn. nov.; Hydrochus longicornis Fallén, 1823 (Dolichopodidae) is designated as type species of Hydrochus Fallén, 1823, making it a junior synonym of Rhaphium Meigen, 1803, syn. nov. Leptopus wiedemanni Fallén, 1823 is designated as type species of Leptopus Fallén, 1823, keeping it as a junior synonym of Sciapus Zeller, 1842. The dolichopodid genus Thinophilus is found to date from Wahlberg (1844). The genus Wangia Hong, 2002 (Dolichopodidae) is preoccupied and Fushuniregis Evenhuis nom. nov. is proposed to replace it.

INTRODUCTION

In maintaining and updating the *Systema Dipterorum* (Evenhuis & Pape 2021) by the first author, a number of dolichopodid genera were noted to need nomenclatural attention. Coincidentally with the idea of doing this list, manuscript notes on Palaearctic Dolichopodidae made by the late C.E. "Peter" Dyte became available. Since his notes are 25 years old, many problems he noted have already been rectified elsewhere in subsequent publications. We here deal with some of the remaining, crediting Dyte where we follow his suggestions, as well as some more recent situations that have come to our attention.

[Cachonopus] Vaillant, 1953

Cachonopus Vaillant, 1953: 277.

Dyte (MS notes) noticed that the nominal genus *Cachonopus* Vaillant, 1953 was proposed with two included species but without a type designation, and he intended to propose one. However, because *Cachonopus* was proposed after 1930 without a type designation it is an unavailable name (Code Art. 67.4.1). Evenhuis *et al.* (2008) dealt with this name in their list of genera proposed after 1930 without type designations. Their remarks are repeated here.

Vaillant (1953) proposed *Cachonopus* based on two newly described species (*C. aereus* Vaillant and *C. limosorum* Vaillant) without designating a type. Negrobov (1991) listed both species (incorrectly giving "*Conchopus*" as the original genus for *limosorum*) but failed to list the genus-group name. Yang *et al.* (2006) apparently did not examine the original description and simply repeated Negrobov's errors in their world catalog. *Cachonopus aereus* is currently treated in the genus *Chrysotimus* Loew, 1857; *C. limosorum* is currently treated in the genus *Micromorphus* Mik, 1878. Negrobov *et al.* (2007) realized that *Cachonopus* did not have a type species and designated *C. limosorum*, placed the genus in synonymy with *Micromorphus*, and ironically claimed that it was Yang *et al.* (2006) who had made a "misprint" in treating *limosorum* as originally in "*Conchopus*"! However, because Negrobov *et al.* (2007) treated *Cachonopus* as a junior synonym and failed to denote the genus *Cachonopus* as "new" [required by ICZN (1999) Article 16.1], *Cachonopus* remains a *nomen nudum*.

Ceratopos Vaillant [C.E. Dyte's notes]

Ceratopos Vaillant, 1952: 36. Type species: Ceratopos seguyi Vaillant, 1953, by monotypy.

The following are Dyte's words from his MS notes (clarifications are in square brackets []), which we follow but give Dyte credit.

"Vaillant (1952) erected *Ceratopos* for a single species, *C. seguyi* Vaillant, from Algeria, which is described from material of both sexes in the same paper. He stated that the genus was related to *Syntormon* Loew but differed in having the eyes contiguous on the face in the male, a lamella at the apex of the male arista, and the hind crossvein meeting vein 5 at an angle of less than 60 degrees compared to over 80 degrees in *Syntormon*. None of these characters justify a distinct genus. A narrow face occurs in the males of for example *S. bicolorellum*, and several species from the Afrotropical region, e.g., *S. longipes* Parent, are described as having the male eyes contiguous on the face. A lamella, or rather two lamellae. occur on the male arista of *S. boninense* Bickel and an inclined hind crossvein is present in *S. luteicorne* Par[ent]. Indeed, it is quite possible that Vaillant's species *C. seguyi* is identical with *S. luteicorne*. This last species is known only in the female sex, as recent reports of males have been shown to arise from misidentified specimens of *Syntormon bicolorellum* (Zett[erstedt]) (Speight, *et al.* 1995).

Ceratopos Vaillant, 1952 is therefore considered to be a junior subjective synonym of *Syntormon* Loew, 1857, **syn. nov**.

Hydrochus Fallén

Hydrochus Fallén, 1823a: 5. Type species: *Hydrochus longicornis* Fallén, 1823, by **present designa**tion.

Hydrochus was proposed by Fallén (1823: 5) based on four originally included species: *Hydrochus laticornis* Fallén, 1823, *H. longicornis* Fallén, 1823, *H. nasutus* Fallén, 1823, and *H. tarsatus* Fallén, 1823; but without a type designation. To settle the typification of the genus (currently unplaced), we here designate *Hydrochus longicornis* Fallén, 1823 as type species. Currently, *Hydrochus longicornis* is treated in the genus *Rhaphium* Meigen,

1803 [*teste* Grichanov, 2017], which makes *Hydrochus* Fallén, 1823 a junior synonym of *Rhaphium* Meigen, 1803, **syn. nov**. The name is preoccupied by Leach, 1817 (in Coleoptera). The current fixation of a type species here avoids a new replacement name being unnecessarily proposed by any future worker.

Lasiargyra Mik

Lasiargyra Mik, 1878: 5. Type species: *Musca diaphana* Fabricius, 1775, by subsequent designation (Coquillett, 1910: 557).

As Dyte (MS notes) noted, this name was incorrectly listed the Palaearctic Catalog (Negrobov, 1991) as unavailable; and Dyte intended to select what he he thought was the first included species as type species. Yang *et al.* (2006) omitted the name from their world catalog and Sinclair *et al.* (2008), no doubt following Negrobov (1991), incorrectly listed it as unavailable. *Lasiargyra* was proposed by Mik (1878) with characters to differentiate it but without included species. Kowarz (1882) was the first to include two species (*Musca diaphana* Fabricius, 1775 and *Argyra loewii* Kowarz, 1879). Coquillett (1910: 557) chose *Musca diaphana* Fabricius, 1775 as the type species. Germann *et al.* (2011) did a molecular analysis of *Argyra* species and were equivocal as to the placement of *A. diaphana* (Fabricius, 1775), showing that it is most likely to be to be placed outside of *Argyra* s. str. They suggested a broader species sample to better ascertain its status. Until then, we keep *Lasiargyra* Mik, 1878 as a junior synonym of *Argyra* Macquart, 1834.

Leptopus Fallén

Leptopus Fallén, 1823b: 23. Type species: *Leptopus wiedemanni* Fallén, 1823, by **present designa**tion.

Leptopus was proposed by Fallén (1823: 23) for two originally included species: *Leptopus wiedemanni* Fallén, 1823 and *L. longulus* Fallén, 1823; without a type designation. As *Leptopus* is preoccupied by *Leptopus* Latreille, 1809, it would need a substitute name if found to represent a separate genus. However, both included species have been treated for many years within *Sciapus* Zeller, 1842, so a type species has been ignored. To settle the typification of the genus and keep the synonymy with *Sciapus*, we propose *Leptopus wiedemanni* Fallén, 1823 as type species. *Leptopus wiedemanni* is currently treated as a valid species in *Sciapus* Zeller, 1842 [*teste* Grichanov (2017: 465)].

Leptopus Haliday

Leptopus Haliday, 1832: 358 (as subgenus of *Medetera* Fischer von Waldheim). Type species: *Medeterus ornatus* Haliday, 1832, by subsequent designation (Coquillett 1910: 560).

Dyte listed this genus among his notes because it was omitted from the Palearctic catalogue (Negrobov 1991) and he thought a type species was needed for it, but that was in error. Coquillett (1910) had designated a type species for it.

Haliday (1832: 358) proposed *Leptopus* as a subgenus of *Medetera* Fisher von Waldheim and included two species: *Dolichopus tenellus* Wiedemann, 1817 and

Medeterus ornatus Haliday, 1832. *Leptopus* Haliday, 1832 is preoccupied by *Leptopus* Latreille, 1809 and *Leptopus* Fallén, 1823; thus, if found to represent a separate genus, would need a new replacement name. No type designation was designated in the original work, and Coquillett (1910: 560) subsequently designated *Medeterus ornatus* Haliday, 1832. The latter is currently treated as a valid species in *Xanthochlorus* Loew, 1857 [*teste* Grichanov (2017: 469)], which keeps *Leptopus* Haliday, 1832 as a junior synonym of *Xanthochlorus* Loew, 1857 [*teste* Grichanov (2017: 32)] and precludes the need for a new replacement name.

Thinophilus Wahlberg

Thinophilus Wahlberg, 1844: 37. Type species: *Rhaphium flavipalpe* Zetterstedt, 1843, by monotypy.

Thinophilus: Wahlberg in Schiødte, 1844: 44 (subsequent usage).

Two publications in 1844 are involved in the proposal of the new genus *Thinophilus*. One in the Swedish journal *Öfversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps Akademiens Forhandlingar* (Wahlberg 1844) and the other in Schiødte (1844). Bibliographic research was conducted here to determine which of the two has priority.

Swedish dipterist Pehr Fredrik Wahlberg (1800–1877) made observations on a distinctive dolichopodid fly and proposed the name *Thinophilus* for it. He presented his notes to Schiødte's Danish natural history society at the meeting of 28 May 1843 and the following year submitted his notes at the 20 March 1844 meeting of the Swedish Science Academy.

Schiødte was secretary of his society and editor of its journal and in 1844 he published the minutes of the 1843 meetings that included Wahlberg's observations and descriptions of *Thinophilus*. Schiødte (1844) has been found in this study to date at least from 21 August 1844¹ and probably much earlier.

The Swedish journal was issued in 9–10 parts per year. Its dates of issuance were researched and it was found that each issue came out roughly two months after the date of the meeting (which was printed on the first page of each issue). The issue in which *Thinophilus* appeared was thus most probably issued in May 1844, which is before the issuance of Schiødte (1844) and thus takes priority over it.

Although moot, since Wahlberg (1844) takes priority, we also researched the authorship on the Schiødte work in case it would have had priority over the Swedish journal. As Schiødte was clearly recording the presented notes of Wahlberg, the authorship of the genus-group name in Schiødte (1844) is Wahlberg. The fact the descriptive characters in Schiødte's article are in Swedish (Wahlberg's language) and not Danish (Schiødte's language) provides further support that Wahlberg is the author of *Thinophilus* in Schiødte's (1844) article.

Dated from a local (Danish) school program that recorded donations to their library. The date of the school program (21 August) is the date of the first day of the program when it was handed out to guests and participants.

Wangia Hong

Wangia Hong, 2002: 354. Type species: Septocellula trichopoda Hong, 1981 by original designation.

Hong (2002), in his book on the Eocene amber insects of Fushun, China, described the dolichopodid genus *Wangia* for *Septocellula trichopoda* Hong, 1981. Unfortunately, *Wangia* is preoccupied by *Wangia* Fowler, 1954 (in Pisces). *Fushuniregis* Evenhuis, **nom**. **nov**. (gender: masculine) is proposed here to honor You-chong Hong (1929–019) for both his taxonomic and conservation work on the Fushun amber.

SUMMARY OF NOMENCLATURAL DECISIONS PRESENTED HERE

[Cachonopus] Vaillant, 1953: 277. Nomen nudum.

- *Fushuniregis* Evenhuis, **nom**. **nov**. (new replacement name for *Wangia* Hong, 2002). Type species: *Septocellula trichopoda* Hong, 1981, automatic. lsid: zoobank.org:act/0D6CC4C8-9C9C-4698-AF44-7F0C3C089A73
- Wangia Hong, 2002: 354. Type species: Septocellula trichopoda Hong, 1981 by original designation. [Preocc. Fowler, 1954], syn. nov.
- *Rhaphium* Meigen, 1803: 272. Type species: *Rhaphium macrocerum* Meigen, 1803, by subsequent designation (Curtis, 1835: pl. 568).
- *Hydrochus* Fallén, 1823a: 5. Type species: *Hydrochus longicornis* Fallén, 1823, by **present designation**, syn. nov.
- *Psilopus* Meigen, 1824: 35. Type species: *Dolichopus platypterus* Fabricius, 1805, by subsequent designation (Westwood, 1840: 134). [Preocc. Poli, 1795.]
- Sciapus Zeller, 1842: 831. Type species: Dolichopus platypterus Fabricius, 1805, automatic.
- *Leptopus* Fallén, 1823b: 23. Type species: *Leptopus wiedemanni* Fallén, 1823, by **present** designation.
- *Syntormon* Loew, 1857: 35. Type species: *Rhaphium metathesis* Loew, 1850, by subsequent designation (Coquillett, 1910: 611).
- Ceratopos Vaillant, 1952: 36. Type species: Ceratopos seguyi Vaillant, 1953, by monotypy, syn. nov.
- *Thinophilus* Wahlberg, 1844: 37. Type species: *Rhaphium flavipalpe* Zetterstedt, 1843, by monotypy.
- Thinophilus: Wahlberg in Schiødte, 1844: 44 (subsequent usage).
- *Xanthochlorus* Loew, 1857: 42. Type species: *Medeterus ornatus* Haliday, 1932, by subsequent designation (Coquillett, 1910: 620).
- *Leptopus* Haliday, 1832: 358 (as subgenus of *Medetera* Fischer von Waldheim). Type species: *Medeterus ornatus* Haliday, 1832, by subsequent designation (Coquillett 1910: 560).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Thomas Pape for translating Schiødte (1844), which confirmed our belief that the article was merely notes of the meeting recording Wahlberg's description of *Thinophilus*; and for reviewing the manuscript and providing suggestions for improvements.

REFERENCES

- **Coquillett**, **D.W**. 1910. The type-species of the North American genera of Diptera. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* **37**(1719): 499–647.
- **Curtis**, J. 1835. British entomology being illustrations and descriptions of the genera of insects found in Great Britain and Ireland. Vol. 12. Pls. 566–569. Privately published, London.
- Evenhuis, N.L., Pape, T. & Pont, A.C. 2008. The problems of subsequent typification in genus-group names and use of the Zoological Record: a study of selected post-1930 Diptera genus-group names without type species designations. *Zootaxa* 1912: 1–44.
- Fallén, C.F. 1823a. Monographia dolichopodum Sveciae [part]. Berlingianis, Lundae [= Lund]. Pp. 1–8.
- Fallén, C.F. 1823b. Monographia dolichopodum Sveciae [concl.]. Berlingianis, Lundae [= Lund]. Pp. 17–24.
- Germann, C., Pollet, M. & Bernasconi, M.V. 2011. Aspects of European Argyra systematics: molecular insights and morphology (Diptera: Dolichopodidae). Entomologica Fennica 22: 5–14.
- Grichanov, I.Y. 2017. Alphabetic list of generic and specific names of predatory flies of the epifamily Dolichopodidae (Diptera). Second edition. *All-Russian Institute of Plant Protection. Plant Protection News Supplements* 23: 1–563.
- Haliday, A.H. 1832. The characters of two new dipterous genera, with indications of some generic subdivisions and several undescribed species of Dolichopodidae. *Zoological Journal* 5[1830–1831]: 350–368, pl. 15.
- Hong, Y.C. 2002. Amber insects of China. Beijing Science and Technology Press, Beijing. [iv] + 653 pp., 48 pls
- Kowarz, F. 1882. Eine neue Art der Dipteren-Gattung Leucostola Lw. Wiener Entomologische Zeitung 1: 32–33.
- Loew, H. 1857. *Neue Beiträge zur Kenntniss der Dipteren*. Fünfter Beitrag. Progr. K. Realschule Meseritz, pp. 1–56.
- Meigen, J.W. 1803. Versuch einer neuen Gattungs-Eintheilung der europäischen zweiflügligen Insekten. Magazin für Insektenkunde 2: 259–281
- Meigen, J.W. 1824. *Systematische Beschreibung der bekannten europäische n zweiflugeligen Insekten*. Vierter Theil. Schulz-Wundermann, Hamm. xii + 428 pp.
- Mik, J. 1878. Dipterologische Untersuchungen. A. Holder, Wien [= Vienna]. 26 pp., 1 pl.
- Negrobov, O.P. 1991. Family Dolichopodidae, pp. 11–139. In: Soós, Á. & Papp, L. (eds.), Catalogue of the Diptera of the Palaearctic Region. Volume 7. Dolichopodidae– Platypezidae. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest. 291 pp.
- Negrobov, O.P., Maslova, O.O. & Selivanova, O.V. (2007) New data on systematic of family Dolichopodidae (Diptera). *Russian Entomological Journal* 16(1): 244–245.

- Schiødte, J.C. 1844. Forhandlingar i det skandinaviske entomologiskeselskab. Naturhistorisk Tidsskrift (1844-45) (2) 1: 16–70.
- Sinclair, B.J., Brooks, S.E. & Cumming, J.M. 2008. A critical review of the world catalogs of Empidoidea (Insecta: Diptera). *Zootaxa* 1846: 61–68.
- Vaillant, F. 1952. Contribution à l'étude des Dolichopodidae d'Algerie (diptères). Bulletin de la Société d'Histoire Naturelle de l'Afrique du Nord 44 (5-6): 35–40.
- Vaillant, F. 1953. Sur quelques Dolichopodidae du Tassili n'Ajjer, pp. 274–288. In: Mission Scientifique au Tassili des Ajjer (1949) Recherches Zoologiques et Medicales. Institut de Recherches Sahariennes de l'Université d'Alger, Algiers.
- Wahlberg, P.F. 1844. Om Rhaphium flavipalpe Zett. Öfversigt af Kongliga Vetenskaps-Akademiens Forhandlingar, Stockholm 1(3): 37–38.
- Westwood, J.O. 1840. Order XIII. Diptera Aristotle. (Antliata Fabricius. Halteriptera Clairv.), pp.125–154. *In his*: Synopsis of the genera of British Insects. *Published within his*: An introduction to the modern classification of insects. Vol 2. Longman, Orme, Brown, Green and Longmans London. vi + 587 pp.
- Yang, D., Zhu, Y., Wang, M. & Zhang, L. 2006. World catalog of Dolichopodidae (Insecta: Diptera). China Agricultural University Press, Beijing. vii + 704 pp., 44 pls.
- Zeller, P.C. 1842. Dipterologische Beytrage. Zweyte Abtheilung. Isis (Oken's) 1842: 807– 847.

Systema Dipterorum Nomenclatural Notes. I. Edited by Neal L. Evenhuis & Thomas Pape. *Bishop Museum Occasional Papers* 141: 13–16 (2021).

lsid:zoobank.org:pub:86B13DDE-A47C-4ECE-964D-856F775C6B0C

First Reviser actions for multiple original spellings of speciesgroup names in Tabanidae, Mydidae, Dolichopodidae, Syrphidae, and Phoridae (Diptera)

NEAL L. EVENHUIS

J. Linsley Gressitt Center for Entomological Research, Bernice Pauahi Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawai'i 96817, USA; email: neale@bishopmuseum.org

Abstract. First Reviser actions determining correct original spellings are given for multiple original spellings of species-group names in Tabanidae (4), Mydidae (1), Dolichopodidae (3), Syrphidae (1), and Phoridae (1).

A number of multiple original spellings were discovered, for which an extensive search of the literature did not reveal any First Reviser actions under Article 24.2.3 or 24.2.4 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 1999), and the relevant actions are therefore provided here. First Reviser actions via Article 24.2.4 are easily left unnoticed, and I take this opportunity to explain two such actions below.

TABANIDAE

Agelanius philippii Rondani

Rondani (1863) described a nominal species of tabanid from Chile as *Agelanius philippi* (p. 80). However, the name was also spelled as *philippii* in the same paper (p. 93). Acting as First Reviser, I select *philippii* as the correct original spelling as a single terminal "i" would be grammatically incorrect.

Remarks: The species appears to be named in honour of the German-Chilean paleontologist and zoologist Rodolfo Amando Philippi (1808–1904).

Tabanus gonghaiensis Xu

Xu (1979) described a nominal species of tabanid from China as Tabanus *gonghaiensis* (p. 45). However, the name was also spelled as *goinghaiensis* (p. 46, fig. 10) in the same paper. Acting as First Reviser, I select *gonghaiensis* as the correct original spelling. **Remarks**: The species is named for its type locality Gonghai, which is in the Chinese province of Heilongjiang.

Tabanus paraflavimarginatus Xu & Sun

Xu & Sun (2008) described a nominal species of tabanid from Hainan, China as *Tabanus paraflavimarginatus* (p. 98). However, the name of the species is also spelled in the abstract of the same paper as "*paramarginatus*" (p. 96). Acting as First Reviser, I select *paraflavimarginatus* as the correct original spelling.

Remarks. It is clear from the work that *paraflavimarginatus* was the intended spelling of the species and it is in current use (Zhang & Yang 2018).

Veprius presbiter Rondani

Rondani (1863) described a nominal species of tabanid from Chile as *Veprius presbiter* (p. 84). However, the name is also spelled "*presliter*" in the index of the same paper (p. 98). Acting as First Reviser, I select *presbiter* as the correct original spelling.

Remarks: The name is most likely derived from the Greek presbyteros [$\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ ύτερος, the comparative form of $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ υς (presbys), "old man"], meaning elder or senior and used as an honorific title for Christian clergy.

MYDIDAE

Mydas cubanus Curran

Curran (1951) described a nominal species of mydid from Cuba as *Mydas cubana* (p. 3). However, the name is also spelled as "*cubensis*" in the key to species in the same paper (p. 2). Acting as First Reviser, I select *cubana* as the correct original spelling. The nominal species is currently treated as *Baliomydas cubana* (Curran, 1951) [*teste* Perez-Gelabert 2006: 35].

Remarks: Both original spellings would be fully acceptable but I am here following prevailing usage.

DOLICHOPODIDAE

Chrysotus thornpenis Liu, Wang & Yang

Liu, Wang & Yang (2015) described a nominal species of dolichopodid from Shanxi, China as *Chrysotus thornpenis* (pp. 86, 87, 91). However, the species is also spelled as *"thornpennis"* (p. 89) in the description heading of the same paper. Acting as First Reviser, I select *thornpenis* as the correct original spelling.

Remarks: According to the etymology "phallus with spines", "*thornpenis*" was the intended spelling. A name spelled "*thornpennis*" would mean "wing with spines".

Condylostylus leigongshangus Wei & Yang

Wei & Yang (2007) described a nominal species of dolichopodid from Guizhou, China as *Condylostylus leigongshanus* (p. 563). However, the species is also spelled as *legiongshanus* (p. 564) in the same paper. Acting as First Reviser, I select *leigongshanus* as the correct original spelling.

Remarks: The species is named for its type locality Leigongshan, which is in the Chinese province of Guizhou and the subject of the published survey.

Paraclius amphiateratus Capellari & Amorim

Capellari & Amorim (2009) described a nominal species of dolichopodid from Pernambuco, Brazil as *Paraclius amphiatheratus* (p. 52). However, the name is also spelled as "*amphiateratus*" (p. 60) in the same paper. Acting as First Reviser under Article 24.2.4 (by being an author of multiple original spellings and, in a subsequent work, using only one of the original spellings), Capellari (2013: 296) deemed *amphiateratus* to be the correct original spelling.

SYRPHIDAE

Callicera spinolae Rondani

Rondani (1844) described a nominal species of syrphid from Italy as *Callicera spinolae* (p. 63, 65, 66). However, the species is also spelled as "*spinoloe*" (pp. 64, 65) in the same paper. Via Article 24.2.4, Rondani (1857: 209), was found here to act as First Reviser and deemed *spinolae* as the correct original spelling.

Remarks: The spelling *spinolae* is most likely an honorific for Massimiliano Spinola (1780–1857) and is the spelling in current use (e.g., Sforzi & Sommaggio 2021).

PHORIDAE

Dohrniphora calvata Solórzano-Kraemer & Brown

Solórzano-Kraemer & Brown (2018) described a nominal species of fossil phorid from Dominican amber as *Dohrniphora calvata* (p. 15). However, in the same paper in the figure legend, the species is spelled as "*calvitii*" (p. 15). Acting as First Reviser, I select *calvata* as the correct original spelling.

Remarks: It is clear from the etymology that *calvata* was the intended spelling for this species.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thomas Pape is thanked for review of the manuscript. Dalton de Souza Amorim is thanked for checking the literature regarding *Paraclius amphiateratus* and noticing the paper by Capellari that fulfilled Article 24.2.4. Partial funding in support of this nomenclatural research provided by the late F. Christian Thompson.

REFERENCES

- Capellari, R. 2013. A remarkable new species of *Paraclius* Loew from Brazil (Diptera: Dolichopodidae). *Zootaxa* 3681: 293-296.
- Capellari, R.S. & Amorim, D.S. 2009. Four new species of *Paraclius* Loew (Diptera: Dolichopodidae) from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, and notes on the systematic position of *Cheiromyia* Dyte. *Zootaxa* 2274: 51–61
- Curran, C.H. 1951. The West Indian species of Mydas and *Proctacanthus* (Diptera: Mydaidae and Asilidae). *American Museum Novitates* **1507**, 9 pp.
- ICZN [International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature] (1999) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Fourth Edition. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London. xxix + 306 pp.
- Liu, R.S., Wang, M.Q. & Yang, D. 2015. Chrysotus Meigen (Diptera: Dolichopodidae) from Shanxi, China, with descriptions of two new species. Zoological Systematics 40(1): 86–92.
- Macquart, P.J.M. 1855. *Diptères exotiques nouveaux ou peu connus*. 5.^e supplément. Roret, Paris. Pp. 5–136.
- Pérez-Gelabert, P.E. 2006. Mydidae (Diptera) de las Indias Occidentales. Cocuyo 16: 35–36.

- Rondani, C. 1844. Species italicae generis Callicerae ex insectis dipteris, distinctae et descriptae; fragmentum octavum ad inserviendum dipterologiae italicae. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France* (2) 2: 61–68.
- Rondani, C. 1857. *Dipterologiae italicae prodromus*. Vol: II. Species italicae ordinis dipterorum in genera characteribus definita, ordinatim collectae, methodo analitica distinctae, et novis vel minus cognitis descriptis. Pars prima. Oestridae: Syrpfhidae: Conopidae. A. Stocchi, Parmae [= Parma]. 264 pp., 1 pl.
- Rondani, C. 1863. Diptera exotica revisa et annotata. Novis non nullis descriptis. E. Soliani, Modena. 99 pp., 1 pl.
- Sforzi, A. & Sommaggio, D. 2021. Catalog of the Diptera types described by Camillo Rondani. Zootaxa 4989: 1–438.
- Solórzano-Kraemer, M.M. & Brown, B.V. 2018. Dohrniphora (Diptera: Phoridae) from the Miocene Mexican and Dominican ambers with a paleobiological reconstruction. Insect Systematics & Evolution (2018) doi: 10.1163/1876312X-00002174 [30 pp.].
- Wei, L.M. & Yang, J.H. 2007. Dolichopodidae, pp. 561–587. In: Li, Z.H., Yang, M.F. & Jin, D.C. (eds.), Insects from Leigongshan landscape. Insects from National Nature Reserve of Guizhou Province, V. Guizhou Science and Technology Publishing House, Guiyang.
- Xu, R.M. 1979. New species of *Tabanus* from China (Diptera: Tabanidae). Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica 4(1): 39–50.
- Xu, R.M. & Sun, Y. 2008. Two new species of *Tabanus aurosetosus* group from China (Diptera: Tabanidae). Acta Parasitologica et Medica Entomologica Sinica 15(2): 96– 99.
- Zhang, K.Y. & Yang, D. 2018. Tabanidae, pp. 1–45. In: Yang, D., Zhang, L.L. & Zhang, K.Y. (eds.), Species catalogue of China. Volume 2 Animals. Insecta (VI). Diptera (2): Orthorrhaphous Brachycera. Science Press, Beijing. 387 pp.

Systema Dipterorum Nomenclatural Notes. I. Edited by Neal L. Evenhuis & Thomas Pape. *Bishop Museum Occasional Papers* 141: 17–22 (2021).

lsid:zoobank.org:pub:544A130F-00F7-46F5-B435-DB502A237222

Type species designations for five Hermann Loew genus-group names (Diptera: Asilidae, Heleomyzidae, Platystomatidae, Tephritidae)

NEAL L. EVENHUIS

Bishop Museum, 1525 Bernice Street, Honolulu, Hawaiʻi 96817-2704, USA; email: neale@bishopmuseum.org

Abstract. A study of the genus-group names proposed by Hermann Loew has shown that five of them are currently without designated type species: *Allophyla* Loew, 1862 (Heleomyzidae), *Dasyllis* Loew, 1851 (Asilidae), *Eccoptomera* Loew, 1862 (Heleomyzidae), *Epicausta* Loew, 1862 (Platystomatidae), and *Hemilea* Loew, 1861 (Tephritidae). Type species are herein designated for each genus-group name to fix their nomenclatural and taxonomic status.

Key words: Nomenclature, taxonomy, Heleomyzidae, Asilidae, Platystomatidae, Tephritidae

INTRODUCTION

As part of an ongoing series of studies on genus-group names of older authors (see e.g., Evenhuis & Pape 2019), research into the genus-group names of Hermann Loew is being conducted. During that research, it was found that five names proposed by Loew are without a type species fixation. For some, an earlier work was found that made the name available but without type fixation, and for the others the current type species was not originally included and therefore not eligible. These five nominal genus-group names are listed here, and type species designated for each.

TYPE-SPECIES DESIGNATIONS

The format of presentation of each name follows that of Evenhuis & Pape (2019) so as to give complete data on originally included species, type species, current status, family, and remarks explaining the typification of each name. Dates and pages within square brackets [] in a header for a genus-group name are subsequent papers by the same author treating the nominal taxon as new but not considered homonymous.

Allophyla Loew, 1862a: 127 [1862b: 227; 1862c: 7, 16, 43].

ORIGINALLY INCLUDED SPECIES: None.

FIRST INCLUDED SPECIES: Allophyla laevis Loew, 1862; Helomyza nigricornis Meigen, 1838 (as "Allophylae nigricorni Meig.") (in Loew 1862b: 43).

TYPE SPECIES: *Allophyla laevis* Loew, 1862, by **present designation**. CURRENT STATUS: Valid genus [*teste* Poole (1996: 171)]. FAMILY: HELEOMYZIDAE. REMARKS: Previous catalogs [e.g., Gill (1965: 809); Gorodkov (1984b: 34)] listed the work in which Allophyla was first proposed as by Loew (1862c) and the type species as Heleomyza atricornis Meigen, 1830, by monotypy. Since no publication date other than the year has been found for for Loew (1862c), it must date from 31 December 1862. Research conducted in this study found an earlier work (Loew, 1862a: 127) that gives characters to make the genus-group name available there; however, no species were originally included in that work. The first subsequently included species in Allophyla are found in Loew (1862b: 227-228). A subsequent designation is needed from these first two included species. Allophyla laevis Loew, 1862 is currently treated in *Suillia* Robineau-Desvoidy, 1830 [teste Poole (1996: 171)]; and Helomyza nigricornis Meigen, 1838 is currently treated in Tephrochlamys Loew, 1862 [teste Gorodkov (1984: 44)]. As no valid subsequent designation could be found for the species included in Loew (1862b), I here designate Allophyla laevis Loew, 1862 as type species by present designation. Coquillett (1910: 505) designated Helomyza atricornis Meigen, 1830, which was followed by Gill (1965: 809), Gorodkov (1984: 34), and Mun & Suh (2019: 401), but this is not one of the two first included species in Loew (1862b), and therefore is not eligible. Czerny (1904: 285) in remarking upon Loew's (1862b: 228) "Nota" indicated that Loew's "nigricorni" Meigen [Loew's use of the name in the nominative plural] was an error for "atricorni" Meigen but gave no evidence why. The two species-group names are currently both available in Heleomyzidae, so Loew could have meant either. Because of the equivocal nature of the identity of Loew's Heleomyza nigricornis, I feel it prudent to designate Allophyla laevis Loew, 1862. The generic concept of Allophyla apparently has two schools of thought, based zoogeographically: the New World school where it is treated as a valid genus, e.g., Gill (1965), Griffiths (1972), and Poole (1996); and an Old World school where it is treated as a junior synonym of Suillia Robineau-Desvoidy, 1830, e.g., Gorodkov (1984) and Mun & Suh (2019: 401). Based on the work of Griffiths (1972), who gave apomorphic character states defining the genus [based on using Allophyla leavis] and distinguishing it from Suillia, and the fact that the type species designated herein is Nearctic, I follow the New World treatment of Allophyla Loew, 1862 as a valid genus. It may be that Helomyza atricornis Meigen, 1830 (treated as Allophyla by New World workers) is a true Suillia and Allophyla laevis is the sole member of Allophyla. More taxonomic work on the two species and their close relatives is needed to corroborate their generic placement.

Dasyllis Loew, 1851: 20.

ORIGINALLY INCLUDED SPECIES: Laphria haemorrhoa Fabricius, 1805; Laphria croceiventris Wiedemann, 1821; Laphria nigripennis Wiedemann, 1830; Laphria bomboides Loew, 1851.

TYPE SPECIES: Laphria croceiventris Wiedemann, 1821, by present designation.

CURRENT STATUS: Valid genus [teste Papavero (2009: 82)].

FAMILY: ASILIDAE.

REMARKS: Originally proposed as a subgenus of *Laphria* Meigen, 1803. Previous workers [e.g., Hull (1962: 358), Martin & Papavero (1970: 45), and Papavero (2009: 82)] have given the typification for *Dasyllis* as *Laphria haemorrhoa* Wiedemann, 1830 by original designation; however, this is incorrect because that was not one of the orig-

inally included species. Loew's (1851: 20) statement "*Typisch für die erste Gruppe der* Dasyllis-*Arten ist* Laphr. haemorrhoa *Fabr*." could be construed as Loew misidentifying the Fabrician *haemorrhoa* as Wiedemann's *haemorrhoa*, but even then, a designation was not made for the entire genus, only his first "*Gruppe*". Moreover, Loew on the next page (1851: 21) designated *Laphria bomboides* Loew, 1851 as the type for his second "*Gruppe*" of *Dasyllis*; so there were two type designations made by Loew (1851). As no valid type designation has yet been published for the genus as a whole, I here select *Laphria croceiventris* Wiedemann, 1830 as the type species of *Dasyllis* Loew, 1851 by **present designation**, which does not change the current generic concept.

Eccoptomera Loew, 1862a: 127 [1862c: 8, 47].

ORIGINALLY INCLUDED SPECIES: *Eccoptomera ornata* Loew, 1862; *Eccoptomera filata* Loew, 1862; *Eccoptomera excisa* Loew, 1862; *Eccoptomera emarginata* Loew, 1862.

TYPE SPECIES: Eccoptomera emarginata Loew, 1862, by present designation.

CURRENT STATUS: Valid genus [teste Kahanpää (2014: 322)].

FAMILY: HELEOMYZIDAE.

REMARKS: Previous catalogs [e.g., Gill (1965: 814)] have listed the work in which *Eccoptomera* was first proposed as Loew (1862c). Since no publication date other than the year has been found for Loew (1862c), it must date from 31 December 1862. Research conducted in this study found an earlier work (Loew, 1862a: 127) that gives characters to make the genus-group name available there. Coquillett (1910: 536) gave the type species as *Helomyza longiseta* Meigen, 1830, one of two species included in Loew (1862c); however, it was not one of the originally included species in Loew (1862a). As a type species designation is needed from species in that work, I select *Eccoptomera emarginata* Loew, 1862 by present designation. Because *Eccoptomera emarginata* Loew, 1862 is currently treated in *Eccoptomera* Loew, 1862 [*teste* Preisler *et al.* (2013: 192), there is no change to the current generic concept.

Epicausta Loew, 1873: 46.

- ORIGINALLY INCLUDED SPECIES: Senopterina femorata Macquart, 1844 (as "Stenopterina femorata"); Senopterina immaculata Macquart, 1844.
- TYPE SPECIES: Senopterina immaculata Macquart, 1844, by present designation.
- CURRENT STATUS: Junior synonym of *Elassogaster* Bigot, 1860 [*teste* McAlpine (2001: 152)].

FAMILY: PLATYSTOMATIDAE.

REMARKS: Steyskal (1980: 566) designated *Epicausta nigra* Wulp, 1885 as the type species of *Epicausta*, which was followed by McAlpine (2001: 152), but it was not an originally included species. Although not explaining as such, Steyskal (1980: 566) no doubt thought there were no originally included species in *Epicausta* Loew, 1862 and was designating a nominal species from what he believed were the first two included species (in Wulp, 1885: ccxcv): *Epicausta nigra* Wulp, 1885 and *E. metallica* Wulp, 1885. However, Loew (1873: 46) did include two nominal species (*Senopterina femorata* Macquart, 1844 and *Senopterina immaculata* Macquart, 1844) with the statement "His [Macquart's] *Stenopterina femorata* and *immaculata*,

both from Bourbon, seem to belong rather to *Epicausta* than to *Stenopterina*", which corroborates Loew's statement at the beginning of the previous paragraph (Loew, 1873: 46) where he stated "The genus *Epicausta*, established by me for two African species ...". As no valid designation from these two included species has been made prior to this study, I select *Senopterina immaculata* Macquart, 1844 (currently treated in *Elassogaster* [*teste* Steyskal (1980: 566)]) as the type species by **present designation**, which does not change the current generic concept of *Epicausta* as a junior synonym of *Elassogaster* Bigot, 1860.

Hemilea Loew, 1861: 265 [1863: 10, 32].

ORIGINALLY INCLUDED SPECIES: *Trypeta sinuata* Loew, 1861; *Trypeta dimidiata* Costa, 1844; *Trypeta excellens* Loew, 1861.

TYPE SPECIES: Trypeta dimidiata Costa, 1844, by present designation.

CURRENT STATUS: Valid genus [teste Agarwal & Sueyoshi (2005: 410)].

FAMILY: TEPHRITIDAE.

REMARKS: Previous workers [e.g., Foote (1984: 92); Norrbom *et al.* (1999: 156); Agarwal & Sueyoshi (2005: 410)] have dated this genus-group name from Loew (1863: 32) and the type species as *Trypeta dimidiata* Costa, 1844 by monotypy. However, by proposing the genus-group name in Loew (1861: 265–266) in association with three available nominal species (*Trypeta sinuata* Loew, 1861, *Trypeta dimidiata* Costa, 1844, and *Trypeta excellens* Loew, 1861), this is enough to make available the name from this earlier publication, which has been overlooked by previous workers. Since there has been no subsequent designation of a type species from among the three nominal species included in Loew (1861) and to keep the same concept of the genus, I here select *Trypeta dimidiata* Costa, 1844, as the type species by **present designation**.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thomas Pape is thanked for review of the manuscript, suggestions and corrections of which helped improve it. The late F.C. Thompson is thanked for providing funding in partial support of this research.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, M.L. & Sueyoshi, M. 2005. Catalogue of Indian fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). Oriental Insects 39: 371–433.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00305316.2005.10417450

- Coquillett, D.W. 1910. The type-species of the North American genera of Diptera. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 37: 499–647.
- Czerny, L. 1904. Revision der Helomyziden. Wiener Entomologische Zeitung 10: 263– 286.
- Evenhuis, N.L. & Pape, T. 2019. Nomenclatural studies toward a world list of Diptera genus-group names. Part VII: Johann Wilhelm Meigen. Zootaxa 4703: 1–193.

- Foote, R.H. 1984. Family Tephritidae. In: Soós, Á. & Papp, L. (Eds.), Catalogue of Palaearctic Diptera. Volume 9. Micropezidae—Agromyzidae. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 64–189.
- Gill, G.D. 1965. Family Heleomyzidae (Helomyzidae). In: Stone, A., Sabrosky, C.W., Wirth, W.W., Foote, R.H. & Coulson, J.R. (Eds.), A catalog of the Diptera of the Americas north of Mexico. United States Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Handbook 276: 808–816.
- Gorodkov, K.B. 1984. Family Heleomyzidae (Helomyzidae). In: Soós, Á. & Papp, L. (Eds.), Catalogue of Palaearctic Diptera. Volume 10. Clusiidae—Chloropidae. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam & Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, pp. 15–45.
- Griffiths, G.C.D. 1972. The phylogenetic classification of Diptera Cyclorrhapha, with special reference to the structure of the male postabdomen. Series Entomologica 8. Dr. W. Junk, N.V., The Hague. 340 pp.
- Hull, F.M. 1962. Robber flies of the world. The genera of the family Asilidae. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 224: 1–907.
- Kahanpää, J. 2014. Checklist of the fly families Chyromyidae and Heleomyzidae (Diptera) of Finland. *ZooKeys* 441: 319–324.
- Loew, H. 1851. Bemerkungen über die Familie Asiliden. In: Loew, H., Programm der Königlichen Realschule zu Meseritz womit zu der am 29. und 30. September 1851 stattfindenden öffentlichen Prüfung alle Gönner und Freunde der Anstalt, insbesondere die Eltern und Angehörigen sämmtlicher Schüler. F.W. Lorenz, Meseritz [= Międzyrzecz], pp. 1–22.
- Loew, H. 1861. Ueber die afrikanischen Trypetina. Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift 5: 253–306.
- Loew, H. 1862a. Novae Helomyzidarum in Europa viventium species. *Wiener Entomologische Monatschrift* 6: 126–128. [April]
- Loew, H. 1862b. Diptera Americae septentrionalis indigena. Centuria secunda. Berliner Entomologische Zeitschrift 6: 185–232. [May]
- Loew, H. 1862c. Ueber die europäischen Helomyzidae und die in Schlesien vorkommenden Arten derselben. Zeitschrift für Entomologie (Breslau) 13[1859]: 1–80. [31 December+]

[Dated from information published in *Zeitschrift für Entomologie* (Breslau) (Neue Folge) 30 (Vereinsnachricht): iii [footnote], 1905. Also, a separate of this paper is dated as "1862" and reviewed by Gerstaecker in *Archiv für Naturgeschichte* for 1862.]

Loew, H. 1863. *Die europäischen Bohrfliegen (Trypetidae*). "1862". W. Junk, Wien [= Vienna]. 128 pp. [20 July]

[Date recorded as published in the Österreichische Buchhändler-Correspondenz 4(21): 199.]

- Loew, H. 1873. Monographs of the Diptera of North America. Part III. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections* 11(3): vii + 351 + XIII pp.
- Martin, C.H. & Papavero, N. 1970. Family Asilidae. A Catalogue of the Diptera of the Americas South of the United States **35B**: 135 pp.
- McAlpine, D.K. 2001. Review of the Australasian genera of signal flies (Diptera: Platystomatidae). *Records of the Australian Museum* **53**: 113–199.
- Mun, S.Y. & Suh, S.J. 2019. Taxonomic revision of the genus Suillia Robineau-Desvoidy (Diptera: Heleomyzidae) from Korea. Journal of Asia-Pacific Biodiversity 12: 400–406.

Norrbom, A.L., Carroll, L.E., Thompson, F.C., White, I.M. & Freidberg, A. 1999. Systematic database of names. *In*: Thompson, F.C. (Ed.), Fruit Fly Expert Identification System and Systematic Information Database. *Myia* 9[1998]: 65–251. [22 February]

[Dated from information from the editor.]

- Papavero, N. 2009. Catalogue of Neotropical Diptera. Asilidae. Neotropical Diptera 17: 1–178.
- Preisler, J., Vaněk, J., Barták, M. & Flousek, J. 2013. Heleomyzidae (Diptera) of the Czech part of the Krkonose Mts. *Opera Corcontica* 50: 185–198.
- Steyskal, G.C. 1980. Family Platystomatidae. In: Crosskey, R.W. (Ed.), Catalogue of the Diptera of the Afrotropical Region. British Museum (Natural History), London, pp. 563–574.
- Wulp, F.M. van der 1885. Quelques diptères exotiques. Bulletin et Annales de la Société Entomologique de Belgique 28[1884]: cclxxxviii–ccxcvii.